

MODELLING AUDITORY PROCESSING AND ORGANISATION



Distinguished Dissertations in Computer Science

Edited by C.J. van Rijsbergen, University of Glasgow

The Conference of Professors of Computer Science (CPCS) in conjunction with the British Computer Society (BCS), selects annually for publication up to four of the best British Ph.D. dissertations in computer science. The scheme began in 1990. Its aim is to make more visible the significant contribution made by Britain - in particular by students - to computer science, and to provide a model for future students. Dissertations are selected on behalf of CPCS by a panel whose members are:

M. Clint, Queen's University, Belfast
R.J.M. Hughes, University of Glasgow
R. Milner, University of Edinburgh (Chairman)
K. Moody, University of Cambridge
M.S. Paterson, University of Warwick
S. Shrivastava, University of Newcastle upon Tyne
A. Sloman, University of Birmingham
F. Sumner, University of Manchester



MODELLING AUDITORY PROCESSING AND ORGANISATION

Martin Cooke University of Sheffield





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1993

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1993 First paperback edition 2005

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 45094 2 hardback ISBN 0 521 61938 6 paperback



for Jo, Sam and Anna



Contents

Ac	knowle	edgments	хi
1	Introduction		1
	1.1	Segregation and fusion in hearing 1	
	1.2	Signals and symbols in machine perception 1	
	1.3	Theoretical influences 3	
		Marr 3	
		Marrian influences in speech and hearing 3	
	1.4	Auditory scene analysis 4	
	1.5	Previous approaches to sound source segregation 5	
		Double vowel separation 6	
		Weintraub's system 6	
		Discussion 7	
	1.6	A guide to the thesis 8	
2	The auditory periphery: physiology, function and a computer model 9		
	2.1	Introduction 9	
	2.2	The structure and function of the auditory periphery 9	
		Overview 9	
		Mechanical and tuning properties 10	
		Hair cell transduction 11	
		Auditory nerve fibre responses 11	
	2.3	Computer models of the auditory periphery 13	
	2.4	A model of the auditory periphery 14	
		Outline 14	
		The gammatone filterbank 16	
		A new approach to gammatone filterbank implementation 17	
		Comparisons 18	



viii		Contents	
	2.5	Analysis of results 21 Hair cell static nonlinearity 22 Hair cell model 23 The central auditory system 30 Auditory anatomy 30	
3	A A:	Responses, maps and function 31	33
3	Auditory representations 3.1 Introduction 33		33
	3.1	Introduction 33 The composition of the auditory scene 33	
	3.2	The composition of the auditory scene 33 Computation of synchrony strands 35 Overview 35 Estimation of dominant components 35 Instantaneous frequency 36	
		Smoothed instantaneous frequency 38 Calculation of place-groups 38 Temporal aggregation of place-groups 42 Estimation of strand parameters 42 Role of each free parameter in the model 43	
	3.3	Validation of the representation by resynthesis 44 Motivation 44 Resynthesis procedure 44 Informal listening results 45	
	3.4	A variety of synchrony strand displays 45	
	3.5	Discussion 48 Limitations and possible improvements 48	
4	Mode	lling auditory scene exploration	51
	4.1	Introduction 51	
	4.2	The computational grouping problem faced by the auditory system 51 Computational issues 52 Search issues: optimal or just 'good' solutions? 54 A framework for auditory scene exploration 55	
	4.3		
	4.4	Stage 2: Combining groups 59 A taxonomy for interpreting pairs of groups 60 Combining groups in the model 61	
	4.5	Discussion 62 Limitations of the model 62	
5	Imple	mentation of auditory grouping principles	63
	5.1	Introduction 63	
	5.2	Harmonicity 63 Experimental findings 63	



			ix
		Implementation 64 Discussion 67	
	5.3	Discussion 67 Common amplitude modulation 68	
	3.3	Experimental findings 68 Implementation 68	
	5.4	Other principles for simultaneous grouping 70	
	0	Common frequency modulation and movement 70	
		Onset and offset synchrony 72	
	5.5	Higher-level grouping 73	
		Derived properties 73	
		Group combination 73	
	5.6	Grouping of natural speech signals 75	
	5.7	Discussion 75	
6	An ev	aluation of sound source separation in the model	79
	6.1	Introduction 79	
	6.2	Evaluation methodologies 79	
	6.3	The mixture database 80	
	6.4	The mixture correspondence problem 83	
	6.5	Metrics 86	
	6.6	Procedure 87	
		Grouping at random 88	
		Grouping with no intrusions 88	
	6.7	Results 88	
		Utterance characterisation 88	
		Positive evidence for the voiced source 89 Crossover from intrusive source 89	
	6.8	Illustrations of grouping 90	
	6.9	Discussion 90	
	0.9	Discussion 70	
7	Concl	usions and future development	93
	7.1	Summary of system 93	
	7.2	Novelty of the approach 93	
	7.3	Limitations of the model 95	
	7.4	Parsimony of explanation: The default condition of organisation 95	
	7.5	Further development of the model 96	
Ap	pendic	res	
A	Filter	derivations	97
	A .1	Pole-mapping 97	
	A.2	Bilinear transform 98	
	A.3	Impulse invariant transform 99	
	A 4	Ideal responses 100	



x		Contents	
	A.5	Digital filter characteristics 100	
В	Derivations relating to the hair cell model		103
	B.1	Depletion and recovery for constant input 103	
	B.2	Time constants of adaptation and recovery 104	
C	Deriva	105	
Bil	bliogra	109	
Inc	dex	119	



Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank all those individuals whose research has been influential in shaping this work. The strong auditory community of the UK is sufficiently cohesive to provide great help to anyone setting out on a modelling study such as the one described here. I have enjoyed productive discussions with research groups at the Universities of Cambridge (Roy Patterson and John Holdsworth), Edinburgh (Mark Terry), Keele (Bill Ainsworth and Ted Evans), Kiel (Michel Scheffers), Loughborough (Ray Meddis and Michael Hewitt), Nottingham (Quentin Summerfield and Richard Stubbs), Southampton (Bob Damper) and Sussex (Chris Darwin, Valter Ciocca and Roy Gardner), and with Roger Moore of the Speech Research Unit, RSRE.

I found collaboration with members of the Laboratoire D'Informatique pour la Mecanique et les Sciences de l'Ingenieur in Paris (Christophe D'Alessandro, Jean-Sylvain Lienard and Maxine Eskenazi) in the 1989 Cochleagram-Reading Workshop stimulating; in particular, the use of resynthesis was prompted by the work of Jean-Sylvain Lienard.

My interest in auditory modelling was inspired by David Schofield, a colleague of earlier days at the National Physical Laboratory, whose work in matching physiological and psychophysical accounts of frequency selectivity has, I believe, proved catalytic for later developments of the gammatone filterbank.

Thanks to: Steve Beet and Robin Sharpe for providing a good deal more insight into filter design and signal processing than I could have obtained from textbooks alone; Chris Darwin for the /ru/-/li/ stimulus used to illustrate grouping in Chapter 5; to the Centre for Speech Technology Research at Edinburgh University and the Department of Linguistics and Phonetics at Leeds for some of the utterances used; and to Lori Lamel for the loan of TIMIT.

Locally, I have enjoyed working with members of SPLASH, the SPeech LAboratory at SHeffield; particular credit must go to Malcolm 'disc-crasher' Crawford, who, apart from many stimulating discussions and all things confectionery, forced me to hit the return key on many crucial processes, when I preferred to pause contemplatively for a few minutes before discovering that an idea was not going to work. Thanks also to Guy Brown for proof-reading beyond the call and useful suggestions. Other members of the Department of Computer Science have, with a mixture of ribaldry and good-humour, made Sheffield a great place to work.

Special thanks are due to my supervisor, Phil Green, who had this work in mind from as long ago as 1984. His encouragement and confidence helped tremendously throughout.



xii Acknowledgments

The material presented in chapter 3 is re-expressed from a paper published in the journal Computer Speech and Language and is used with permission from Academic Press Ltd.