

William Whewell is now recognized as the first systematic writer on the history and philosophy of science. This book shows how he engaged in metascientific criticism in the early Victorian period, when the social and intellectual status of 'science' and 'scientists' were still matters of contention. In coining terms such as anode, cathode, physicist, and scientist, he contributed not only to scientific vocabulary, but also to the language in which science is now discussed. Whewell was concerned with the nature of science in the broadest sense - its history, ethos, metaphysical foundations, religious, educational, and social implications, and the intellectual and moral biography of its practitioners. These issues were discussed in a public forum in books, journals, addresses, and sermons. Whewell was arguably the major, but not the sole, participant in these debates; yet more so than others, such as John Herschel and David Brewster, he sought to justify a role for himself as a metascientific commentator while still establishing the language and concepts of history and philosophy of science. Whewell's work can therefore be seen not only as an attempt to define science, but to clarify his vocation as its leading critic.



IDEAS IN CONTEXT
DEFINING SCIENCE



IDEAS IN CONTEXT

Edited by Quentin Skinner (general editor), Lorraine Daston, Wolf Lepenies, Richard Rorty and J.B. Schneewind

The books in this series will discuss the emergence of intellectual traditions and of related new disciplines. The procedures, aims and vocabularies that were generated will be set in the context of the alternatives available within the contemporary frameworks of ideas and institutions. Through detailed studies of the evolution of such traditions, and their modification by different audiences, it is hoped that a new picture will form of the development of ideas in their concrete contexts. By this means, artificial distinctions between the history of philosophy, of the various sciences, of society and politics, and of literature may be seen to dissolve.

The series is published with the support of the Exxon Foundation. A list of books in the series will be found at the end of the volume.



DEFINING SCIENCE

William Whewell, natural knowledge, and public debate in early Victorian Britain

RICHARD YEO

Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Humanities, Griffith University, Queensland





More information

Cambridge University Press
0521541166 - Defining Science: William Whewell, Natural Knowledge, and Public Debate
in Early Victorian Britain
Richard Yeo
Frontmatter

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1993

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1993 First paperback edition 2003

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Yeo, Richard, 1948-

Defining science: William Whewell, natural knowledge, and public debate in early Victorian Britain / Richard Yeo.

p. cm. - (Ideas in context)

ISBN 0 521 43182 4 hardback

1. Science – Great Britain – History – 19th century. 2. Science – Philosophy – History – 19th century. 3. Science – Historiography. 4. Whewell, William, 1794–1866.

I. Title. II. Series.

Q127.G4Y46 1993

509.41'09'034 - dc20 92-30620 CIP

ISBN 0 521 43182 4 hardback ISBN 0 521 54116 6 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2003



For
Mary Louise, Gillian, and Claire



Contents

Preface List of abbreviations		page xi xiii
I	Introduction	3
2	Science and the public sphere	28
3	Metascience as a vocation	49
PAR	т тwo	
4	Reviewing science	77
•	(1) Science and reviews	77
	(2) Whewell's early reviews	87
5	Moral scientists	116
	Using history	145
7	Moral science	176
PAR	T THREE	
8	Science, education, and society	209
9	The unity of science	231
List o	of references	256
Index		276



Preface

My interest in the place of science in early Victorian culture began with postgraduate work on natural theology as a philosophical framework for scientific investigation in that period. Whewell was a figure in this thesis and has been in other work I have published. But it is only recently, and with the benefit of some further specialist studies, that it has seemed possible to use Whewell as the focus of a book on debates about the nature of science in nineteenth-century Britain. Inevitably, this brings problems of selection, since nothing like comprehensive attention can be given both to Whewell's activities and to the range of issues in the public discussions in which he participated. Consequently, I have not attempted to include detailed accounts of Whewell's interventions in particular scientific disciplines such as mineralogy, geology, or electrochemistry, or his contributions to terminology. Where possible, I indicate other relevant treatment of these topics. I have aimed to place Whewell's work in its cultural context and therefore hope that this book will interest not only people who already know Whewell, but also students of Victorian intellectual history more generally.

Although this book was written over the last few years, it has a prehistory. During this time, which I'd rather not quantify, I have happily gathered many debts. An inadequate attempt to recount them should start with thanks to the librarians of Fisher Library, Sydney University, and Griffith University Library, especially the interlibrary loans staff who ferry the large Victorian holdings of Australian institutions. The staff of Trinity College Library, Cambridge, have provided vital assistance, and I am also grateful to the Master and Fellows of the College for permission to use papers in their custody and to reproduce the portrait of Whewell on the jacket. I also thank the staff of the British Library, the Library of the Royal Society, London, the National Library of Scotland, and Edinburgh



xii Preface

University Library for their assistance and access to manuscript collections. The Faculty of Humanities, Griffith University, has supported the project over several years, and I have benefited from a university research grant and study leave. The final writing was done at the Institute for the Advanced Studies in Humanities, University of Edinburgh, where I was a Visiting Fellow in 1992. I would like to acknowledge that some of the material in chapter 9 appeared in my contribution to the collective volume on Whewell published by Oxford University Press in 1991.

Sometimes less tangible, but always crucial, have been the advice and interest of scholars and friends. John Brooke, Geoffrey Cantor, Menachem Fisch, Jonathan Hodge, David Knight, Rachel Laudan, Don McNally, and Simon Schaffer have shared enthusiasms for the problem of 'dealing with Whewell', and I value their conversation over the years. John Gascoigne and Dorinda Outram offered constructive comments from their respective comparative perspectives, and kindly read drafts of some chapters. I also thank David Oldroyd for giving me his spare copy of the *History*. Errors of fact and other shortcomings are my own.

Without the support, empathy, and sense of humour of my family, none of these other encouragements would have prevailed. I dedicate the book to them.

Brisbane June 1992



Cambridge University Press

0521541166 - Defining Science: William Whewell, Natural Knowledge, and Public Debate

in Early Victorian Britain

Richard Yeo Frontmatter

More information

Abbreviations

pr	British Library		
HP	J. F. Herschel Papers, Library of the Royal Society of		
	London		
NLS	National Library of Scotland		
RS	Royal Society		
WP	William Whewell Papers, Trinity College Library, Cam-		
	bridge (small superior figures in WP references refer to		
	folio numbers allocated to individual letters and pages		
	thereof)		