

Table of Contents

<i>Guide to the reader</i>	000
<i>Table of frequently cited works</i>	xxxiii
<i>Table of abbreviations</i>	000
<i>Table of cases</i>	000
<i>Table of legislative instruments</i>	000

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1. THE NOTION OF CONTRACT	1
1.1.1. DEFINITIONS	2
1.1.1.A. DEFINITION OF CONTRACT UNDER CERTAIN SYSTEMS OF LAW	2
1.F.1. <i>Article 1.101 Code civil</i>	2
1.I.2. <i>Article 1321 Codice civile</i>	2
1.NL.3. <i>Article 6:213 Burgerlijk Wetboek</i>	2
1.G.4. <i>§ 305 BGB</i>	2
<i>Second Restatement on Contracts</i>	3
1.1.1.B. CONTRACT AND GIFT	3
1.F.5. <i>Articles 931, 932 and 938 French Civil Code</i>	4
1.F.6. Cass., 15 July 1989. Unaccepted gift.	4
1.G.7. <i>§§516 and 518 BGB</i>	5
1.1.1.C. CONTRACT AND UNDERTAKING BY UNILATERAL PROMISE	5
1.F.8. Cass. civ. 1re, 16 October 1995. The different bet which won.	6
1.G.9. <i>§§657 and 658 BGB</i>	7
1.1.2. PLACE AND SOURCES OF CONTRACT LAW	7
1.1.2.A. FRENCH LAW	8
1.F.10. <i>Contents of Code civil</i>	
1.I10A. <i>Article 1324 Codice Civil</i>	8
1.1.2.B. GERMAN LAW	9
1.G.11. <i>Contents of BGB</i>	9
1.1.2.C. ENGLISH LAW	10
1.E.12. House of Lords, <i>Moschi v. Lep Air Services Ltd</i>	11

CONTENTS

1.1.3. CATEGORIES OF CONTRACT	12
1.F.13. <i>Articles 1102–1107 Code civil</i>	12
1.1.3.A. THE SYNALLAGMATIC OR BILATERAL CONTRACT AND THE UNILATERAL CONTRACT	13
1.NL.13A. <i>Article 6:261 BW</i>	13
1.E.14. Court of Appeal, <i>United Dominions Trust Ltd. v. Eagle Aircraft Services Ltd.</i> Recourse agreement.	14
1.1.3.B. CONTRACT FOR VALUABLE CONSIDERATION (<i>A TITRE ONÉREUX</i>) AND CONTRACT WITHOUT VALUABLE CONSIDERATION (<i>A TITRE GRATUIT</i>) OR CHARITABLE CONTRACT	16
1.E.15. Court of Appeal, <i>Crabb v. Arun District Council.</i> A land-locked property	18
1.1.3.C. CONTRACTS GIVING RISE TO OBLIGATIONS AND CONTRACTS TRANSFERRING OR CREATING PROPERTY RIGHTS	20
1.F.16. <i>Articles 1582 and 1583 Code civil</i>	20
1.E.17. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, s.1</i>	20
1.G.18. <i>§§433 and 929 BGB</i>	21
1.1.3.D. CONSUMER CONTRACTS	21
1.EC.19. <i>Articles 1–3 Council Directive 93/13/EEC of 5 April 1993 on unfair terms in contracts entered into with consumers</i>	22
1.G.20. <i>§§1(1), (2) and 9(1) AGBG 1976</i>	23
1.G.21. <i>§24(a) Law of 19 January 1996</i>	23
1.F.22. <i>Article L.132–1 of the Consumer Code</i>	24
1.F.23. Cass. civ. 1re, 30 January 1996, <i>SA Credit de l'Est c. Sté Andre Bernis Latitude 5 & Others.</i> Minimum payment clause in business hire-purchase contract.	25
1.E.24. <i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, ss.3 and 12</i>	26
1.E.25. <i>Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Regulations 1999, regs. 1–6</i>	27
1.1.4. SPECIFIC PECULIARITIES	28
1.1.4.A. GERMAN LAW: THE PRINCIPLE OF SEPARABILITY (<i>ABSTRAKTIONSPRINZIP</i>)	28
1.G.26 K. Zweigert and H. Kötz, <i>Introduction to Comparative Law</i>	28
1.G.27 RG, 25 June 1925. Transfer of property to mistress.	29
1.1.4.B. FRENCH LAW: THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACT	31
1.F.28. Conseil d'Etat, 31 July 1912, <i>Société des Granits Porphyroïdes des Vosges.</i> Paving stones.	31
1.F.29. Conseil d'Etat, 20 April 1956, <i>Epoux Bertin.</i> Contract to feed refugees	32
1.E.30. <i>Contract Law Today</i>	33
1.1.4.C. ENGLISH LAW: BAILMENT	34
1.E.31. Court of Appeal, <i>Morris v. C.W. Martin & Sons Ltd.</i> The stolen mink stole.	34

CONTENTS

1.2. THE SCOPE OF CONTRACT	36
1.2.1. CONTRACT AND TORT	36
1.2.1.A. THE RESPECTIVE DOMAINS	36
1.F.32. Cass. civ. 1re, 7 May 1989. Icy platform.	37
1.G.33. RGH, 7 December 1911. Falling rolls of lino.	38
1.F.34. Cass. civ. 1re, 7 November 1961. A fatal fall.	40
1.E.35. Court of Appeal, <i>Ward v. Tesco Stores Ltd.</i> Spilt yoghurt.	40
1.F.36. Cass. civ., 6 December 1932. Fatal fall from train (I).	42
1.F.37. Cass. civ., 24 May 1933. Fatal fall from train (II): the sister.	43
1.F.38. Cass. civ. 2e, 23 January 1959. Drowning caused by negligence.	44
1.F.39. Cass. ass. plén., 7 February 1988. Insulation not fit for purpose.	45
1.F.40. Cass. ass. plén., 12 July 1991. Bad plumbing.	47
1.E.41. Court of Appeal, <i>Simaan General Contracting Co v. Pilkington Glass (No 2)</i> . Red glass.	49
1.E.42. House of Lords, <i>Junior Books Ltd v. Veitchi Co Ltd.</i> Defective floor laid by sub-contractor.	51
1.E.43. House of Lords, <i>Murphy v. Brentwood District Council.</i> Inadequate foundations.	54
1.E.44. King's Bench Division, <i>Shanklin Pier Ltd v. Detel Products Ltd.</i> Paint for a pier.	55
1.E.45. Privy Council, <i>New Zealand Shipping Co Ltd v. AM Satterthwaite & Co Ltd.</i> Himalaya clause.	56
1.G.46. BGH, 17 January 1985. Non-payment by charterer.	59
1.G.47. BGH, 26 November 1986. Tax consultant's "rosy view".	60
1.G.48. BGH, 28 June 1979. Isolar glass.	62
1.G.49. BGH, 13 December 1973. Direct invoices.	65
1.2.1.B. THE INTERESTS TO BE WEIGHED ON DECIDING WHETHER TO BRING AN ACTION IN CONTRACT OR IN TORT	67
1.F.50. Cass. civ. 1re, 11 January 1989. Dishonest insurance agent.	67
1.G.51. BGH, 9 May 1957. Stolen suitcase.	69
1.G.52. BGH, 24 May 1976. Wrong kind of anti-freeze.	70
1.F.53. Cass. civ. 2e, 17 February 1955. Fire spreads to warehouse.	72
1.E.54. B. Rudden in D. Harris and D Tallon (eds.), <i>Contract Law Today</i>	74
1.2.2. CONTRACT AND RESTITUTION (QUASI-CONTRACT)	76
1.F.55. <i>Article 1371 Code civil</i>	77
1.G.56. §812 BGB	77
1.NL.57. <i>Article 6:212 BW</i>	78
1.F.58. Cass. civ., 28 February 1939. Lingerie not paid for.	79
1.F.59. Cass. civ., 2 March 1915. Work on baths.	81
1.G.60. OLG Hamm, 9 January 1974. Car repairs ordered by husband of owner.	82
1.E.61. Queen's Bench Division, <i>British Steel Corporation v. Cleveland Bridge and Engineering Co Ltd.</i> Steel nodes.	83

CONTENTS

1.E.62. Court of Appeal, <i>Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v. Islington London Borough Council</i> . Swaps contracts.	86
1.3. BINDING NATURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS	88
1.E.63. D. Harris and D. Tallon (eds), <i>Contract Law Today</i>	88
O.W. Holmes, <i>Collected Legal Papers</i>	89
1.3.1. INTENTION TO CREATE LEGAL RELATIONS	90
1.3.1.A. INTENTION TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT	90
1.F.64. Cass. civ. 1re, 6 April 1994. Shared business trip.	90
1.E.65. House of Lords, <i>Albert v. Motor Insurers Bureau</i> . Shared lifts in car.	91
1.G.66. BGH, 16 May 1974. Betting syndicate.	92
1.E.67. Queen's Bench Division, <i>Simpkins v. Pays</i> . Competition in Sunday paper.	94
1.E.68. Court of Appeal, <i>Balfour v. Balfour</i> . Allowance to wife.	96
1.3.1.B. Agreement in honour, "Gentlemen's" agreement.	98
1.E.69. Court of Appeal and House of Lords, <i>Rose & Frank Co. v. J.R. Crompton Bros.</i> "Binding in honour only."	98
1.G.70. BGH, 22 January 1964. Priority treatment.	99
1.F.71. Cass. civ. 2e, 2 November 1985. Alimony agreement.	101
1.3.1.C. LETTERS OF INTENT, OF PATRONAGE OR OF COMFORT	101
1.E.72. Court of Appeal, <i>Kleinwort Benson Ltd v. Malaysia Mining Corp. Bhd.</i> Comfort letter.	102
1.G.73. BGH, 30 November 1992. Letter of patronage.	104
1.F.74. Cass. com., 21 December 1987. French letter of comfort.	106
1.3.1.D. AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE (<i>ACCORD DE PRINCIPE</i>)	108
1.F.75. Cass. com., 4 February 1986. Maize driers.	109
1.F.76. Cass. soc., 24 March 1958. Agreement to consider re-employment.	110
1.G.77. BGH, 8 June 1962. Consulting his lawyer.	111
1.E.78. Court of Appeal, <i>Eccles v. Bryant</i> . "Subject to contract."	113
1.3.2. FOUNDATIONS OF THE BINDING FORCE OF CONTRACT	115
1.3.2.A. GENERAL	115
1.G.79. H. Kötz, <i>European Contract Law</i>	115
1.F.80. Carbonnier	117
1.3.2.B. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	118
1.F.81. <i>Articles 6 and 1134 French Civil Code</i>	118
1.F.82. Terré, Simmler and Lequette	118
1.3.2.C. THE THEORY OF RELIANCE	120
1.G.83. Cohen, <i>The Basis of Contract</i>	121
1.E.84. P. Atiyah, <i>Promises, Obligations and the Law of Contract</i>	121
1.3.2.D. APPLICATIONS	123
1.NL.85. <i>Articles 3.33 and 3.35 BW</i>	123

CONTENTS

1.E.86. The House of Lords, <i>The Hannah Blumenthal</i> . Abandonment of arbitration agreement.	124
1.F.87. Cass. civ. 3e, 8 April 1987. Rent not claimed.	125
1.3.3. CAUSE (OTHER THAN UNLAWFUL CAUSE) AND CONSIDERATION	127
1.3.3.A. FRENCH LAW: THE DOCTRINE OF CAUSE	128
1.F.88. <i>Article 1108 Code civil</i>	128
1.I.89. <i>Article 1325 Codice civile</i>	128
1.CAN.90. <i>Article 1485 Quebec Civil Code</i>	128
1.F.91. <i>Articles 1131–1133 Code civil</i>	129
1.F.92. Carbonnier	129
1.F.93. Cass. civ. 1re, 12 July 1989. Hocus pocus	130
1.F.94. Cass. com., 6 April 1993. Delay in crediting accounts.	131
1.F.95. Cass. civ. 1re, 18 April 1953. The genealogist.	133
1.F.96. Cass. com., 23 June 1958. Cancellation charge on option.	134
1.F.97. Cass. civ. 1re, 10 May 1995. Worthless services.	135
1.F.98. Cass. com., 22 October 1996. Chronopost.	136
1.F.99. Cass. civ. 1re, 3 July 1996. The video club.	137
1.F.100. Cass. com., 4 April 1995. Indivisible contracts.	138
1.3.3.B. ENGLISH LAW: CONSIDERATION	140
1.E.101. <i>Currie v. Misa, Thomas v. Thomas</i> , F. Pollock, <i>Principles of Contract, Dunlop v. Selfridge</i>	141
1.US.102. <i>2nd Restatement on Contracts, s.71</i>	141
1.E.103. Court of Appeal, <i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.</i> The Smoke Ball.	142
1.E.104. House of Lords, <i>Foakes v. Beer</i> . Debt paid without interest due.	144
1.E.105. Privy Council, <i>Pao On v. Lau Yiu Long</i> . The share deal.	148
1.E.106. Queen's Bench Division, <i>Central London Property Trust Ltd v. High Trees House Ltd</i> . The High Trees case.	150
1.3.3.C. GERMAN LAW: NEITHER CAUSE NOR CONSIDERATION	153
1.G.107. §812 BGB	153
1.3.4. FORMAL REQUIREMENTS	154
1.G.108. R. Ihering, <i>Geist des römischen Rechts</i>	155
1.3.4.A. GERMAN LAW: MODERATE FORMALISM, FREEDOM AS TO PROOF	156
1.G.109. BGH, 7 May 1971. Notary left to complete contract.	156
1.G.110. BGH, 18 October 1974. Contract never put in writing.	158
1.G.111. BGH, 22 April 1972. Defective house.	160
1.G.112. BGH, 2 June 1976. Oral termination.	161
1.G.113. BGH, 29 January 1993. Jeans.	162

CONTENTS

1.3.4.B. ENGLISH LAW: LIMITED FORMALISM; RULE OF ALMOST UNRESTRICTED PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE	164
1.E.114. <i>Law of Property (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1989, s.1</i>	164
1.E.115. <i>Statute of Frauds 1677, s.4</i>	165
1.3.4.C. FRENCH LAW: A CERTAIN FORMALISM, MEANS OF PROOF LEGALLY CIRCUMSCRIBED	167
1.F.116. <i>Articles 1341 and 1347 Code civil</i>	168
1.F.117. Cass. civ. 1re, 6 July 1964. The Desprez's house.	169
1.F.118. <i>Articles 1326 and 2015 French Civil Code</i>	170
1.F.119. Cass. civ. 1re, 15 November 1989. SEFCO.	171
1.F.120. Cass. civ. 1re, 15 October 1991. The surety.	172
1.3.4.D. CONCLUSION	173
1.PECL.121. <i>Principles of European Contract Law</i>	173

CHAPTER TWO FORMATION

2.1. OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE	177
2.1.1. INTRODUCTORY NOTE	177
2.1.2. OFFER	178
2.1.2.A. DISTINCTION BETWEEN PRELIMINARY DEALINGS AND OFFERS TO ENTER CONTRACT	178
2.PECL.1. <i>Articles 2:201 and 2:103</i>	178
2.INT.2. <i>Articles 2.2 and 2.13 Unidroit Principles</i>	178
2.INT.3. <i>Article 14 CISG</i>	178
2.E.4. Court of Appeal, <i>Clifton v. Palumbo</i> . Clifton's Estate.	179
2.E.5. Court of Appeal, <i>Bigg v. Boyd-Gibbins Ltd.</i> A quick sale.	180
2.F.6. Cass. com., 6 March 1990. Hugin Sweda.	181
2.G.7. BGH, 8 March 1984. The Aeroplane Charter.	183
2.F.8. Cass. civ. 3e, 28 November 1968. Land for sale.	185
2.E.9. Court of Appeal, <i>Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.</i> The smoke ball (again)	187
2.E.10. Court of Appeal, <i>Pharmaceutical Society v. Boots</i> . Self service pharmacy.	189
2.F.11–12. Cour d'appel de Paris, 14 December 1961 and Cass. civ., 20 October 1964. Exploding lemonade bottle.	191
2.1.2.B. REQUIREMENTS AS TO CONTENT	194
2.G.13. §154 BGB	194
2.1.2.C. REVOCABILITY OF AN OFFER	195
2.PECL.14. <i>Articles 1:303 and 2:202</i>	195
2.INT.15. <i>Articles 2.3–2.4 Unidroit Principles</i>	195

CONTENTS

2.G.16.	RG, 25 October 1917. Delivery to a housemaid.	195
2.F.17.	Cass. civ., 17 December 1958. Chalet for sale.	198
2.E.18.	Court of Appeal, <i>Dickinson v. Dodds</i> . Offer left over until Friday.	200
2.E.19.	Court of Appeal, <i>Daulia v. Four Millbank Nominees</i> . Written contract, oral contract.	202
2.US.20.	<i>§45 Restatement of Contracts</i>	204
2.US.21.	Author, Subcontractor's offer for bilateral contract held irrevocable because of contractor's foreseeable reliance	204
2.G.22.	K. Zweigert and H. Kötz, <i>Introduction to Comparative Law</i>	205
2.US.23.	Lewis, <i>Contracts between Businessmen: Reform of the Law of Firm Offers</i>	206
2.1.2.D.	LAPSE OF OFFER	206
2.PECL.24.	<i>Articles 2:206 and 2:207</i>	206
2.INT.25.	<i>Articles 2.5, 2.7 and 2.8 Unidroit Principles</i>	207
2.INT.26.	<i>Articles 18 and 17 CISG</i>	207
2.F.27.	Cass. civ. 3e, 10 May 1972. Lopez/Le Baste.	208
2.E.28.	Chancery Division, <i>Manchester Diocesan Council v. Commercial Investment</i> . The Council for Education.	208
2.G.29.	<i>§§146–148 BGB</i>	210
2.G.30.	<i>§156 BGB</i>	212
2.1.3.	ACCEPTANCE	212
2.1.3.A.	FORM OF ACCEPTANCE	213
2.PECL.31.	<i>Article 2:204</i>	213
2.INT.32.	<i>Article 2.6 Unidroit Principles</i>	213
2.INT.33.	<i>Article 18 CISG</i>	213
2.E.34.	House of Lords, <i>Brogden v. Directors of The Metropolitan Railway Company</i> . Supply of coal.	213
2.F.35.	Cass. civ., 25 May 1870. The accidental investor.	215
2.G.36.	RG, 1 February 1926. Alcohol for sale.	216
2.US.37.	<i>§69 Restatement of Contract</i>	218
2.1.3.B.	A requirement of communication?	218
2.PECL.38.	<i>Article 2:205</i>	218
2.INT.39.	<i>Articles 2:6, 2:4 and 2:10 Unidroit Principles</i>	218
2.INT.40.	<i>Articles 23, 24 and 161 CISG</i>	218
2.US.41.	<i>§54 Restatement of Contracts</i>	220
2.F.42.	Cass. civ., 21 December 1960. Chomel.	220
2.F.43.	Cass. com., 7 January 1981. L'Aigle/Comase	220
2.E.44.	King's Bench, <i>Adams v. Lindsell</i> . Two days late.	221
2.E.45.	Common Pleas Division, <i>Byrne v. Van Tienhoven</i> . Acceptance sent before revocation received.	222
2.1.3.C.	ACCEPTANCE THAT DEVIATES FROM THE OFFER	226
2.PECL.46.	<i>Article 2:208</i>	226
2.INT.47.	<i>Article 2.11 Unidroit Principles</i>	226

CONTENTS

2.INT.48. <i>Article 19 CISG</i>	226
2.E.49. Rolls Court, <i>Hyde v. Wrench</i> . Farm for sale.	227
2.E.50. Queen's Bench Division, <i>Stevenson, Jaques & Co. v. McLean</i> . Warrants for iron.	227
2.G.51. §150 <i>BGB</i>	228
2.NL.52. <i>Article 6:225 BW</i>	229
2.PECL.53. <i>Article 2:209</i>	229
2.INT.54. <i>Article 2.22 Unidroit Principles</i>	229
2.E.55. Court of Appeal, <i>Butler Machine Tool Co. Ltd v. Ex-cell-O Corpn Ltd</i> . Tear-off acknowledgement slip.	229
2.G.56. BGH, 26 September 1973. The heat-retaining silo.	231
2.G.57. BGH, 20 March 1985. Oven-timing clocks.	233
2.US.58. §2–207 <i>Uniform Commercial Code</i>	236
 2.2. PRE-CONTRACTUAL GOOD FAITH	237
2.2.1. INTRODUCTION	237
2.2.2. A GENERAL DUTY OF PRE-CONTRACTUAL GOOD FAITH	238
2.PECL.59. <i>Article 2:301</i>	238
2.INT.60. <i>Article 2.15 Unidroit Principles</i>	238
2.F.61. <i>Article 1134 Code civil</i>	239
2.G.62. §§305 and 241 <i>BGB-KE (Draft Civil Code) II</i>	239
2.I.63. <i>Article 1337 Codice civile</i>	240
2.E.64. House of Lords, <i>Walford v. Miles</i> . The lock-out agreement.	241
2.2.3. DEFINING PRE-CONTRACTUAL GOOD FAITH	243
2.2.3.A. NO INTENTION TO CONCLUDE A CONTRACT	243
2.PECL.65. <i>Article 2:301</i>	243
2.INT.66. <i>Article 2.15. Unidroit Principles</i>	243
2.I.67. Cass. it., 28 January 1972. Niki.	243
2.2.3.B. PARALLEL NEGOTIATIONS	246
2.B.68. Cour d'appel de Liège, 2 October 1989. The Belgian glassmakers.	246
2.F.69. Cour d'appel de Versailles, 5 March 1992. A French, a British and a Belgian Company.	247
2.2.3.D. KNOWINGLY ENTERING AN INVALID CONTRACT	248
2.INT.70. <i>Article 2.15 Unidroit Principles</i>	249
2.G.71. RGZ, 5 April 1922. Two sellers.	250
2.G.72. BGH, 29 January 1965. The Housing Association.	251
2.G.73. BGHZ, 27 October 1967. The employer's signature.	252
2.I.74. <i>Article 1338 Codice civile</i>	254
2.I.75. Cass. it., 28 May 1954. The export licence.	255
2.I.76. Cass. it. (Sez.Un.), 11 February 1982. Price prescription.	255
2.I.77. Cass. it., 10 May 1950. Signed with a cross.	256

CONTENTS

2.2.3.C. BREAKING OFF NEGOTIATIONS	257
2.PECL.78. <i>Article 2:301</i>	257
2.INT.79. <i>Article 2.15 Unidroit Principles</i>	258
2.G.80. Jhering, Culpā in Contrahendo	259
2.PECL.81. <i>Article 2:301</i>	260
2.INT.82. <i>Article 2.15 Unidroit Principles</i>	260
2.G.83. BGH, 10 July 1970. The letter.	261
2.G.84. BGH, 25 November 1992. Oolitic stones.	262
2.F.85. Cass. Com., 20 March 1972. The Hydrotile machine.	265
2.F.86. Cass. civ. 3e, 3 October 1972. Monoprix.	266
2.I.87. Cass. it., 17 June 1974. The installation of machinery.	268
2.I.88. Cass. it., 12 March 1993. The Giuliana.	269
2.NL.89. HR, 18 June 1982. The Dutch swimming pool.	270
2.E.90. Privy Council, <i>Att-Gen of Hong Kong v. Humphreys Estates (Queens Gardens)</i> . Humphreys Estates.	273
2.E.91. Court of Appeal, <i>J.T. Developments v. Quinn</i> . The coffee shop.	274
2.AUS.92. High Court of Australia, <i>Waltons Stores (Interstate) Ltd v. Maher</i> . The supermarket renovation.	277
2.NL.93. Gerechtshof Amsterdam, 7 May 1987. De Ziener.	284
2.2.3.E. DUTY TO GIVE ADEQUATE INFORMATION	286
2.2.3.F. DUTY OF CONFIDENTIALITY	287
2.PECL.94. <i>Article 2:302</i>	287
2.INT.95. <i>Article 2.16 Unidroit Principles</i>	288
2.E.96. Court of Appeal, <i>Seager v. Copydex Ltd</i> . Invisigrip.	288
2.F.97. Cass. com., 3 October 1978. The Maine tunnel.	289
2.2.3.G. NON CONTRACT RELATED INTERESTS	291
2.G.98. RG, 7 December 1911. Linoleum roll.	291

CHAPTER THREE VALIDITY

3.1. IMMORAL AND ILLEGAL CONTRACTS	295
3.1.1. INTRODUCTION	295
3.G.1. §§ 134 and 138 BGB	296
3.NL.2. <i>Article 3:40 BW</i>	296
3.F.3. <i>Articles 6, 1131 and 1133 Code Civil</i>	296
3.1.2. CONFLICTS WITH PRINCIPLES OF SEXUAL MORALITY AND FAMILY LIFE	297
3.E.4. Court of Appeal, <i>Pearce v. Brooks</i> . The curiously constructed carriage.	298
3.F.5. Civ. 1re, 4 December 1956. La maison de tolérance.	298

CONTENTS

3.G.6.	BGH, 8 January 1975. Homes for “working girls.”	299
3.F.7.	Civ. 1re, 11 February 1986. The mistress he married.	300
3.G.8.	BGH, 12 January 1984. A loan for love, not sex.	301
3.F.9.	Cass., Ass. plén. 31 May 1991. The surrogate mother: France.	302
3.G.10.	OLG Hamm, 2 December 1985. The surrogate mother: Germany.	303
3.1.3.	CONTRACTS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE	304
3.E.11.	House of Lords, <i>Schroeder Music Publishing Co v. Macaulay</i> . The young songwriter’s contract.	305
3.G.12.	BG, 23 May 1978. The jazz singer.	306
3.E.13.	House of Lords, <i>Esso Petroleum Co Ltd v. Harper’s Garage (Stourport) Ltd</i> . The English solus agreement.	307
3.G.14.	BGH, 31 March 1982. The German solus agreement.	310
3.F.15.	Cass. soc., 21 October 1960. The sugar broker.	312
3.G.16.	BGH, 16 and 17 September 1974. The 24-year beer tie.	313
3.E.17.	House of Lords, <i>Mason v. Provident Clothing and Supply Co Ltd</i> . Employment within 25 miles of London.	314
3.E.18.	Court of Appeal, <i>Goldsoll v. Goldman</i> . Imitation jewellery.	315
3.1.4.	CONTRACTS FORBIDDEN BY STATUTE	316
3.E.19.	Court of Appeal, <i>Re Mahmoud v. Ispahani</i> . Sale of linseed oil without licence.	317
3.G.20.	BGH, 23 September 1982. Illegal building labour.	318
3.F.21.	Cass. civ. 1re, 15 February 1961. The bailiff’s commission.	321
3.G.22.	BGH, 26 November 1980. Pre-auction bid.	322
3.E.23.	Queen’s Bench, <i>St. John Shipping Corp. v. Joseph Rank Ltd</i> . The overloaded ship.	323
3.E.24.	Court of Appeal, <i>Archbolds (Freightage) Ltd v. S. Spanglett Ltd</i> . Stolen whiskey.	325
3.1.5.	RESTITUTION OF BENEFITS CONFERRED UNDER AN IMMORAL OR ILLEGAL CONTRACT	326
3.F.25.	Cass.com. 27 April 1981. Brothel closed down.	327
3.F.26.	Cour d’appel Aix, 28 March 1945. Potage créole.	328
3.E.27.	Privy Council, <i>Kiriri Cotton Co Ltd v. Dewani</i> . Key-money.	329
3.G.28.	BGH, 23 November 1959. The struggling fur trader.	330
3.E.29.	Court of Appeal and House of Lords, <i>Tinsley v. Milligan</i> . House put in one owner’s name only.	331
3.2.	FRAUD, MISTAKE AND MISREPRESENTATION	333
3.2.1.	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	333
3.2.2.	FRAUD	335
3.PECL.30.	<i>Articles 4:107 and 4:117 Principles of European Contract Law</i>	335
3.2.2.A.	FRAUD AS A GROUND FOR AVOIDANCE OF A CONTRACT	336
3.F.31.	<i>Article 1116 Code civil</i>	336

CONTENTS

3.G.32. §123 BGB	336
3.2.2.B. PARTICULAR ISSUES	337
3.NL.33. Article 3:44(2) BW	338
3.2.2.C. DAMAGES FOR FRAUD	340
3.F.34. Article 1382 Code civil	340
3.G.35. §§823 and 826 BGB	340
3.G.36. §1 German UWG (Law against Unfair Competition)	342
3.F.37. Article 44.1, Act of 27 December 1973	343
3.2.3. MISTAKE	343
3.2.3.A. MISTAKE IN GENERAL	343
3.PECL.38. Articles 4:103 and 4:104	344
3.F.39. Articles 1109, 1110 and 1117 Code civil	344
3.G.40. §§119 and 122 BGB	344
3.NL.41. Article 6:228 BW	345
3.2.3.B. MISTAKES AND MISUNDERSTANDINGS AS TO THE TERMS	347
3.F.42. Article 1156 Code civil	347
3.G.43. RG, 8 June 1920 II (Ziv.). Shark meat.	347
3.PECL.44. Article 5:101	349
3.E.45. Court of Exchequer, <i>Raffles v. Wichelhaus</i> . The Peerless.	349
3.NL.46. Articles 3:33 and 3:35 BW	351
3.F.47. Cass. civ. 1re, 28 November 1973. Bottle-openers.	351
3.G.48. §155 BGB	352
3.PECL.49. Article 2:102	353
3.G.50. BGH, 7 June 1984. The bank guarantee.	355
3.E.51. Queen's Bench, <i>Hartog v. Colin & Shields</i> . Hare skins.	356
3.PECL.52. Article 5:101	358
3.F.53. Cass. com., 15 February 1961. Wine to Algiers.	358
3.G.54. LG Hanau, 30 June 1978. Toilet paper.	360
3.E.55. Court of Appeal, <i>Centrovincial Estates plc v. Merchant Investors Assurance Co Ltd</i> . Current market rent.	361
3.2.3.C. MISTAKE AS TO THE PERSON	365
3.2.3.D. MISTAKE AS TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OR CIRCUMSTANCES	367
3.F.56. Cass. civ., 23 November 1931. The Villa Jacqueline.	369
3.F.57. Article 1617 Code civil	371
3.F.58–59 Cass. civ. 13 December 1983 and Cour d'appel, Versailles, 7 January 1987. The Poussin.	373
3.G.60. §119(2) BGB	376
3.G.61. AG Coburg, 24 April 1992. Mozart notebooks.	376
3.G.62. BGH, 18 December 1954, II ZR. The ultrasonic device.	379
3.E.63. Sale of Goods Act 1979, s.6	383
3.PECL.64. Article 4:102	383

CONTENTS

3.E.65. House of Lords, <i>Bell v. Lever Bros.</i> The golden handshakes.	384
3.E.66. Court of Appeal, <i>Solle v. Butcher.</i> A new flat?	389
3.NL.67. <i>Article 6:228 BW</i>	393
3.G.68. BGH, 13 November 1975. Match-fixing.	397
3.E.69. Court of Appeal, <i>Redgrave v. Hurd.</i> The elderly solicitor's practice.	401
3.E.70. <i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, s.2(2)</i>	403
3.PECL.71. <i>Article 4:106</i>	404
3.E.72. <i>Misrepresentation Act 1967, s.2(1)</i>	404
3.F.73. <i>Article 1382 Code civil</i>	405
3.F.74. <i>Article 1604 Code civil</i>	406
3.G.75. §§459 and 463 BGB	406
3.PECL.76. <i>Article 6:101</i>	407
3.EC.77. <i>Articles 2–4, Directive 1999/44/EC</i>	408
3.2.4. NON-DISCLOSURE	409
3.E.78. Queen's Bench, <i>Smith v. Hughes.</i> Old oats or new oats?	410
3.F.79. Cass. civ. 3, 2 October 1974. The pig farm.	412
3.G.80. BGH, 3 March 1982. The used lorry.	415
3.G.81. BGH, 13 July 1988. The doctor's practice.	418
3.G.82. BGH, 16 January 1991. The tax advisors.	420
3.NL.83. <i>Article 6:228 BW</i>	421
3.2.5. ADAPTATION OF CONTRACTS	422
3.PECL.84. <i>Article 4:105</i>	422
3.NL.85. <i>Article 6:230 BW</i>	423
3.NL.86. <i>Article 6:230(2) BW</i>	423
3.2.6. COMPARATIVE SUMMARY: MISTAKE AND THE INTERNATIONAL RESTATEMENTS	423
3.INT.87. <i>Article 3.18 Unidroit Principles</i>	425
3.PECL.88. <i>Article 4:117 PECL</i>	425
3.NL.89. <i>Article 6:228 BW</i>	426
3.PECL.90. <i>Article 4:103 PECL</i>	427
3.INT.91. <i>Article 3.3 Unidroit Principles</i>	427
3.PECL.92. <i>Article 4:107 PECL</i>	427
3.3. THREATS AND ABUSE OF CIRCUMSTANCES	430
3.3.1. INTRODUCTION	430
3.PECL.93. <i>Articles 1:102, 4:108, 4:109 and 4.111</i>	430
3.3.2. THREATS	432
3.PECL.94. <i>Article 4:108</i>	432
3.F.95. <i>Articles 1109, 1111–1114 Code civil</i>	432
3.G.96. §123 BGB	433
3.NL.97. <i>Article 3:44 BW</i>	433

CONTENTS

3.3.2.A. <i>VIS COMPULSIVA</i> AS OPPOSED TO <i>VIS ABSOLUTA</i>	433
3.3.2.B. THE MEANS OF COMPULSION	434
3.E.98. Queen's Bench Division, <i>North Ocean Shipping Co Ltd v. Hyundai Construction Co Ltd</i> . The "Atlantic Baron".	434
3.3.2.C. THREAT MUST BE LEGITIMATE	438
3.F.99. Cass. civ. 1re, 3 November 1959. The inexperienced widow.	438
3.G.100. BGH, 23 September 1957. The threatened wife.	440
3.E.101. House of Lords, <i>Universe Tankships Inc of Monrovia v. International Transport Workers Federation</i> . The "Universe Sentinel".	444
3.3.2.D. CAUSATION	447
3.E.102. Privy Council, <i>Barton v. Armstrong</i> . Death threats.	447
3.G.103. BGH, 14 June 1951. The young mother.	448
3.3.2.E. SERIOUS AND IMMINENT THREAT	451
3.F.104. Cass. com., 30 January 1974. The former shop director.	451
3.3.3. <i>LAESIO ENORMIS</i>	455
3.F.105. <i>Articles 1674 and 1675 French Civil Code</i>	455
3.G.106. BGH, 24 January 1979. The pool/billiards equipment.	456
3.INT.107. <i>Article 3.10 Unidroit Principles</i>	459
3.3.4. ABUSE OF CIRCUMSTANCES AND EXCESSIVE BENEFIT: QUALIFIED <i>LAESIO ENORMIS</i>	460
3.3.4.A. GENERAL DOCTRINES: CONTINENTAL LAW	460
3.G.108. §138 <i>BGB</i>	460
3.G.109. BGH, 12 March 1981. The disproportionate loan agreement.	460
3.F.110. Req., 27 April 1887. The "Rolf".	466
3.F.111. Cass. soc., 5 July 1965. A pressing need for money.	468
3.3.4.B. SPECIFIC DOCTRINES: UNDUE INFLUENCE IN ENGLISH LAW	470
3.E.112. Court of Appeal, <i>Bank of Credit and Commerce International S.A. v. Aboody</i> . The trusting wife.	471
3.3.4.C. SPECIFIC DOCTRINES: UNCONSCIONABILITY AND OTHER RULES IN ENGLISH LAW	476
3.E.113. Court of Appeal, <i>Crédit Lyonnais Bank Nederland NV v. Burch</i> . The junior employee's flat.	476
3.3.4.D. AN ATTEMPT AT A GENERAL DOCTRINE IN ENGLISH LAW	478
3.E.114. Court of Appeal, <i>Lloyds Bank v. Bundy</i> . Mr Bundy's farm.	478
3.US.115. §2–302 <i>Uniform Commercial Code</i>	482
3.US.116. §208 <i>Restatement of the Law (Second), Contracts 2d</i>	482
3.3.4.E. INTERNATIONAL RESTATEMENTS	482
3.INT.117. <i>Article 17 Draft Uniform Law on the Substantive Validity of International Contracts for the Sale of Goods</i>	482

CONTENTS

3.PECL.118. <i>Article 4:109</i>	482
3.INT.119. <i>Article 3.10 Unidroit Principles</i>	483
3.3.4.F. CAUSATION	484
3.3.5. OTHER ABUSE OF CIRCUMSTANCES	484
3.3.6. THREATS OR ABUSE OF CIRCUMSTANCES BY THIRD PERSONS	487
3.F.120. <i>Article 1111 French Civil Code</i>	487
3.CH.121. <i>Article 29 Swiss Code of Obligations</i>	487
3.NL.122. <i>Article 3:44 BW</i>	488
3.E.123. House of Lords, <i>Barclay's Bank plc v. O'Brien</i> . Matrimonial home.	488
3.INT.124. <i>Article 18 Draft Uniform Law on the Substantive Validity of International Contracts for the Sale of Goods</i>	491
3.PECL.125. <i>Article 4:111</i>	492
3.4. UNFAIR CLAUSES	494
3.4.1. INTRODUCTION	494
3.4.2. CONTROLS UNDER THE GENERAL LAW	496
3.4.2.A. INCORPORATION TESTS	496
3.E.126. Court of Appeal, <i>Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd</i> . Unusual charges for keeping pictures.	496
3.F.127. Cass. civ. 1re, 4 July 1967. Tragic flying lesson.	499
3.F.128. Cass. civ. 1re, 3 June 1970. Air passenger in a hole.	500
3.G.129. BGH, 4 June 1970. "Greedy accountant".	501
3.4.2.B. MISREPRESENTATION ETC.	502
3.4.2.C. NATURE OF THE BREACH OF CONTRACT	502
3.F.130. Civ. com., 15 June 1959. Rotting vegetables.	502
3.E.131. Court of Appeal, <i>Karsales v. Wallis</i> . The Buick.	503
3.E.132. House of Lords, <i>Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Transport Ltd</i> . The bored security guard.	504
3.4.2.D. INTERPRETATION	505
3.E.133. Court of Appeal and House of Lords, <i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v. Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i> . Cabbage seeds.	506
3.4.2.E. SUBSTANTIVE CONTROLS UNDER THE GENERAL LAW	509
3.G.134. §§138 and 242 BGB	509
3.G.135. BGH, 4 June 1970	509
3.NL.136. <i>Articles 6.1.1.2 and 6.248 BW</i>	511
3.NL.137. Hoge Raad, 19 May 1967. Saladin/HBU.	511

CONTENTS

3.4.3. LEGISLATIVE CONTROLS	513
3.4.3.A. GERMANY: THE AGBG OF 1976	513
3.G.138. §1–9 AGBG (1976)	513
3.4.3.B. England: The Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977	515
3.4.3.B. FRANCE: THE LOI SCRIVENER	519
3.F.140. Article 35 Loi Scrivener	519
3.F.141. Cass.civ., 14 May 1991. Minit France.	520
3.4.4. THE EFFECT OF THE EC DIRECTIVE ON UNFAIR TERMS IN CONSUMER CONTRACTS	521
3.E.139. <i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, sections 1–7, 10 and 13–14</i>	515
3.4.4.A. THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY DIRECTIVE	521
3.EC.142. Articles 1–4 and 6–10 EC Directive 93/13/EEC of 5 April 1993 on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts	521
3.EC.143. Joined Cases C–240/98 to C–244/98 ECJ, <i>Oceano Grupo Editorial SA v. Rocio Murciano Quintero (and others)</i> . The Spanish Encyclopaedia.	523
3.4.4.B. Implementation of the directive by member states.	524
3.G.144. §24a AGBG (1996)	525
3.F.145. Article L 132–1 Code de la consommation	525
3.4.5. KEY ISSUES IN UNFAIR CONTRACT TERMS LEGISLATION	527
3.4.5.A. CONSUMERS AND NON-CONSUMERS	527
3.G.146. §24 AGBG	527
3.G.147. BGH, VII, 8 March 1984. Foam insulation.	528
3.F.148. Cass. civ. 1re, 28 April 1987. Defective alarm.	529
3.F.149. Cass. civ. 1re, 24 January 1995. Contract for electricity.	529
3.NL.150. Articles 6.235 and 6.244 BW	530
3.NL.151. §5 Explanatory Legislative Commentaries on Article 6:236 of the New Dutch Civil Code	531
3.NL.152. Hoge Raad, 31 December 1993. The Shipyard De Schelde.	532
3.E.153. <i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, section 12</i>	533
3.E.154. Court of Appeal, <i>R&B Customs Brokers Ltd v. United Dominions Trust Ltd</i> . The managing director's car.	533
3.EC.155. Article 2, EC Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts	536
3.EC.156. Case 361/89 ECJ, <i>Criminal Proceedings against Di Pinto</i> . The Advertiser.	536
3.4.5.B. NEGOTIATED AND NON-NEGOTIATED TERMS	537
3.4.5.C. BLACKLISTS AND GREY LISTS	538
3.G.157. §§ 10 and 11 AGBG	538
3.EC.158. Article 3 and Annex Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts	541

CONTENTS

3.4.5.E. “Fair”, “reasonable”, in accordance with “good faith”.	543
3.EC.159. <i>Articles 3 and 4 Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts</i>	543
3.E.160. <i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, section 11 and schedule 2</i>	544
3.E.161. Court of Appeal and House of Lords, <i>George Mitchell (Chesterhall) Ltd v. Finney Lock Seeds Ltd</i> . Defective cabbage seed.	545
3.E.162. House of Lords, <i>Smith v. Eric S Bush</i> . The building society surveyor.	548
3.4.6. PUBLIC LAW CONTROLS	550
3.EC.163. <i>Article 7 Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts</i>	550
3.F.164. <i>Articles L 421–1, L 421–2 and L 421–6 Code de la consommation</i>	551
3.G.165. <i>§13 AGBG</i>	551
3.4.7. CONCLUDING REMARKS	552
3.PECL.166. <i>Articles 4:110 and 8:109</i>	553

CHAPTER FOUR INTERPRETATION AND CONTENTS

4.1. INTERPRETING CONTRACTUAL WORDS	556
4.1.1. GENERAL RULES	556
4.F.1. <i>Articles 1156 and 1135 Code civil</i>	556
4.G.2. <i>§§ 133 and 157 BGB</i>	556
4.INT.3. <i>Article 8 CISG</i>	556
4.G.4. BGH, 23 February 1956	557
4.E.5. Queen’s Bench Division, <i>Vitol BV v. Compagnie européenne des pétroles</i> . Agreed upon laydays.	558
4.G.6. BGH, 13 March 1992. Demand guarantee.	560
4.E.7. House of Lords, <i>Charter Reinsurance Co Ltd v. Fagan</i> . Sums actually paid.	561
4.F.8. Cass. civ., 15 April 1872. Discretionary Bonuses.	563
4.F.9. Cass. civ., 14 December 1942. Codfish.	564
4.E.10. House of Lords, <i>Prenn v. Simmonds</i>	565
4.E.11. Queen’s Bench Division, <i>The Karen Oltmann</i> . The Karen Oltmann.	566
4.1.2. THE “CONTRA PREFERENTEM” INTERPRETATION RULE	568
4.G.12. BGH, 19 March 1957. Gold Coast cocoa.	568
4.E.13. Court of Appeal, <i>Houghton v. Trafalgar Insurance Co Ltd</i> . The overloaded car.	569
4.F.14. Cour d’appel de Colmar, 25 January 1903. Late completion of dwelling.	570

CONTENTS

4.2. SUPPLYING OMITTED TERMS	571
4.E.15. House of Lords, <i>Young and Marten Ltd v. McManus Childs Ltd</i> . Defective roofing tiles.	572
4.E.16. House of Lords, <i>Liverpool City Council v. Irwin</i> . Stairways and lifts.	574
4.F.17. <i>Articles 1719–1720 Code civil</i>	576
4.G.18. §537 BGB	576
4.E.19. Court of Appeal, <i>Reid v. Rush & Tompkins Group plc</i> . Dangers abroad.	577
4.INT.20. <i>Article 4.8 Unidroit Principles</i>	579
4.G.21. BGH, 18 December 1954. Exchange of doctors' practices.	579
4.G.22. BGH, 25 June 1980. Redecoration.	581
4.F.23. Cour d'appel de Rouen, 29 November 1968. Delayed building work.	583
4.F.24. Cass. civ. 3e, 15 February 1972. Wage scale no longer published.	584
4.E.25. Court of Appeal, <i>The Moorcock</i> . The Moorcock.	585
4.E.26. Court of Appeal, <i>K C Sethia Ltd v. Partabmull Rameshwar</i> . Jute quotas.	588

CHAPTER FIVE SUPERVENING EVENTS IN THE LIFE OF CONTRACT

5.1. IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE	592
5.PECL.1. <i>Article 8:108</i>	592
5.1.1. THE FRENCH SYSTEM	592
5.F.2. <i>Articles 1147, 1148 and 1722 Code civil</i>	593
5.I.3. <i>Article 1218 and 1256 Codice civile</i>	593
5.1.1.A. THE DEFINITION OF <i>FORCE MAJEURE</i>	594
5.F.4. Cass. civ. 1re, 9 March 1994. The Saint-Tropez robbery.	594
5.F.5. Cass. civ. 1re, 24 January 1995. The EDF strike.	596
5.F.6. Cass. civ. 1re, 12 April 1995 (2nd judgment). The infected blood transfusion.	598
5.1.1.B. EFFECTS OF <i>FORCE MAJEURE</i>	600
5.F.7. Cass. comm., 20 November 1979. The nickel-plating installation.	601
5.I.8. <i>Articles 1463–1465 Codice civile</i>	602
5.1.2. THE GERMAN SYSTEM	602
5.G.9. §§275 and 323 BGB	603
5.NL.10. <i>Articles 6:74 and 6:75 BW</i>	603
5.1.2.A. THE CONCEPT OF IMPOSSIBILITY FOR WHICH THE DEBTOR IS RESPONSIBLE	603
5.G.11. RG, 3 February 1914. The bad harvest.	603

CONTENTS

5.1.2.B. THE EFFECTS OF IMPOSSIBILITY	604
5.G.12. AG Mannheim, 20 October 1990. The death of the conductor.	605
5.G.13. OLG Dusseldorf, 30 December 1964. The illuminated sign.	605
5.1.3. THE ENGLISH SYSTEM	607
5.1.3.A. THE CASES	608
5.E.14. King's Bench, <i>Paradine v. Jane</i> . Prince Rupert's army.	608
5.E.15. Queen's Bench, <i>Taylor v. Caldwell</i> . The burnt music hall.	609
5.E.16. Court of Appeal, <i>Krell v. Henry</i> . The Coronation.	612
5.E.17. Court of Appeal, <i>Herne Bay Steam Boat Co v. Hutton</i> . The naval review.	615
5.E.18. House of Lords, <i>Davis Contractor Ltd v. Fareham UDC</i> . The 78 houses contract.	617
5.E.19. Court of Appeal, <i>Ocean Tramp Tankers Corp v. VO Sovracht, The Eugenia</i> . The Suez canal.	619
5.E.20. Court of Appeal, <i>Staffordshire Area Health Authority v. South Staffordshire Water Works Co</i> . The supply of water contract.	621
5.1.3.B. EFFECTS	623
5.E.21. <i>Law Reform (Frustrated Contracts) Act 1943, Section 1.</i>	623
5.1.4. IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE IN INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS	624
5.INT.22. <i>Article 79 CISG</i>	624
5.PECL.23. <i>Articles 8:101, 8:108 and 9:303</i>	625
5.2. HARDSHIP, IMPREVISION	626
5.PECL.24. <i>Article 6:111</i>	626
5.2.1 LAWS WHICH DECLINE TO REVISE THE CONTRACT	627
5.2.1.A. FRENCH LAW	627
5.F.25. Cass. civ., 6 June 1921. The stock-rearing contract.	628
5.2.1.B. ENGLISH LAW	630
5.2.2. RECOGNITION OF THE REVISING POWER: GERMAN LAW	630
5.G.26. RG, 3 February 1922. The 1919 inflation.	631
5.G.27. RG, 27 June 1922. The farmer's stocks.	632
5.G.28. BGH, 16 January 1953. The Berlin blockade.	634
5.G.29. BGH, 14 October 1992. The German reunification.	636
5.I.30. <i>Articles 1467–1469 Codice civile</i>	637
5.NL.31. <i>Article 6:258 BW</i>	638
5.PECL.32. <i>Article 6:111</i>	638
5.3. CLAUSES DEALING WITH SUPERVENING EVENTS	639
5.3.1. INDEXATION CLAUSES	639

CONTENTS

5.3.1.A. INDEXATION CLAUSES UNDER ENGLISH LAW	640
5.E.33. Chancery Division, <i>Multiservice Bookbinding v. Marden</i> . Link to Swiss franc.	640
5.3.1.B. INDEXATION CLAUSES UNDER GERMAN LAW	643
5.G.34. § 3 <i>Währungsgesetz</i>	643
5.G.35. BGH, 4 March 1964. “Performance proviso” clauses (<i>Leistungsvorbehalte</i>).	644
5.G.36. BGH, 6 December 1978. Clause “to be adjusted by court”.	645
5.G.37. BGH, 25 May 1977. “Tension clauses” (<i>Spannungsklausel</i>).	646
5.3.1.C. INDEXATION CLAUSES UNDER FRENCH LAW	647
5.F.38. <i>Article 1895 Code civil</i>	647
5.F.39. Cass. civ. 1re, 27 June 1957. Guyot.	648
5.F.40. <i>Article 79–3 Order of 30 December 1958</i>	650
5.3.2. <i>FORCE MAJEURE</i> CLAUSES	652
5.INT.41. <i>ICC</i> force majeure (exemption) clause	652
5.3.3. <i>HARDSHIP</i> CLAUSES	653
5.INT.42. <i>ICC</i> drafting suggestions for hardship clauses	654
5.F.43. Cour d’appel, Paris, 28 September 1976. Renegotiation of oil supply contract.	655

CHAPTER SIX REMEDIES FOR NON-PERFORMANCE

6.1. FAULT AND THE NATURE OF THE DEBTOR’S OBLIGATION	659
6.1.1. THE GERMAN APPROACH	660
6.G.1. §§ 275–276, 278–280, 282, 284–285, 323–326 <i>BGB</i>	660
6.G.2. §§ 459–460 and 462–463 <i>BGB</i>	662
6.1.2. THE FRENCH APPROACH	663
6.F.3. <i>Articles 1137 and 1147 Code civil</i>	663
6.F.4. <i>Malaurie and Aynès</i>	663
6.F.5. <i>Articles 1641–1646 Code civil</i>	664
6.1.3. THE ENGLISH APPROACH	665
6.E.6. <i>Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982</i> , s.13	666
6.1.4. COMPARATIVE OVERVIEW	667
6.INT.7. <i>Articles 5.4, 5.5 and 7.1.7. Unidroit Principles</i>	668
6.1.4.A. CONTRACTS OF CARRIAGE	670
6.1.4.B. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS	670
6.E.8. Court of Appeal, <i>Greaves & Co (Contractors) Ltd v. Baynham, Mickle & Partners</i> . The structural engineer and the warehouse.	670
6.F.9. <i>Article 1792 Code civil</i>	672

CONTENTS

6.G.10. §§ 633–637 <i>BGB</i>	672
6.2. ENFORCEMENT IN NATURA	674
6.PECL.11. <i>Articles 9:101–3</i>	674
6.2.1. NON-MONETARY OBLIGATIONS	675
6.2.1.A. INTRODUCTORY NOTE	675
6.2.1.B. THE BASIC RULES	675
6.F.11. <i>Articles 1138 and 1142–1144 Code civil</i>	675
6.F.12. Cass. civ., 20 January 1953. Inability to deliver goods.	675
6.G.13. §§241 and 249 <i>BGB</i>	677
6.G.14. §§887–888 <i>Zivilprozeßordnung</i>	677
6.E.15. Vice Chancellor's Court, <i>Falcke v. Gray</i> . Chinese vases.	678
6.PECL.16. <i>Article 9:102</i>	680
6.2.1.C. MAKING THE DEFENDANT PERFORM	680
6.F.17. <i>Articles 33–37 Loi no 91–650 du 9 juillet 1991</i>	680
6.G.18. §§890 and 894 <i>ZPO</i>	681
6.2.1.C. PERFORMANCE BY A THIRD PARTY	682
6.F.19. <i>Articles 1143–1144 Code civil</i>	682
6.G.20. §633 <i>BGB</i>	683
6.2.1.D. PRACTICAL EXAMPLES	683
6.F.21. <i>Article 1610 Code civil</i>	684
6.G.22. §433 <i>BGB</i>	684
6.G.23. §480 <i>BGB</i>	685
6.G.24. §476a <i>BGB</i>	686
6.F.25. <i>Articles 1641–1646 Code civil</i>	686
6.NL.26. <i>Article 7:21 BW</i>	688
6.PECL.27. <i>Article 9:102</i>	688
6.2.1.E. COMPARATIVE SUMMARY ON BASIC RULES AND THE INTERNATIONAL RESTATEMENTS	688
6.PECL.28. <i>Article 9:102</i>	689
6.2.1.F. LIMITATIONS ON SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE	689
6.G.29. §§251 and 325 <i>BGB</i>	689
6.F.30. Cass. civ., 17 November 1984. The French swimming pool.	689
6.G.31. §633 <i>BGB</i>	690
6.G.32. BGH, 10 October 1985. Insulated windows.	691
6.E.33. House of Lords, <i>Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v. Forsyth</i> . The English swimming pool.	694
6.PECL.34. <i>Article 9:102</i>	697
6.F.35. Cass. civ., 17 December 1963. Too many storeys.	697
6.F.36. Cass. civ., 14 March 1900. Lady Eden's portrait.	699
6.PECL.37. <i>Article 9:102</i>	700

CONTENTS

6.G.38. §888 ZPO	700
6.E.39. Court of Appeal, <i>Powell v. Brent London Borough Council</i> . Ms Powell.	701
6.F.40. Cass soc., 14 June 1972. M. Dal Poz.	703
6.G.41. Bundesarbeitsgericht, 10 November 1955. The Radiologist.	704
6.G.42. §888 ZPO	706
6.G.43. OLG Hamm, 10 October 1972. The Mom and Pop shop.	707
6.E.44. House of Lords, <i>Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd v. Argyll Stores (Holdings) Ltd</i> . Supermarket.	710
6.G.45. §765a ZPO	713
6.G.46. §251 BGB	714
6.G.47. OLG Hamm, 11 December 1946. Shortage of horses.	714
6.E.48. Court of Appeal, <i>Patel v. Ali</i> . Seller becomes disabled.	716
6.2.2. MONETARY OBLIGATIONS	717
6.E.49. House of Lords, <i>White & Carter (Councils) Ltd v. McGregor</i> . Litter bins.	718
6.PECL.50. <i>Article 9:101</i>	722
6.3. WITHHOLDING PERFORMANCE	722
6.3.1. AN INTRODUCTION TO WITHHOLDING PERFORMANCE AND TERMINATION	722
6.F.51. <i>Article 1184 Code civil</i>	723
6.3.2. WITHHOLDING PERFORMANCE	724
6.PECL.52. <i>Article 9:201</i>	724
6.3.2.A. BASIC RULES	724
6.F.53. Carbonnier	724
6.F.54. <i>Article 1612 Code civil</i>	725
6.G.55. §§320 and 322 BGB	726
6.E.56. King's Bench, <i>Kingston v. Preston</i> . Giving security.	727
6.E.57. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979</i> , S.28	728
6.3.2.B. THE ORDER OF PERFORMANCE	729
6.PECL.58. <i>Article 6:110</i>	730
6.3.2.C. WITHHOLDING WHEN THE OTHER PARTY HAS PERFORMED BUT HAS DONE SO DEFECTIVELY, OR HAS NOT PERFORMED SOME OF HIS OBLIGATIONS	731
6.F.59. Cass. com., 30 January 1979. Defective computer.	732
6.E.60. Court of Appeal, <i>Hoenig v. Isaacs</i> . Defects in a flat.	733
6.E.61. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979</i> , S.11	736
6.PECL.62. <i>Article 9:201</i>	737
6.3.2.D. WITHHOLDING PERFORMANCE WHEN THE BUYER HAS BEEN SUPPLIED WITH DEFECTIVE GOODS	738
6.G.63. §§478 and 479 BGB	738

CONTENTS

6.3.2.E. DEFAULT CAN BE ANTICIPATED	740
6.G.64. §321 <i>BGB</i>	740
6.E.65. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979</i> , S.41	740
6.F.66. <i>Article 1613 Code civil</i>	741
6.PECL.67. <i>Articles 9:201 and 8:105</i>	741
6.3.2.F. NON-PERFORMANCE OF OTHER CONTRACTS	741
6.G.68. §§273–274 <i>BGB</i>	742
6.4. TERMINATION	744
6.PECL.69. <i>Articles 8:103, 8:106 and 9:301–3</i>	744
6.4.1. THE MEANING OF TERMINATION	745
6.G.70. §326 <i>BGB</i>	746
6.PECL.71. <i>Article 9:303 and 9:305</i>	747
6.4.2. BASIC RULES AND MEANS OF TERMINATION	748
6.F.72. <i>Articles 1183–1184 Code civil</i>	748
6.F.73. Cass. civ. 1re, 28 April 1987. Faulty alarm system	749
6.G.74. §§323 and 325–327 <i>BGB</i>	750
6.G.75. 10 no. 2 and 11 no. 4 <i>AGBG</i>	751
6.G.76. BGH, 21 June 1985. Nachfrist.	752
6.G.77. §349 and 355 <i>BGB</i>	754
6.E.78. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979</i> , S.10(1)	755
6.PECL.79. <i>Articles 8:103, 8:106, 9:303 and 9:305</i>	755
6.4.3. TERMINATION BECAUSE GOODS DELIVERED ARE DEFECTIVE	756
6.F.80. <i>Articles 1641–1646 Code civil</i>	756
6.G.81. §§459–460, 462–463, 465–466 and 477	757
6.4.4. THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE DEFAULT	760
6.F.82. Cass. civ., 27 November 1950. Widow Doumenjou’s <i>rente viagère</i> .	760
6.G.83. BGH, 13 November 1953. Ship not loaded.	761
6.E.84. Court of Appeal, <i>Hong Kong Fir Shipping Co Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha</i> . The <i>Hong Kong Fir</i> case.	765
6.4.5. TIME STIPULATIONS— <i>FIXGESCHÄFTE</i>	769
6.F.85. §361 <i>BGB</i>	769
6.G.86. §376 <i>HGB</i>	770
6.G.87. BGH, 17 January 1990. Aluminium bottle caps.	770
6.E.88. Court of Appeal and House of Lords, <i>Bunge Corp v. Tradax SA</i> . 15 days’ notice.	772
6.E.89. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979 (as amended by Sale & Supply of Goods Act 1994)</i> , S.15A	777
6.4.6. TERMINATION BEFORE PERFORMANCE IS DUE	777
6.E.90. <i>Hochster v. de la Tour</i> . The Courier.	777
6.G.91. BGH, 21 March 1974. Geological survey demanded.	779

CONTENTS

6.PECL.92. <i>Article 9:304</i>	781
6.PECL.93. <i>Article 8:105</i>	782
6.4.7. AGREED RIGHTS OF TERMINATION	782
6.E.94. Court of Appeal, <i>Financings Ltd v. Baldock</i> . Power to terminate.	782
6.E.95. Court of Appeal, <i>Lombard North Central plc v. Butterworth</i> . Late payment for a computer.	784
6.F.96. Cass. civ. 3e, 8 April 1987. Widow Thomas' <i>rente viagère</i> .	787
6.G.97. §346 BGB	789
6.G.98. §10 Nr 3 AGBG	789
6.4.8. A last chance to perform	789
6.4.8.A. RIGHT TO PERFORM BEFORE DUE DATE	790
6.PECL.99. <i>Article 8:104</i>	790
6.4.8.B. REQUIREMENT OF NOTICE	790
6.4.8.C. COURT MAY GIVE ADDITIONAL PERIOD FOR PERFORMANCE	791
6.4.9. EFFECTS OF TERMINATION	792
6.4.9.A. THE EFFECT OF TERMINATION ON CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES FOR NON-PERFORMANCE	792
6.4.9.B. THE EFFECTS ON ANCILLIARY OBLIGATIONS	792
6.E.100. House of Lords, <i>Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Ltd</i> .	793
6.4.9.C. RESTITUTIONARY CLAIMS	795
6.PECL.101. <i>Articles 4:305–4:309</i>	795
6.F.102. Malaurie and Aynes	796
6.F.103. Cass. civ. 1re, 13 January 1987. Driving lessons.	798
6.G.104. §§346–349 BGB	801
6.G.105. §327 BGB	802
6.E.106. Court of Appeal, <i>Sumpter v. Hedges</i> . Partially completed building.	804
6.4.9. LOSS OF RIGHT TO TERMINATE	805
6.PECL.107. <i>Article 9:303</i>	805
6.E.108. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979 (as amended by Sale and Supply of Goods Act 1994, ss. 2 and 3)</i>	806
6.G.109. §§466 and 477 BGB	807
6.G.110. §377 HGB	808
6.F.111. <i>Article 1147 Code civil</i>	809
6.G.112. §§350–353 BGB	809
6.5. DAMAGES	811
6.PECL.113. <i>Articles 9:501–9:510</i>	811
6.5.1. THE BASIC MEASURE OF DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT	812

CONTENTS

6.F.114. <i>Article 1149 Code civil</i>	812
6.G.115. §§249–252 <i>BGB</i>	813
6.G.116. §430 <i>HGB</i>	813
6.G.117. Schlechtriem	814
6.E.118. Court of Exchequer, <i>Robinson v. Harman</i> .	814
6.PECL.119. <i>Article 9:502</i>	815
6.INT.120. <i>Article 7.4.2. Unidroit Principles</i>	816
6.5.2. THE REQUIREMENT OF NOTICE	816
6.F.121. <i>Articles 1146 and 1139 Code civil</i>	816
6.G.122. §§284, 286 and 326 <i>BGB</i>	817
6.5.3. RESTRICTIONS ON DAMAGES RECOVERABLE	818
6.5.3.A. UNFORSEEABLE OR INDIRECT LOSSES	818
6.F.123. <i>Articles 1150–1151 Code civil</i>	818
6.F.124. Cass. civ., 22 November 1893. Machine for peeling artichokes.	818
6.E.125. Court of Exchequer, <i>Hadley v. Baxendale</i> . The mill shaft.	821
6.G.126. Schlechtriem	823
6.INT.127. <i>Article 7.4.4 Unidroit Principles</i>	824
6.PECL.128. <i>Article 9:503</i>	824
6.5.3.B. The protective purpose of the norm	824
6.G.129. OLG Köln, 8 July 1982. The bank which told the tax man.	824
6.5.3.C. Losses which might have been avoided or reduced by the creditor.	827
6.E.130. House of Lords, <i>British Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co Ltd v. Underground Electric Railways Co of London Ltd</i> .	827
6.G.131. §§254 and 324 <i>BGB</i>	829
6.G.132. BGH, 7 May 1962. The architect and the defective building.	830
6.F.133. Cour d'appel de Paris, 7 January 1924. Low gas pressure.	831
6.PECL.134. <i>Article 9:505</i>	832
6.F.135. Cass. civ. 1re, 31 January 1973. The bad-tempered bear.	833
6.G.136. §254 <i>BGB</i>	835
6.G.137. Kammergericht, 14 November 1984. The stolen double bass.	835
6.PECL.138. <i>Article 9:504</i>	837
6.5.3.D. Contributory negligence	837
6.F.139. Cass. civ. 1re, 16 January 1962. Lunus.	838
6.5.3.E. Non-pecuniary loss (<i>préjudice moral</i>).	838
6.E.140. Court of Appeal, <i>Jarvis v. Swans Tours</i> . The solicitor's terrible holiday.	840
6.G.141. §253 <i>BGB</i>	842
6.G.142. §651 <i>BGB</i>	842
6.5.4. SOME TYPICAL CASES	844
6.5.4.A. A SELLER OF GOODS FAILS TO DELIVER	844
6.F.143. <i>Article 1144 Code civil</i>	844
6.E.144. <i>Sale of Goods Act 1979, s.51</i>	844
6.G.145. §252 <i>BGB</i>	844

CONTENTS

6.G.146. §376 <i>HGB</i>	844
6.PECL.147. <i>Articles 9:506–9:507</i>	846
6.5.4.B. A DEBTOR FAILS TO CARRY OUT WORK CORRECTLY	846
6.F.148. Cass. civ., 11 April 1918. Wrong kind of wood.	847
6.G.149. §§633 and 635 <i>BGB</i>	848
6.5.4.C. DEFECTS IN GOODS	850
6.G.150. §§472 and 463 <i>BGB</i>	851
6.G.151. BGH, 19 May 1993. Fake painting.	851
6.5.4.D. PLAINTIFF'S LOSS OR DEFENDANT'S GAIN?	855
6.G.152. OLG Braunschweig, 26 January 1891. The bread rolls.	855
6.E.153. Court of Appeal, <i>Surrey County Council v. Bredero Homes Ltd.</i> Extra houses.	857
6.E.154. House of Lords, <i>Attorney-General v. Blake</i> . The spy's book.	861
6.5.5. AGREED DAMAGES AND FORFEITURE CLAUSES	864
6.5.5.A. THE VALIDITY OF AGREED DAMAGES CLAUSES	864
6.F.155. <i>Articles 1152 and 1228–1231 Code civil</i>	864
6.E.156. House of Lords, <i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v. New Garage & Motor Co Ltd</i> . Dunlop tyres.	865
6.G.157. §§339–345 <i>BGB</i>	867
6.G.158. §348 <i>HGB</i>	868
6.G.159. OLG Köln, 24 April 1974. Plastic windows.	868
6.G.160. §11 Nr 5 and Nr 6 <i>AGBG</i>	871
6.PECL.161. <i>Article 9:509</i>	872
6.5.5.B. DEPOSITS AND FORFEITURE CLAUSES	873
6.F.162. <i>Article 1590 Code civil</i>	873
6.G.163. §§336, 338 and 359 <i>BGB</i>	874
6.EC.164. <i>Annex 1, Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts</i>	874
6.E.165. Privy Council, <i>Workers Trust and Merchant Bank Ltd v. Dojap Investments Ltd</i> . 25 per cent deposit.	875
6.PECL.166. <i>Article 1:106</i>	878

CHAPTER SEVEN THIRD PARTY CONSEQUENCES

7.1. THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES	879
7.1.1. INTRODUCTION	879
7.PECL.1. <i>Article 6:110</i>	879
7.G.2. §328 <i>BGB</i>	879

CONTENTS

7.1.2. PARTY BENEFICIARIES: THE POSITION IN GENERAL	881
7.F.3. <i>Article 1121 Code civil</i>	881
7.E.4. House of Lords, <i>Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co Ltd v. Selfridge & Co Ltd</i> . The tyre retailer.	882
7.E.5. Court of Appeal, <i>Darlington Borough Council v. Wiltshier Northern Ltd</i> . Darlington Recreational Centre.	885
7.1.3. THE BASIS OF THIRD PARTY CLAIMS	887
7.G.6. BGH, 28 June 1979. Isolar glass.	888
7.F.7. Cass. civ. 3e, 4 February 1986. Selling bread.	890
7.F.8. Cass. civ. 2e, 17 December 1954. Contaminated blood.	891
7.E.9. <i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999, s.1</i>	893
7.PECL.10. <i>Article 6:110(1)</i>	893
7.F.11. Paris, 18 June 1957. The “Double de Genève”.	893
7.G.12. BGH, 26 November 1986. Tax consultant’s “rosy view”.	895
7.E.13. House of Lords, <i>Smith v. Bush</i> . Negligent building society survey.	896
7.G.14. BGH, 11 January 1977. Carelessly drafted divorce settlement.	898
7.E.15. House of Lords, <i>White v. Jones</i> . Will not drawn up in time.	899
7.F.16. Cass. civ. 1re, 21 November 1978. The Carrefour takings.	902
7.1.4. EXEMPTION CLAUSES FOR THE BENEFIT OF THIRD PARTIES	904
7.G.17. BGH, 7 December 1961. The patrolman and the stove.	904
7.NL.18. <i>Article 6:257 BW</i>	905
7.E.19. House of Lords, <i>Scruttons Ltd v. Midland Silicones Ltd</i> . Stevedores and the drum of chemicals.	906
7.E.20. <i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999, s.1(6)</i>	908
7.1.5. MODIFICATION AND RESCISSION	909
7.PECL.21. <i>Article 2:115(3)</i>	909
7.E.22. <i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999, s.2</i>	909
7.1.6. DEFENCES AVAILABLE TO THE PROMISOR	910
7.E.23. <i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999, s.3</i>	910
7.1.7. REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO THE PROMISEE	911
7.E.24. <i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999, s.4</i>	911
7.2. AGENCY	912
7.2.1. INTRODUCTION	912
7.PECL.25. <i>Articles 3:201–3:202</i>	912
7.CH.26. <i>Article 32 Obligationenrecht</i>	913
7.G.27. §164 BGB	913
7.INT.28. <i>Article 1 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	914
7.2.2. DISCLOSED AGENCY	914
7.PECL.29. <i>Article 3:102</i>	914

CONTENTS

7.G.30.	<i>§383 HGB</i>	915
7.INT.31.	<i>Article 12 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	916
7.CH.32.	Swiss Federal Court, 19 December 1934. In the name of a group of banks.	916
7.E.33.	House of Lords, <i>Universal Steam Navigation Co Ltd v. James McKelvie & Co.</i> The Ariadne Irene.	918
7.F.34.	Cour d'appel, Lyon, 6 December 1985. Supplies of paper.	919
7.G.35.	BGH, 16 April 1957. Timber sold by non-owner.	921
7.2.3.	UNDISCLOSED AGENCY	922
7.INT.36.	<i>Article 13 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	923
7.E.37.	King's Bench, <i>Said v. Butt</i> . The unwelcome theatre critic.	923
7.INT.38.	<i>Article 13 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	924
7.E.39.	Court of Appeal, <i>Irvine v. Watson</i> . Agent paid by principal.	925
7.INT.40.	<i>Article 13(2)(b) Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	926
7.NL.41.	<i>Article 7:412 BW</i>	926
7.PECL.42.	<i>Articles 3:301–3:304</i>	926
7.2.4.	AGENT ACTING <i>ULTRA VIRES</i>	927
7.2.4.A.	APPARENT AUTHORITY	927
7.NL.43.	<i>Article 3:61(2) BW</i>	928
7.INT.44.	<i>Article 14 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	928
7.E.45.	Court of Appeal, <i>Freeman & Lockyer v. Buckhurst Park Properties (Mangal) Ltd</i> . Acting as managing director.	928
7.F.46.	Cass. Assemblée plénière, 13 December 1962. Two signatures required.	930
7.2.4.B.	RATIFICATION	930
7.INT.47.	<i>Article 15 Geneva Convention on Agency in the International Sale of Goods</i>	931
7.PECL.48.	<i>Article 3:207</i>	931
7.F.49.	House of Lords, <i>Keighley, Maxstead & Co v. Durant</i> . Ratification by undisclosed principal.	932
7.2.4.C.	TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY	933
7.PECL.50.	<i>Article 3:209</i>	933
7.G.51.	<i>§170 BGB</i>	933
7.2.4.D.	LIABILITY OF AGENT	933
7.E.52.	Court of Appeal, <i>Yonge v. Toynbee</i> . Principal insane.	934
7.PECL.53.	<i>Article 3:204</i>	935

CONTENTS

7.3. ASSIGNMENT	935
7.3.1. INTRODUCTION	935
7.3.2. VALIDITY OF ASSIGNMENT	936
7.3.2.A. FORMAL REQUIREMENTS	936
7.G.54. §398 BGB	937
7.F.55. Article 1690 Code civil	938
7.F.56. Cass. com., 18 February 1969. Service of statement of claim.	938
7.F.57. Cass. civ. 1re, 8 January 1955. Assignment of option to purchase.	939
7.F.58. Articles 1, 4 and 5 Loi Dailly (Law of 2 January 1981)	940
7.B.59. Articles 1690 and 1691 Code civil (Belgium)	941
7.E.61. Law of Property Act 1925, s. 136	941
7.3.2.B. SUBSTANTIVE REQUIREMENTS	942
7.E.62. Chancery Division, <i>Griffith v. Tower Publishing Co Ltd and Moncrieff</i> . Assignment by publishing company.	943
7.E.63. King's Bench, <i>Peters v. General Accident & Life Assurance Corp Ltd</i> . Motor policy assigned to car buyer.	944
7.E.64. House of Lords, <i>Tailby v. Official Receiver</i> . Assignment of book debts.	945
7.G.65. BGH, 25 October 1952. The master plumber's debts.	947
7.E.66. <i>Helstan Securities Ltd v. Hertfordshire County Council</i> . Prohibition on assignment.	950
7.G.67. §354a HGB	951
7.3.3. PROTECTION OF THE DEBTOR	952
7.E.68 Court of Appeal, <i>James Talcott Ltd v. John Lewis & Co Ltd and North American Dress Co. Ltd</i> Ambiguous notice.	953
7.G.69. Reichsgericht, 11 November 1913. Termination of building contract after date of assignment: Germany.	955
7.F.70. Cass. com., 9 February 1993. Termination of building contract after date of assignment: France.	957
7.G.71. BGH, 18 October 1972. Of tools assigned.	958
7.F.72. Article 6 Loi Dailly (Law of 2 January 1981)	959
7.F.73. Cass. com., 3 December 1991. Acceptance under Loi Dailly.	960
7.EC.74. Council Directive of 22 December 1986 for the approximation of the laws . . . concerning consumer credit	961