## Preface

The AMAST movement was initiated in 1989 with the First International Conference on Algebraic Methodology and Software Technology (AMAST), held on May 21–23 in Iowa City, Iowa, and aimed at setting the development of software technology on a mathematical basis. The virtue of the software technology envisioned by AMAST is the capability to produce software that has the following properties: (a) it is correct and its correctness can be proved mathematically, (b) it is safe, such that it can be used in the implementation of critical systems, (c) it is portable, i.e., it is independent of computing platforms and language generations, and (d) it is evolutionary, i.e., it is self-adaptable and evolves with the problem domain. Ten years later a myriad of workshops, conferences, and research programs that share the goals of the AMAST movement have occurred. This can be taken as proof that the AMAST vision is right. However, often the myriad of workshops, conferences, and research programs lack the clear objectives and the coordination of their goals towards the software technology envisioned by AMAST. This can be taken as a proof that AMAST is still necessary.

The approach of software development promoted by AMAST is based on the integration of the two fundamental mechanisms for problem solving, *specification* and *computation*, into a general problem-solving model by a machineindependent methodology where: (1) specification allows one to develop the mathematical model of the problem at hand and to represent this model in a mathematical language; (2) computation allows one to apply specific *calculi* on problem representation and its input data to deduce the solution. A major aspect of the AMAST interpretation of the algebraic methodology is that software environments designed to help develop software systems should be populated by tools, components, and patterns. Tools are efficient implementations of universal algorithms; components are stand-alone software automatically generated by tools from finite specifications; patterns are domain-oriented composition schemes mapping components into software systems. This ensures the development of a software factory capable of producing software systems having the qualities required by the AMAST movement.

Ten years after its initiation AMAST is no longer a simple grass-roots movement. Instead, AMAST has become a professional movement whose goals are embraced by a large international following. As long as AMAST remains a movement of world-wide computer science researchers determined by philosophical and intellectual conviction rather than by academic or business opportunism, AMAST can provide the balance and the common sense needed by the myriad of formal-methods trends, that are often short sighted by short-term goals of for-profit-organizations. This makes AMAST further necessary as the unique worldwide movement with the goal of *creating the mathematical methodology for the development of software technology*. The transformation of algebraic methodology into the software technology is a dynamic process that involves both static and evolutionary knowledge. Since the more one discovers the more remains to be discovered this process never ends. Hence, AMAST will always be needed to balance the process of building the software factory.

In response to the call for papers, 53 papers were submitted to AMAST 2000 and 29 have been selected by the program committee. The relatively small number of papers submitted tells us that the recent advances in software system design and application requires new forms of research dissemination. It seems that the classical form of paper presentation cannot catch the excitement raised by the current stage of the software technology. Therefore AMAST 2000 takes a step ahead on research dissemination by mixing paper presentations with open panel discussions. The discussion of the software worker education is guided by David Lorge Parnas, who introduces us to "A software engineering program of lasting value" and Jeannette M. Wing, who presents "Thoughts on integrating formal methods into a computer science curriculum". The technical meetings are organized around the following invited talks: Egidio Astesiano, "Plugging data constructs into paradigm-specific languages: towards an application to UML"; Yuri Gurevich, "ASM formalware in the software engineering cycle"; Michael Healy, "Applying category theory to derive engineering software from encoded knowledge"; Oege de Moor, "Pointwise relational programming"; David Lorge Parnas, "Making mathematical methods more practical for the software developer"; and Martin Wirsings, "Algebraic state machines". The excitement is however provided by the three open-panel discussions organized by Joseph Goguen, "New computing logics"; Douglas Smith, "Automatic program generation"; and Roger Shultz, "From software model to commercial product". We thank all these invited speakers for sharing their expertise with us.

Here I thank those whose generosity made possible the organization of this AMAST conference. Ralph Wachter, Office of Naval Research, helped us to initiate AMAST, to lead it towards its maturity, and finally to celebrate its 10th anniversary. Thanks are due to the University of Iowa, Dean Linda Maxson, Associate Provost Lee Anna Clark, and Vice President for Research David Skorton, for their financial support, and particularly Steve Bruell, Chair of Computer Science Department, for his continual support. I also thank Arthur Fleck and the AMAST steering committee for the guidance and all the program committee members for their effort during paper reviewing and selection. Finally, I thank all those who submitted papers to this edition of AMAST. I believe that the result of a peer-evaluation process is rather subjective and therefore I congratulate both those whose papers were accepted as well those whose papers were not accepted. Last (of course, not least) my thanks go to the organizing committee, particularly to Robert Kooima, and to Springer-Verlag, particularly to Alfred Hofmann, Anna Kramer, and Antie Endemann, whose excellent cooperation and advice made these proceedings feasible.

Teodor Rus

## Organization

#### **Conference chair:** Maurice Nivat **Program chair:** Teodor Rus **Local organization chair:** Steve Bruell

#### **Program Committee**

Andre Arnold, France Gabriel Baum, Argentina Robert Berwick, USA Val Tannen, USA Chris Brink, South Africa Christian Calude, New Zealand Philippe Darondeau, France Rocco De Nicola, Italy Arthur Fleck, USA Kokichi Futatsugi, Japan Harald Ganzinger, Germany Yuri Gurevich, USA Nicolas Halbwachs, France Peter Henderson, UK Paola Inverardi, Italy Ryszard Janicki, Canada Michael Johnson, Australia Gary Leavens, USA Thomas Maibaum, UK Chris Marlin, Australia Peter Mosses, Denmark Anton Nijholt, The Netherlands Michael O'Donnell, USA David Lorge Parnas, Canada Don Pigozzi, USA Charles Rattray, UK Giuseppe Scollo, The Netherlands Roger Shultz, USA Douglas Smith, USA Carolyn Talcott, USA Alagar Vangalur, Canada Paulo Veloso, Brazil Jeannette Wing, USA Hantao Zhang, USA

Egidio Astesiano, Italy Didier Begay, France Michel Bidoit, France Gregor Bochmann, Canada Manfred Broy, Germany Christine Choppy, France Jim Davies, UK Ruy de Queiroz, Brazil Marcelo Frias, Argentina Dov Gabbay, UK Radu Grosu, USA Armando Haeberer, Brazil Michael Healy, USA Yoshi Inagaki, Japan Dan Ionescu, Canada Jarkko Kari, USA Helene Kirchner, France Luigi Logrippo, Canada Zohar Manna, USA Michael Mislove, USA George Nelson, USA Maurice Nivat, France Fernando Orejas, Spain Sriram Pemmaraju, India Jacques Printz, France Teodor Rus, USA Stephen Seidman, USA Ken Slonneger, USA John Staples, Australia Andrzej Tarlecki, Poland Rob van Glabbeek, USA Brian Warboys, UK Martin Wirsing, Germany

# Steering Committee

E. Astesiano	R. Berwick	Z. Manna
M. Mislove	A. Nijholt	M. Nivat
J. Printzs	C. Rattray	T. Rus
G. Scollo	J. Staples	J. Wing
M. Wirsing		-

## **Organizing Committee**

A. Fleck	R. Kooima	T. Rus

#### Referees

V.S. Alagar	A. Arnold	G. Baum
M. Bidoit	M. Boreale	M. Breitling
C. Calude	A. Cerone	P. R. D'Argenio
P. Darondeau	J. Davies	D. Eichmann
C. Fidge	A. Fleck	M. Frias
H. Ganzinger	R. Grosu	N. Halbwachs
M. Healy	P. Henderson	P. Inverardi
D. Ionescu	R. Janicki	M. Johnson
J. Kari	C. Kirchner	M. Koutny
I. Kreuger	G. Leavens	L. Logrippo
M. Loreti	S. Maharaj	F. Maraninchi
C. Marlin	P.E. Martinez Lopez	M. Mislove
L. Moss	P. Mosses	G. Nelson
A. Nijholt	M. O'Donnell	F. Orejas
D. Pigozzi	R. Pugliese	C. Rattray
G. Rosolini	T. Rus	P. Schnoebelen
G. Scollo	S. Seidman	H. Sipma
K. Slonneger	G. Smith	C. Talcott
A. Tarlecki	R. van Glabbeek	B. Warboys
M. Wirsing	H. Zhang	

### **Sponsoring Institutions**

The University of Iowa Office of Naval Research