## Subdifferentials of Lower Semicontinuous Functionals

### 9.1 Fréchet Subdifferentials: First Properties

In this section we study another kind of derivative-like concepts.
Definition 9.1.1 Assume that $E$ is a Banach space, $f: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper and l.s.c., and $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$.
(a) The functional $f$ is said to be Fréchet subdifferentiable (F-subdifferentiable) at $\bar{x}$ if there exists $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, the $F$-subderivative of $f$ at $\bar{x}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\bar{x}+y)-f(\bar{x})-\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle}{\|y\|} \geq 0 . \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The functional $f$ is said to be viscosity subdifferentiable at $\bar{x}$ if there exist $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, the viscosity subderivative of $f$ at $\bar{x}$, and a $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-function $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g^{\prime}(\bar{x})=x^{*}$ and $f-g$ attains a local minimum at $\bar{x}$. If, in particular,

$$
g(x)=\left\langle x^{*}, x-\bar{x}\right\rangle-\sigma\|x-\bar{x}\|^{2}
$$

with some positive constant $\sigma$, then $x^{*}$ is called proximal subgradient of $f$ at $\bar{x}$. The sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{F} f(\bar{x}):=\text { set of all F-subderivatives of } f \text { at } \bar{x}, \\
& \partial_{V} f(\bar{x}):=\text { set of all viscosity subderivatives of } f \text { at } \bar{x}, \\
& \partial_{P} f(\bar{x}):=\text { set of all proximal subgradients of } f \text { at } \bar{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

are called Fréchet subdifferential (F-subdifferential), viscosity subdifferential, and proximal subdifferential of $f$ at $\bar{x}$, respectively.

Remark 9.1.2 Observe that the function $g$ in Definition 9.1.1(b) can always be chosen such that $(f-g)(\bar{x})=0$ (cf. Fig. 9.1).

We study the relationship between the different notions.


Fig. 9.1

Proposition 9.1.3 Assume that $E$ is a Banach space, $f: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper and l.s.c., and $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then $\partial_{V} f(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$.

Proof. See Exercise 9.8.1.
Remark 9.1.4 Notice that $\partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ and $\partial_{V} f(\bar{x})$ can be defined as above for any proper, not necessarily l.s.c. functional $f$. However, if $\partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ (in particular, $\left.\partial_{V} f(\bar{x})\right)$ is nonempty, then in fact $f$ is l.s.c. at $\bar{x}$ (see Exercise 9.8.2).

The next result is an immediate consequence of the definition of the viscosity F-subdifferential and Proposition 9.1.3.

Proposition 9.1.5 (Generalized Fermat Rule) If the proper l.s.c. functional $f: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ attains a local minimum at $\bar{x}$, then $o \in \partial_{V} f(\bar{x})$ and in particular $o \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$.

We shall now show that we even have $\partial_{V} f(\bar{x})=\partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ provided $E$ is a Fréchet smooth Banach space. We start with an auxiliary result.

Lemma 9.1.6 Let $E$ be a Fréchet smooth Banach space and $\|\cdot\|$ be an equivalent norm on $E$ that is $F$-differentiable on $E \backslash\{o\}$. Then there exist a functional $d: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and a number $\alpha>1$ such that:
(a) $d$ is bounded, L-continuous on $E$ and continuously differentiable on $E \backslash\{o\}$.
(b) $\|x\| \leq d(x) \leq \alpha\|x\|$ if $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $d(x)=2$ if $\|x\| \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $b: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the bump functional of Lemma 8.4.1. Define $d: E \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by $d(o):=0$ and

$$
d(x):=\frac{2}{s(x)}, \quad \text { where } \quad s(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n x) \quad \text { for } x \neq o
$$

We show that $d$ has the stated properties:
Ad (b). First notice that the series defining $s$ is locally a finite sum. In fact, if $\bar{x} \neq o$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(n x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \mathrm{~B}(\bar{x},\|\bar{x}\| / 2) \quad \forall n \geq 2\|\bar{x}\| \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $s(x) \geq b(o)=1$ for any $x \neq o$. Hence $d$ is well defined. We have

$$
d(E) \subseteq[0,2] \quad \text { and } \quad d(x)=2 \text { whenever }\|x\| \geq 1
$$

Further it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x \neq o \text { and } b(n x) \neq 0] \quad \Longrightarrow \quad n<1 /\|x\| \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so, since $0 \leq b \leq 1$, we conclude that $s(x) \leq 1+1 /\|x\|$. Hence $d(x) \geq$ $2\|x\| /(1+\|x\|)$, which shows that $d(x) \geq\|x\|$ whenever $\|x\| \leq 1$. Since $b(o)=1$ and $b$ is continuous at $o$, there exists $\eta>0$ such that $b(x) \geq 1 / 2$ whenever $\|x\| \leq \eta$. Let $x \in E$ and $m \geq 1$ be such that $\eta /(m+1)<\|x\| \leq \eta / m$. It follows that

$$
s(x) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{m} b(n x) \geq \frac{m+1}{2}>\frac{\eta}{2\|x\|}
$$

and so $d(x)<(4 / \eta)\|x\|$ whenever $\|x\| \leq \eta$. This and the boundedness of $d$ imply that $d(x) /\|x\|$ is bounded on $E \backslash\{o\}$. This verifies (b).
$\operatorname{Ad}$ (a). Since by (9.2) the sum defining $s$ is locally finite, the functional $d$ is continuously differentiable on $E \backslash\{o\}$. For any $x \neq o$ we have

$$
d^{\prime}(x)=-2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b^{\prime}(n x)\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n x)\right)^{-2}=-\frac{(d(x))^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b^{\prime}(n x)
$$

Since $b$ is L-continuous, $\lambda:=\sup \left\{\left\|b^{\prime}(x)\right\| \mid x \in E\right\}$ is finite and we obtain for any $x \neq o$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b^{\prime}(n x)\right\| \leq \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\|x\|^{-1}\right]} n \leq \lambda\left(1+\frac{1}{\|x\|}\right)^{2}
$$

here the first inequality holds by (9.3). This estimate together with (b) yields

$$
\left\|d^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq \lambda \max \{\alpha, 2\}^{2}(\|x\|+1)^{2}
$$

showing that $d^{\prime}$ is bounded on $B(o, 1) \backslash\{o\}$. Since $d^{\prime}$ is zero outside $B(o, 1)$, it follows that $d^{\prime}$ is bounded on $E \backslash\{o\}$. Hence $d$ is L-continuous on $E$. This verifies (a).

Now we can supplement Proposition 9.1.3.
Theorem 9.1.7 Let $E$ be a Fréchet smooth Banach space, $f: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper l.s.c. functional, and $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then $\partial_{V} f(\bar{x})=\partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$.

Proof. In view of Proposition 9.1.3 it remains to show that $\partial_{F} f(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{V} f(\bar{x})$. Thus let $x^{*} \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$. Replacing $f$ with the functional $\tilde{f}: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{f}(y):=\sup \left\{f(\bar{x}+y)-f(\bar{x})-\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle,-1\right\}, \quad y \in E,
$$

we have $o \in \partial_{F} \tilde{f}(o)$. We show that $o \in \partial_{V} \tilde{f}(o)$. Notice that $\tilde{f}(\bar{x})=0$ and $\tilde{f}$ is bounded below. By (9.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{y \rightarrow o} \frac{\tilde{f}(y)}{\|y\|} \geq 0 \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\rho: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\rho(t):=\inf \{\tilde{f}(y) \mid\|y\| \leq t\}$. Then $\rho$ is nonincreasing, $\rho(0)=0$ and $\rho \leq 0$. This and (9.4) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{t}=0 \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ on $(0,+\infty)$ by

$$
\rho_{1}(t):=\int_{t}^{e t} \frac{\rho(s)}{s} \mathrm{~d} s, \quad \rho_{2}(t):=\int_{t}^{\mathrm{et}} \frac{\rho_{1}(s)}{s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Since $\rho$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(\mathrm{e} t)=\int_{\mathrm{e} t}^{\mathrm{e}^{2} t} \frac{\rho(s)}{s} \mathrm{~d} s \geq \rho\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} t\right) \int_{\mathrm{e} t}^{\mathrm{e}^{2} t} \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{~d} s=\rho\left(\mathrm{e}^{2} t\right) \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho_{1}$ is also nonincreasing, we obtain analogously $\rho_{1}(\mathrm{e} t) \leq \rho_{2}(t) \leq 0$. This and (9.5) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{2}(t)}{t}=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{1}(t)}{t}=\lim _{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{t}=0 \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $\tilde{g}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{g}(x):=\rho_{2}(d(x))$ for $x \neq o$ and $\tilde{g}(o):=0$, where $d$ denotes the functional in Lemma 9.1.6. Recall that $d(x) \neq 0$ whenever $x \neq o$. Since $\rho_{1}$ is continuous on $(0,+\infty)$ and so $\rho_{2}$ is continuously differentiable on $(0,+\infty)$, the chain rule implies that $\tilde{g}$ is continuously differentiable on $E \backslash\{o\}$ with derivative

$$
\tilde{g}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\rho_{1}(\mathrm{e} d(x))-\rho_{1}(d(x))}{d(x)} \cdot d^{\prime}(x), \quad x \neq o
$$

The properties of $d$ and (9.7) further imply that $\lim _{x \rightarrow o}\left\|\tilde{g}^{\prime}(x)\right\|=0$. Therefore it follows as a consequence of the mean value theorem that $\tilde{g}$ is also F-differentiable at $o$ with $\tilde{g}^{\prime}(o)=o$, and $\tilde{g}^{\prime}$ is continuous at $o$. Since $\rho$ is nonincreasing, we have $\rho_{2}(t) \leq \rho_{1}(t) \leq \rho(t)$; here, the second inequality follows analogously as (9.6) and the first is a consequence of the second. Let $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then $\|x\| \leq d(x)$, and since $\rho_{2}$ is nonincreasing (as $\rho_{1}$ is nonincreasing), we obtain

$$
(\tilde{f}-\tilde{g})(x)=\tilde{f}(x)-\rho_{2}(d(x)) \geq \tilde{f}(x)-\rho_{2}(\|x\|) \geq \tilde{f}(x)-\rho(\|x\|) \geq 0
$$

Since $0=(\tilde{f}-\tilde{g})(o)$, we see that $\tilde{f}-\tilde{g}$ attains a local minimum at $o$. Hence $o \in \partial_{V} \tilde{f}(o)$ and so $x^{*} \in \partial_{V} f(\bar{x})$.
Remark 9.1.8 Let $E, f$, and $\bar{x}$ be as in Theorem 9.1.7. Further let $x^{*} \in$ $\partial_{V} f(\bar{x})$, which by Theorem 9.1.7 is equivalent to $x^{*} \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$. Then there exists a concave $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ function $g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g^{\prime}(\bar{x})=x^{*}$ and $f-g$ attains a local minimum at $\bar{x}$ (cf. Fig. 9.1); see Exercise 9.8.4.

In order to have both the limit definition and the viscosity definition of F-subderivatives at our disposal, we shall in view of Theorem 9.1.7 assume that $E$ is a Fréchet smooth Banach space and we denote the common Fsubdifferential of $f$ at $\bar{x}$ by $\partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$.

The relationship to classical concepts is established in Proposition 9.1.9. In this connection recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{P} f(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{F} f(\bar{x}) \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 9.1.9 Assume that $E$ is a Fréchet smooth Banach space and $f: E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper and l.s.c.
(a) If the directional $G$-derivative $f_{G}(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ of $f$ at $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ exists on $E$, then for any $x^{*} \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ (provided there exists one),

$$
\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle \leq f_{G}(\bar{x}, y) \quad \forall y \in E
$$

If, in particular, $f$ is $G$-differentiable at $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $\partial_{F} f(\bar{x}) \subseteq$ $\left\{f^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right\}$.
(b) If $f \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(U)$, where $U \subseteq E$ is nonempty and open, then $\partial_{F} f(x)=\left\{f^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ for any $x \in U$.
(c) If $f \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(U)$, where $U \subseteq E$ is nonempty and open, then $\partial_{P} f(x)=$ $\partial_{F} f(x)=\left\{f^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ for any $x \in U$.
(d) If $f$ is convex, then $\partial_{P} f(x)=\partial_{F} f(x)=\partial f(x)$ for any $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$.
(e) If $f$ is locally L-continuous on $E$, then $\partial_{F} f(x) \subseteq \partial_{\circ} f(x)$ for any $x \in E$.

Proof.
(a) Let $x^{*} \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ be given. Then there exist a $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ function $g$ and a number $\epsilon>0$ such that $g^{\prime}(\bar{x})=x^{*}$ and for each $x \in \mathrm{~B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f-g)(x) \geq(f-g)(\bar{x}) \quad \forall x \in \mathrm{~B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $y \in E$. Then for each $\tau>0$ sufficiently small we have $\bar{x}+\tau y \in$ $\mathrm{B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon)$ and so

$$
\frac{1}{\tau}(f(\bar{x}+\tau y)-f(\bar{x})) \geq \frac{1}{\tau}(g(\bar{x}+\tau y)-g(\bar{x}))
$$

Letting $\tau \downarrow 0$ it follows that $f_{G}(\bar{x}, y) \geq\left\langle g^{\prime}(\bar{x}), y\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{*}, y\right\rangle$. If $f$ is G-differentiable at $\bar{x}$, then by linearity the latter inequality passes into $f^{\prime}(\bar{x})=x^{*}$.
(b) It is obvious that $f^{\prime}(x) \in \partial_{F} f(x)$ for each $x \in U$. This and (a) imply $\partial_{F} f(x)=\left\{f^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ for each $x \in U$.
(c) By Proposition 3.5.1 we have $f^{\prime}(x) \in \partial_{P} f(x)$, which together with (a) and (9.8) verifies the assertion.
(d) It is evident that $\partial f(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{P} f(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ for each $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Now let $x^{*} \in \partial_{F} f(\bar{x})$ be given. As in the proof of (a) let $g$ and $\epsilon$ be such that (9.9) holds. Further let $x \in E$. If $\tau \in(0,1)$ is sufficiently small, then $(1-\tau) \bar{x}+\tau x \in \mathrm{~B}(\bar{x}, \epsilon)$ and we obtain using the convexity of $f$,

$$
(1-\tau) f(\bar{x})+\tau f(x) \geq f((1-\tau) \bar{x}+\tau x) \underset{(9.9)}{\geq} f(\bar{x})+g((1-\tau) \bar{x}+\tau x)-g(\bar{x})
$$

It follows that

$$
f(x)-f(\bar{x}) \geq \frac{g(\bar{x}+\tau(x-\bar{x}))-g(\bar{x})}{\tau}
$$

Letting $\tau \downarrow 0$, we see that $f(x)-f(\bar{x}) \geq\left\langle g^{\prime}(\bar{x}), x-\bar{x}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{*}, x-\bar{x}\right\rangle$. Since $x \in E$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $x^{*} \in \partial f(\bar{x})$.
(e) See Exercise 9.8.5.

In Sect. 9.5 we shall establish the relationship between the Fréchet subdifferential and the Clarke subdifferential.

### 9.2 Approximate Sum and Chain Rules

Convention. Throughout this section, we assume that $E$ is a Fréchet smooth Banach space, and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $E$ that is F-differentiable on $E \backslash\{o\}$.

Recall that we write $\omega_{\bar{x}}(x):=\|x-\bar{x}\|$, and in particular $\omega(x):=\|x\|$, $x \in E$.

One way to develop subdifferential analysis for l.s.c. functionals is to start with sum rules. It is an easy consequence of the definition of the F-subdifferential that we have

$$
\partial_{F} f_{1}(\bar{x})+\partial_{F} f_{2}(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{F}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)(\bar{x})
$$

But the reverse inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{F}\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)(\bar{x}) \subseteq \partial_{F} f_{1}(\bar{x})+\partial_{F} f_{2}(\bar{x}) \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not hold in general.

