Preface

When the existence of neutron stars was confirmed by the discovery of radio pulsars in August 1967, there was general optimism that it should not be too difficult to explore and understand the physical properties of a rotating magnetised compact star with $\sim 10$ km radius. Forty years and more than 13 PhD student-generations later, everybody involved in the $\succ$neutron star business$\ll$ has lost this illusion, meanwhile learning how complex neutron stars are and how difficult it is to understand their physical properties.

Neutron stars form in supernova explosions and/or by an accretion induced collapse of a white dwarf. At the time of their discovery – and for many years later – it was generally accepted that neutron stars can only be observed as pulsars. According to the source of energy they were split into two classes, i.e. being powered by either rotation or accretion. Today, the neutron star world is much more intricate than it was fourty decades ago. In addition to the accretion powered pulsars, which are predominantly bright X-ray sources, and the rotation-powered pulsars which are observed throughout the electromagnetic spectrum, there are now X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars (XDINs), “radio-quiet neutron stars”, Compact Central Objects (CCOs) in supernova remnants, Soft Gamma-ray Repeaters (SGRs) and Anomalous X-ray Pulsars (AXPs).

Accordingly, neutron stars manifest themselves in many different ways. They become visible by high-energy processes occurring on their surface or surrounding region. In most of these objects, ultra-strong magnetic fields are a crucial element in the radio, optical, X-ray and gamma-ray emission processes which dominate the observed spectrum.

Observationally, neutron star research is advancing steadily. A great array of space instruments (the Hubble Space Telescope, ROSAT, ASCA, BeppoSAX, RXTE and the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory), launched in the last decade of the twentieth century have opened new windows on neutron star research with high quality data in energy bands from the optical to gamma-rays. With the more recently launched satellite X-ray observatories Chandra and XMM-Newton, the H.E.S.S. Array of Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes, upgraded radio observatories and ground based optical telescopes a number of questions which
remained unanswered for many years could be addressed and have led to new and exciting findings which have changed the earlier picture of neutron star evolution substantially.

However, even in view of these great observational capabilities and the intense research over a period of more than 40 years, there are fundamental questions which still have not been answered. How are the different manifestations of neutron stars related to each other? What are the physical parameters which differentiate AXPs/SGRs/CCOs/XDINs and rotation-powered pulsars? What is the maximal upper bound for the neutron star mass and what is the range of possible neutron star radii? Is there any exotic matter in neutron stars? Do strange stars exist? And what are the physical processes responsible for the pulsars’ broad band emission observed from the infrared to the gamma-ray band? These are just a few of the long standing open questions.

To adequately address these questions, it requires a wide range of scientific disciplines, including nuclear and condensed matter physics of very dense matter in neutron star interiors, plasma physics and quantum electrodynamics of the magnetospheres, relativistic magnetohydrodynamics of electron-positron pulsar winds interacting with some ambient medium. Not to forget the role of a test bed neutron stars provide for general relativity theories as well as being sources of gravitational waves. It is this variety of disciplines which, among others, makes the neutron star research so fascinating and attractive, not only for those who have been working in the field for many years but also for students and young scientists.

Especially students and young scientists often have the problem of finding a comprehensive reference with up-to-date information on multi-wavelength studies from neutron stars and pulsars and the various theoretical models. We have created this book to give them a reference at hand, which not only reviews the progress made since the early days of pulsar astronomy but focuses especially on questions such as (1) what have we learned about the subject and how did we learn it? (2) what are the most important open questions in this area? And (3) what new tools, telescopes, observations, calculations are needed to answer these questions?

Many of the authors who have contributed to this book have devoted a significant part of their scientific career on exploring the nature of neutron stars and understanding pulsars. Every one of us has paid special attention to write an educational comprehensive review article keeping beginners, students and young scientists as potential readers in mind. I am confident that this book will be a valuable source of information for them.

I am very thankful to all the authors for their contributions and to the referees for the time they have spent in getting the quality of the book to its final level.

Garching, Werner Becker
July 2008
Chapter 2
Radio Emission Properties of Pulsars

Richard N. Manchester

2.1 Introduction

Pulsars are fascinating objects with a wide range of applications in physics and astronomy. Characterised observationally by a highly periodic pulse train with periodicities typically in the range a few milliseconds to several seconds, they are generally identified with highly magnetised and rapidly rotating neutron stars formed in supernova explosions. Rotation of the star causes beamed emission, probably emanating from open field lines associated with the magnetic poles, to sweep across the sky generating one observed pulse per rotation period. A total of 1,765 pulsars are now known and almost all of these lie within our Galaxy.¹ As Fig. 2.1 illustrates, pulsars come in two main classes, those with periods in the millisecond range and the so-called “normal” pulsars with periods of order 1 s. Most millisecond pulsars (MSPs) are binary, that is, in an orbit with another star, whereas only a few percent of normal pulsars are binary. MSPs, which comprise about 10% of the known population, are believed to be relatively old pulsars which have been spun up or “recycled” by accretion from a binary companion [3]. Because of exchange interactions occurring in their dense cores, globular clusters are a fertile breeding ground for MSPs [10] and about three-quarters of the known MSPs are associated with these clusters.

Pulsar periods are extremely stable but they are not constant. All pulsars are slowing down because of loss of rotational kinetic energy to some combination of magnetic-dipole radiation (electro-magnetic waves at the pulsar spin frequency) and relativistic particle outflow. The spin-down rate can be expressed as

\[ \nu = -K\nu'', \]  
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¹ Pulsar parameters used in this paper have been obtained from the ATNF Pulsar Catalogue, Version 1.29, http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat [44].

where \( K \) depends on the magnetic field strength at the stellar surface, \( B_s \), and the neutron-star moment of inertia, \( I \), and \( n \) is the braking index. For pure magnetic-dipole braking, \( n = 3 \) and

\[
K = \frac{8\pi^2 B_s^2 R^6 \sin^2 \alpha}{3 I c^3}, \tag{2.2}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the inclination angle of the dipole magnetic axis relative to the spin axis.

We can define a “characteristic age” for the pulsar \( \tau_c = P/(2\dot{P}) \), where \( P = 1/\nu \) is the pulsar period and \( \dot{P} \) is its first time derivative. If the pulsar was born with a period much less than the present value and its spin-down is characterized by a braking index close to 3.0, then the characteristic age is a good indicator of the true age. We can also estimate the strength of the dipole field at the neutron-star surface, \( B_s = 3.2 \times 10^{19}(P\dot{P})^{1/2} \) G, where \( n = 3 \), \( I = 10^{45} \) g cm\(^2\) and \( \alpha = 90^\circ \) have been assumed.

Figure 2.2 shows the observed distribution of pulsars on the \( P-\dot{P} \) plane. MSPs have very low spin-down rates and hence relatively weak magnetic fields compared to normal pulsars. In contrast, Anomalous X-ray Pulsars (AXPs)\(^2\) lie in the upper

\(^2\) Soft Gamma-ray Repeaters (SGRs) with coherent pulsations are included in the AXP classification.
Fig. 2.2 Distribution of pulsars versus pulse period and period derivative. Pulsars which have detectable pulsed emission at high energies (optical, X-ray and γ-ray), including the so-called Anomalous X-ray Pulsars (AXPs), are indicated. Lines of constant characteristic age and surface dipole magnetic field are shown, along with the "spin-up line", the minimum period attainable through accretion from a binary companion. Pulsars discovered in the principal recent pulsar surveys are indicated.

right corner of the $P$–$\dot{P}$ diagram with periods in the range 5–12 s and very strong implied magnetic fields in the range $10^{14}$–$10^{15}$ G. Despite their rapid spin-down, the X-ray luminosity of these “magnetars” is too great to be powered by the rotational energy loss; decay of the super-strong magnetic fields is believed to be the energy
source [11]. Young pulsars lie predominantly in the upper left part of the diagram with magnetic fields of order $10^{12}$ G. For $n = 3$, these pulsars will evolve along lines of constant magnetic field into the region occupied by the bulk of the known pulsar population. Lying between the MSPs and the tail of the normal pulsar distribution, there is an important group of group of binary pulsars. These systems are characterized by relatively massive companions and include all of the known double-neutron-star systems. Because of the rapid evolution of the binary companion, the recycling phase was relatively short-lived in these systems and so these pulsars typically have intermediate periods in the range 20–100 ms.

More than half of the known pulsars have been discovered in the past few years, most notably using the 20-cm multi-beam receiver on the Parkes 64-m radio telescope in NSW, Australia. The main surveys undertaken with this system are described in Sect. 2.2 and other recent surveys using the 300-m Arecibo radio telescope and the 100-m Green Bank Telescope are described in Sect. 2.3. In the remainder of the review, some interesting recent results related to the pulsar emission mechanism are described – no claim to completeness is made. Recent results on pulse nulling and mode changing are described in Sect. 2.4. Recent results on pulse-to-pulse modulations and subpulse drifting are discussed in Sects. 2.5 and 2.6 describes recent observations of “giant” pulses and their implications. The extraordinary detection of transient radio emission from the magnetar XTE J1810-197 (PSR J1809−1943) is described in Sect. 2.7. Some recent results on the polarisation of young pulsars are described in Sect. 2.8. Some concluding remarks are given in Sect. 2.9.

2.2 Parkes Multi-Beam Pulsar Surveys

The Parkes 20-cm multi-beam receiver has 13 beams arranged in a double hexagon around a central beam and operates at a central frequency of 1,374 MHz [59]. The beams are spaced by two beam-widths on the sky but, by combining sets of four pointings, a given region of sky can be completely covered with beams overlapping at the half-power points. Each beam has two probes receiving orthogonal linear polarizations and, after amplification and down-conversion, each of the 26 signals is fed to a $96 \times 3$ MHz filterbank giving a total bandwidth of 288 MHz. Signals from corresponding polarizations are then detected, summed, high-pass filtered, one-bit digitized and recorded to magnetic tape for subsequent analysis.

A number of very successful pulsar surveys have been undertaken with this instrument. The most prolific is the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey [45] which covered a $10^\circ$-wide strip along the southern Galactic plane from $l = 260^\circ$ to $l = 50^\circ$ using a sampling interval of 250 µs. The observation time per pointing was relatively long, 35 min, and so the survey was quite sensitive with a limiting flux density of approximately 0.2 mJy for longer-period pulsars unaffected by dispersion smearing within a filter channel. A total of 3,080 pointings was required to cover the survey area. Survey observations commenced in mid-1997 and were completed in 2003.
The data were processed on work-station clusters at ATNF, Jodrell Bank Observatory and McGill University resulting in the detection of 1,015 pulsars of which 760 were new discoveries. Following confirmation of each pulsar, at least 18 months of timing observations were carried out in order to obtain accurate astrometric and timing parameters (cf. Fig. 2.2). A series of papers [16, 23, 34, 38, 45, 49] gives details of all of the pulsars detected and discusses various implications of the results. For example, Kramer et al. [34] discuss the properties of young pulsars and show that about 20 of the known pulsar population are likely to be associated with currently unidentified gamma-ray sources.

The Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey database was also searched for isolated dispersed pulses, leading to the discovery of an apparently new class of pulsars, the so-called Rotating Radio Transients or RRATs. McLaughlin et al. [46] detected eleven of these objects which emit an individual strong pulse at intervals ranging from minutes to hours. Careful analysis of the pulse arrival times led to the identification of underlying periodicities in the range 0.4–6 s and, in three cases, to a full timing solution showing the steady period increase typical of pulsars. There is little doubt that RRATs are rotating neutron stars but considerable doubt as to whether they are normal, albeit highly modulated, pulsars near the end of their active life [68] or a distinct population with different birth properties.

A companion survey to the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey, the Parkes High-Latitude Pulsar Survey, was carried out by the same group [5]. This survey covered the region $|b| < 60^\circ, 220^\circ < l < 260^\circ$ with a shorter sampling interval, 125 μs, and observation time, 4 min, to optimize sensitivity to MSPs and binary pulsars. Data processing for this survey was carried out on clusters at Bologna Astronomical Observatory, Cagliari Astronomical Observatory and Jodrell Bank Observatory. A total of 42 pulsars was detected and 18 of these were new discoveries. Four of the new discoveries are MSPs and three of these four are binary. They include the famous “double pulsar” PSR J0737−3039 A/B, the first-known binary pulsar in which both stars are detectable as pulsars [4, 40].

Lorimer et al. [38] discuss the properties of the Galactic population of pulsars based on the results of these two surveys. Figure 2.3 shows the observed and derived distributions in Galactocentric radius and Galactic z-distance, and the derived luminosity function and initial period distribution along with fitted functions to these distributions for two different assumed distributions for the free electrons in the Galaxy – see Lorimer et al. [38] for details of the procedures and the fitted functions.

It is clear that the derived radial distributions (Fig. 2.3a) are strongly dependent on the assumed distribution of free electrons. In particular, the existence of a deficit in the population near the Galactic Centre is quite uncertain, depending entirely on the poorly known electron distribution in the central region. Integration of the derived radial distributions gives a total Galactic population of about 30,000 potentially detectable pulsars with luminosity above 0.1 mJy kpc$^{-2}$. Assuming that pulsar emission is beamed according to the function derived by Tauris and Manchester [62], the total number of pulsars in the Galaxy with luminosity above 0.1 mJy kpc$^{-2}$ is derived to be $148,000 \pm 6,000$ for the azimuthally symmetric Model S [41] and $155,000 \pm 6,000$ for the “clumpy” Model C [8].
Fig. 2.3 Observed distributions in Galactocentric radius (a) and Galactic z-distance (b) from the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey data (upper panels) and derived distributions for the Galactic pulsar population taking into account survey selection effects (lower panels). The solid lines are a fit of suitable functions to the derived distributions. The observed and derived luminosity functions are shown in plot (c) and the distributions of initial (birth) spin period are given in plot (d). Two models for the Galactic free electron distribution are considered, the azimuthally symmetric model of Lyne, Manchester and Taylor [41] (Model S, upper group of four plots) and that of Cordes and Lazio [8] (Model C, lower group of four plots). The dotted curves show (a) the assumed free electron distribution, (b) an exponential distribution of scale height 350 pc, (c) the log-normal luminosity function derived by Faucher-Giguère and Kaspi [15] and (d) an initial-period distribution used by Kolonko et al. [32].
The derived $z$ distributions are also very dependent on the assumed electron density distributions, with a scale height of about 330 pc for Model S and 180 pc for Model C. Independent studies of the $z$ distribution [47] and the observed distribution of pulsars detected in the Parkes High-Latitude Pulsar Survey [5] are more consistent with the larger scale height.

The derived luminosity function is well fitted by a simple power law but its slope of $-0.6$ (Model S) or $-0.8$ (Model C) is flatter than that obtained from earlier studies. The derived initial period distribution is well fitted by a log-normal distribution peaking at about 500 ms. A pulsar current analysis gives a birthrate of $0.34 \pm 0.05$ per century for potentially detectable pulsars with luminosity greater than $0.1 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$ in the Galaxy. With beaming taken into account, the derived birthrate is approximately 1.3 pulsars per century, depending on the beaming model assumed.

A group based at Swinburne University of Technology used the Parkes multibeam system to search Galactic latitudes between $5^\circ$ and $30^\circ$ with the same longitude range as the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey. Like the Parkes High-Latitude Pulsar Survey, the Swinburne survey used a faster sampling interval and shorter observation time to give improved sensitivity for millisecond and binary pulsars [13,25]. The survey detected 230 pulsars of which 95 were new discoveries. Notable amongst them was PSR J1909$-3744$, a 2.5-ms pulsar in a 1.5-day orbit with a very narrow pulse, only 42 µs wide at the half-power point [26]. This leads to very precise pulse timing which has enabled an accurate parallax measurement (implied distance $1.14 \pm 0.04 \text{ kpc}$) and an accurate measurement of the Shapiro delay, leading to a value for the pulsar mass of $1.438 \pm 0.024 \text{ M}_\odot$ [27].

Another recent survey undertaken with the Parkes multi-beam receiver is a deep search for pulsars in the Magellanic Clouds [43]. Observation times were 2.3 h per pointing giving a limiting mean flux density for isolated or long-period binary pulsars of 0.12 mJy. The survey required 73 pointings for the Small Magellanic Cloud and 136 pointings for the Large Magellanic Cloud. A total of 14 pulsars was discovered, 12 of which are believed to lie in the Magellanic Clouds. These discoveries bring the total number of pulsars known in the Clouds to 20. Only the high end of the luminosity function is sampled, but the derived values are consistent with the luminosity function for Galactic pulsars. Although the sample is relatively small, there was no evidence for a significant dependence of radio luminosity on either pulsar period or characteristic age.

### 2.3 Other Recent Surveys

Initial results from a pulsar survey using the Arecibo L-band Feed Array (ALFA) system have been reported by Cordes et al. [7]. The ALFA system has seven beams with a bandwidth of 300 MHz centred on 1,375 MHz. Currently the Pulsar ALFA (PALFA) survey is using signal processors of bandwidth 100 MHz but ultimately the full 300 MHz bandwidth will be used. The PALFA survey plans to
cover $|b| < 5^\circ$ for the two regions of the Galactic plane accessible to the Arecibo telescope, $40^\circ < l < 75^\circ$ and $170^\circ < l < 210^\circ$, but the current observations are restricted to $|b| < 1^\circ$. The observation time per pointing is 134 s for the first region, giving a limiting flux density for long-period, low-DM pulsars of about 0.07 mJy, and 67 s for the anti-centre region with a correspondingly reduced sensitivity. From a preliminary analysis of the data, 11 previously unknown pulsars have been discovered and 29 previously known pulsars detected. One of the new discoveries, PSR J1906+0746, is a relatively young pulsar with a pulse period of 144 ms in a mildly eccentric ($e = 0.085$) 3.98-h orbit [39]. Relativistic perturbations to the orbit are detectable; specifically a periastron advance of $7.57 \pm 0.03$ yr$^{-1}$ has been observed, implying a total system mass of $2.61 \pm 0.02$ M$_\odot$. It is not clear if the companion is a heavy white dwarf (with the system having a similar evolutionary history to PSR J1141−6545) or a second neutron star. Another of the new discoveries, PSR J0628+09, is an extremely sporadic emitter with a period of 1.2 s that was discovered in a single-pulse search but not detected in the standard periodicity search. Cordes et al. [7] predict that the PALFA survey will ultimately discover about 1,000 pulsars, but Lorimer et al. [38] suggest a smaller number, about 375 new discoveries.

An outstandingly successful search for pulsars in the globular cluster Terzan 5 using the 100-m Green Bank Telescope has been undertaken by Ransom et al. [53]. Observations during 2004 using a receiving system with 600 MHz of bandwidth centred on 1,950 MHz with a sampling interval of about 80 $\mu$s were analysed to reveal a total of 21 previously unknown pulsars in the cluster, bringing the total known to 24 (Fig. 2.4). All of the new pulsars appear to be recycled, but their

![Pulse profiles recorded at the Green Bank Telescope for 24 pulsars in the globular cluster Terzan 5 [53]. The central observing frequency was 1,950 MHz. For each profile the pulsar period and extent of profile smearing ($\sim 0.3$ ms) are indicated. An asterisk indicates that the pulsar is a member of a binary system](image)
periods cover a larger range, 1.6–80 ms, than the pulsars associated with 47 Tucanae. Thirteen of the new pulsars are binary, with orbital periods in the range 0.25–60 days and near-circular orbits except for two, PSR J1748−2446I and PSR J 1748−2446J. These two systems respectively have orbital periods of 1.3 and 1.1 days, eccentricities of 0.43 and 0.35 and companion masses greater than 0.24 $M_\odot$ and 0.38 $M_\odot$. With these parameters, relativistic orbit perturbations are detectable. The observed periastron advance leads to total masses of close to 2.2$M_\odot$ for both systems, implying most probable pulsar masses of about 1.7$M_\odot$, much higher than accurately measured pulsar masses in other pulsar binary systems.

Continued observations and data analysis have led to the discovery of even more pulsars in this cluster. Although details of these further results (with one exception) are not yet formally published, the compilation by Paulo Freire at http://www.naic.edu/~pfreire/GCpsr.html lists a total of 33 pulsars associated with Terzan 5. This one cluster therefore contains about 25% of the total known cluster pulsar population and nearly 20% of all known MSPs! Most notable of the recent discoveries is PSR J1748−2446ad which has the shortest period of any known pulsar, 1.396 ms, corresponding to a spin frequency of 716 Hz [21]. The pulsar is binary in a circular orbit of period 1.04 days and with a companion of minimum mass 0.14 $M_\odot$. As with several other pulsars in short-period binary systems in the cluster, the pulsar is eclipsed for about 40% of the orbit, presumably by an ablated wind from the companion. The mean pulse profile has a weak interpulse approximately midway between the main pulses and its mean flux density at 1,950 MHz is only 80 $\mu$Jy.

### 2.4 Pulsar Nulling and Mode Changing

Pulsar nulling is a phenomenon observed mostly in longer-period pulsars in which the pulsed emission abruptly turns off for intervals which range from a few pulse periods to many weeks in different pulsars and then just as abruptly turns on. Mode changing is an apparently related phenomenon in which the mean pulse profile abruptly changes to a different form and then, typically a few minutes later, reverts to the original form. Other pulse properties such as polarisation, subpulse drifting and pulse microstructure are affected by these transitions, showing that they represent a fundamental change in the emission process.

With its relatively long observation time per pointing, the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey provided an excellent database for studies of these phenomena. Wang et al. [65] studied 23 pulsars for which the survey observations showed evidence for nulling behaviour. Figure 2.5 shows a selection of these illustrating the range of “null fraction”, i.e., fraction of time that a pulsar spends in the null state. Seven of the 23 pulsars have null fractions in excess of 40% and, with just one short burst during a 2-h observation, PSR J1717−4054 has the largest observed null fraction, >95%.

The close relationship between nulling and mode changing is illustrated by the fascinating behaviour of PSR J1326−6700 shown in Fig. 2.6. The normal emission
from this pulsar has a broad pulse profile with three main components. Every few minutes it switches to a different mode in which essentially all of the normal emission ceases and a new pulse component appears at the leading edge of the normal-mode profile. Another demonstration of the close relationship between nulling and mode changing is provided by PSR B0826−34. This long-period (1.848 s) pulsar is characterized by a very wide pulse profile covering essentially the whole pulse period, complicated drifting subpulse behaviour and very extended null intervals [12]. The null fraction is at least 70% and observed null intervals range in length from a few pulse periods to many hours. Recent observations using the Parkes radio telescope at 1.374 MHz by Esamdin et al. [14] show that the pulsar does not turn off completely in the “null” intervals. Integration of the “null” data show a weak pulse of mean flux density about 2% of that in the “on” phase. As shown in Fig. 2.7, the mean pulse profile in the “null” phase is quite different to that in the “on” phase, showing that the apparent nulls are actually mode changes. Nulls are just an absence of detectable emission and the limits on emission in the null intervals vary, but are at best about 1% of the on-phase flux density. It is possible that, with sufficient sensitivity, pulsed emission would always be found in so-called null intervals. It is clear that both nulls and mode changes result from a large-scale and persistent changes in the magnetospheric current distribution which result in a dramatic change in the radiated beam. Whether it is called a null or a mode change just depends on whether or not a significant part of the modified beam crosses the Earth.

Another interesting recent result which has implications for the interpretation of pulse nulling is the detection of a change in the rate of spin-down during the
Fig. 2.6. Phase–time plot for individual pulses from PSR J1326–6700. The total data span is a little over 2 h. The insets show two portions of the dataset with an expanded scale [65].
null phase for PSR B1931+24 [35]. This pulsar has a quasi-periodic modulation in which the pulsar is on for 5–10 days and then undetectable for 25–35 days. Because of this long timescale it is possible to measure $\dot{\nu}$ for each “on” phase and compare that with the long-term $\dot{\nu}$. Figure 2.8 illustrates the fascinating result that when the pulsar is on, the spin-down rate $\dot{\nu} = (-16.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$, about 50% greater than the value in the off state, $(-10.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-15}$ Hz s$^{-1}$, derived from a fit to the timing residuals. This result clearly demonstrates that the magnetospheric currents responsible for the emission of the radio beam also contribute to the pulsar braking. Remarkably, if it is assumed that the braking in the null state is solely due to magnetic-dipole radiation and that the magnetospheric currents are zero in this state, then the magnetospheric charge density in the on state required to generate the additional braking is almost exactly equal to the Goldreich–Julian value [17].

The implication that magnetospheric currents completely switch off in the null state appears somewhat at odds with our previous conclusion that nulls are basically mode changes where the radio beam is either much weaker than in the on state or is redirected so that it doesn’t sweep over the Earth as the pulsar rotates. However, it is quite possible that in a null-like mode change either the magnitude of the current or its effectiveness in braking the pulsar is significantly reduced, but not necessarily zero. This leaves open the fractional contribution of magnetic-dipole radiation to the braking and implies magnetospheric charge densities greater than the Goldreich–Julian value, at least in the on state.
Fig. 2.8 Long-term timing behaviour of the nulling pulsar PSR B1931+24. Panel-A gives the time variation of pulse frequency, showing that the spin-down rate is larger than average when the pulsar is on and, by implication, less than average when the pulsar is off. Panel-B shows a fit of a simple model with different values of \( \dot{\nu} \) for the on and off states to the timing phase residuals [35].

2.5 Pulse Modulation and Drifting

Pulsars exhibit a variety of pulse modulation phenomena which are different to, but never-the-less related to, pulse nulling and mode changing. The power spectrum of pulse-to-pulse fluctuations in pulse energy often shows quasi-periodic components which are usually confined to the outer or conal parts of the pulse profile [2]. Subpulse drifting is a closely related phenomenon in which the pulse phase or longitude of subpulses varies systematically in successive pulses, see for example [63]. The properties of the drifting subpulses, for example, the rate at which they drift across the profile or even their existence, are often affected by nulls and mode changes, illustrating the close connection between these various phenomena [28, 54].

Clear drifting subpulses are only observed in a handful of pulsars. However, a systematic study of 1.4 GHz Westerbork data for 187 pulsars by Weltevrede, Edwards and Stappers [67] has shown evidence for subpulse drifting in 68 pulsars, more than a third of the sample. Taking into account signal/noise limitations, this indicates that more than half of all pulsars have some drifting behaviour. Figure 2.9 illustrates the analysis procedures used. Drifting behaviour is indicated by a concentration of power away from the vertical zero-frequency axis in the 2-dimensional power spectra. Two types of drifting are identified: “coherent” in which the feature
Fig. 2.9 Results of pulse modulation analyses using Westerbork 1.4-GHz data for selected pulsars [67]. In the upper panel for each pulsar the mean pulse profile (solid line), the variance of the pulse-to-pulse fluctuations (open circles) and the corresponding modulation index (points with error bars) are shown as a function of pulse longitude (in degrees). The middle panels contain the longitude-resolved fluctuation spectra, i.e., the spectra of time sequences of power in individual longitude bins and the lower panels give the 2-dimensional power spectra of the observed longitude-time variations. The 1-dimensional spectra at the side and bottom are the power integrated across the 2-dimensional plots in the fluctuation spectrum is narrow (less than 0.05 cycles/period) and “diffuse” in which a wider feature is observed. Examples of the two types given in Fig. 2.9 are PSR B2043−04 (coherent) and PSR B2021+51 (diffuse). Although some pulse modulation indices are larger than 1.0, they are generally smaller, with ~0.5 being the most common value. There is little correlation of modulation index with the presence or absence of drifting subpulses. However, there is a significant correlation of drifting behaviour with pulsar characteristic age, with older pulsars more likely to show drifting behaviour, especially coherent drifting.
2.6 Giant and Not-So-Giant Pulses

Giant pulses are, by convention, defined to be intense narrow pulses which typically have a pulse energy much greater than that of the average pulse. They are characterized by a power-law distribution of pulse energies and a close association with high-energy (X-ray or γ-ray) pulse emission. They are observed in two classes of pulsars, young energetic pulsars such as the Crab pulsar, which was indeed discovered through its giant pulse emission [58], and MSPs, which also have a high value of spin-down luminosity $\dot{E} = 4\pi^2 I P^{-3}$.

The highest time-resolution observations to date have been published by Hankins et al. [19]. Arecibo observations of the Crab pulsar at 5.5 GHz with a bandwidth of 500 MHz were recorded with a baseband system and coherently de-dispersed to give a maximal time resolution of 2 ns. Figure 2.10 shows that a single giant pulse consists of a series of “nanopulses” with timescales of a few nanoseconds. The extremely short timescale of the nanopulses implies scale sizes for the emitting regions of order 1 m and brightness temperatures of order $10^{37}$ K. It is likely that these nanopulses are the fundamental units of emission from the coherent process. Their short timescale appears inconsistent with either coherent curvature radiation from electron bunches or maser processes, leaving collapse of wave packets generated by small-scale plasma turbulence as the most likely candidate.

Other recent observations of Crab giant pulses include those of Popov et al. [52] and Jessner et al. [29]. Popov et al. used the Kalyzin 64-m radio telescope at 600 MHz and showed that all pulses in the main pulse and interpulse were giant; i.e., in a long-term synchronous average, the integrated intensity of these two pulse components could be entirely accounted for by the giant pulses. In contrast, no giant

![Figure 2.10 Nanopulses from the Crab pulsar, recorded at 5.5 GHz with the Arecibo radio telescope and coherently de-dispersed to give 2-ns time resolution [19]](image-url)
pulses were observed from the so-called “precursor” component which precedes the main pulse by about 2 ms, is wider than either the main pulse or the interpulse and is highly linearly polarised.

At high radio frequencies the mean pulse profile has a number of other components which are not seen at lower frequencies (or in X-rays and γ-rays) [48]. Using the Effelsberg 100-m radio telescope at 8.3 GHz, Jessner et al. [29] observed giant pulses, not only from the main pulse and interpulse (at this frequency, the interpulse is much stronger than the main pulse), but also from the two high-frequency components HFC1 and HFC2. A few strong pulses were observed at phases close to the precursor, but it is not clear if they are related to that component.

In the Crab pulsar, the main pulse and interpulse, which as mentioned above are dominated by giant pulses, have precisely the same pulse phase as the peaks of the optical, X-ray and γ-ray pulse components. A similar association of giant pulse emission phases with high-energy pulse components is observed in PSR B1937+21, the original MSP, where both are on the trailing wing of the main radio pulse and interpulse [9]. Recent observations using the 100-m Green Bank telescope at 850 MHz by Knight et al. [31] detected giant pulses from the MSP PSR J0218+4232. As Fig. 2.11 shows, the giant pulses occur at minima in the mean radio

![Fig. 2.11](image)

**Fig. 2.11** The *top panel* shows the mean pulse profile of PSR J0218+4232 at 850 MHz with the pulse phases and energies of giant pulses marked by crosses. The *lower panel* shows the *Chandra* 0.1–10 keV X-ray pulse profile phase aligned with the radio profile as emitted from the pulsar (i.e. after correcting for the radio dispersion delay) [31, 36, 55]
profile but are coincident with the phases of the X-ray peaks. These results clearly show that the emission regions for high-energy emission and for giant pulses in both young and millisecond pulsars are closely associated, and that both are distinct from the emission region(s) for the “normal” radio emission.

Very strong individual pulses are observed from more “normal” pulsars. For example, Kuzmin and Ershov [37] observed pulses from PSR B0031−07 at 40 and 111 MHz which were several hundred times as strong as the average pulse. They were confined to a narrower pulse phase range than that covered by the mean pulse profile and were concentrated near the peaks of the mean profile. A very similar phenomenon was observed by Weltevrede et al. [68] at 327 MHz in PSR 0656+14. These results suggest that these are not “giant” pulses in the sense defined at the start of this section. They are much broader, with pulse widths typically several milliseconds, they are related in pulse phase to the normal emission, they do not have a power-law intensity distribution and they are not associated with high-energy emission. Furthermore, these pulsars do not have high values of $\dot{E}$. It seems more likely that these strong pulses reflect extreme examples of normal subpulse modulation. As mentioned in Sect. 2.2 and discussed by Weltevrede et al. [68], it is quite possible that most if not all RRATs are highly modulated pulsars similar to these (but more distant).

### 2.7 Transient Radio Emission from a Magnetar

Apart from some claimed but unconfirmed detections at low radio frequencies [42, 56], searches for pulsed radio emission from magnetars (AXPs and SGRs) have been unsuccessful. This changed dramatically in March 2006 when strong radio pulses at 1.4 GHz were observed from XTE J1810−197 (PSR J1809−1943) at Parkes [6]. Following a large X-ray flare [24] the AXP was detected as a variable radio continuum source at 1.4 GHz [6, 18]. The Parkes observations revealed strong radio pulses at the 5.54 s period of the AXP which were consistent with the entire radio flux being pulsed. Large day-to-day variations in flux density, which cannot be accounted for by interstellar scintillation, were observed. Observations over a wide range of frequencies using Parkes, the Very Large Array and the Green Bank Telescope showed that, within the uncertainty caused by the flux variations, the pulse spectrum was essentially flat. As Fig. 2.12 shows, strong individual pulses were detected at frequencies as high as 42 GHz, a remarkable and unprecedented result.

This AXP lies within the boundaries of the Parkes Multibeam Pulsar Survey and was observed in 1997 and 1998. No pulsations were detected, showing that the pulsed flux density was less than about 0.2 mJy at that time. This suggests that the strong radio pulsed emission from this object is related to the occurrence of the X-ray flare in early 2003. It is possible that the lack of confirmation of earlier detections of radio emission from magnetars [42, 56] results from a similar transient nature of the pulsed emission.
2.8 Rotation Axis: Proper Motion Correlation

The relationship between the direction of the pulsar spin axis and the direction of the pulsar space velocity is important in helping us to understand the origin of pulsar velocities. For example, Tademaru and Harrison [61] proposed the “photon rocket” mechanism and, more recently, Spruit and Phinney [57] discussed the “slow kick” model, both of which result in an alignment of the pulsar spin and velocity vectors. Early investigations [1] found no correlation between the projected axis of rotation derived from pulsar polarisation observations and the pulsar proper motion vector, suggesting that the pulsar “rocket” mechanism [61] was not effective in accelerating pulsars. However, many of the pulsars in this sample were relatively old, implying a possible decoupling of the orientation of the current proper motion vector and the spin axis direction because of acceleration in the Galactic gravitational field. Furthermore, the interpretation of the observed position angle variations was complicated by the presence of orthogonal polarisation modes [60]. New light was shed on this by remarkable X-ray results showing equatorial tori surrounding the Crab and Vela pulsars [20, 22, 66]. These tori unambiguously define the orientation of the pulsar spin axis and, in both cases, the direction projected on the sky is close to that of the proper motion [20]. Ng and Romani [51] showed that X-ray tori around several other young pulsars were similarly aligned with their proper motion vectors.

Johnston et al. [30] used Parkes observations to improve rotation measures and fitted the rotating-vector model to the observed position angle (PA) variations to
derive improved estimates of the intrinsic PA of the centre of symmetry of the PA variation across the pulse. The results of comparing these angles with the PA of the proper motion vector are shown in Fig. 2.13. Similar results were obtained by Wang et al. [64] by reanalyzing archival data. Figure 2.13 shows a strong preference for angle differences either close to 0° or to 90° and is inconsistent with a random distribution at the 94% level. If the 90° offsets are attributed to emission in the orthogonal mode (right panel) then the significance improves to 98%. In the case
of three young pulsars, Crab, Vela and PSR B0656+14, this reassignment of the PA difference is confirmed by X-ray and optical observations.

These results strengthen the case for alignment of the rotation and velocity vectors, at least for young pulsars. In the context of the Spruit and Phinney [57] model, they imply that birth kicks effectively act over a time long compared to the birth spin period, so that the components perpendicular to the spin axis average to zero.

2.9 Conclusions

This review has presented a selection, by no means complete, of interesting recent results related to the radio emission from pulsars. Despite the nearly 40 years since their discovery, pulsars remain a highly active and productive field of research. They are distinguished not only by their intrinsic interest, but also by their power and versatility as probes of a wide range of physical and astrophysical problems. Essentially all pulsar observations are sensitivity limited. With new more sensitive facilities such as the Chinese FAST telescope [50] and the Square Kilometer Array [33] being planned, the future of pulsar astronomy is bright.
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