

Cambridge University Press  
0521673534 - A Compositional Approach to Performance Modelling  
Jane Hillston  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

A COMPOSITIONAL APPROACH TO  
PERFORMANCE MODELLING

Cambridge University Press  
0521673534 - A Compositional Approach to Performance Modelling  
Jane Hillston  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

## **Distinguished Dissertations in Computer Science**

Edited by  
C.J. van Rijsbergen, University of Glasgow

The Conference of Professors of Computer Science (CPCS), in conjunction with the British Computer Society (BCS), selects annually for publication up to four of the best British PhD dissertations in computer science. The scheme began in 1990. Its aim is to make more visible the significant contribution made by Britain – in particular by students – to computer science, and to provide a model for future students. Dissertations are selected on behalf of CPCS by a panel whose members are:

C.B. Jones, Manchester University (Chairman)  
S. Abramsky, Imperial College, London  
D.A. Duce, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory  
M.E. Dyer, University of Leeds  
G. Nudd, University of Warwick  
V.J. Rayward-Smith, University of East Anglia  
I. Wand, University of York  
M.H. Williams, Heriot-Watt University

Cambridge University Press  
0521673534 - A Compositional Approach to Performance Modelling  
Jane Hillston  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

---

**A COMPOSITIONAL APPROACH TO  
PERFORMANCE MODELLING**

---

JANE HILLSTON  
*University of Edinburgh*



Cambridge University Press  
0521673534 - A Compositional Approach to Performance Modelling  
Jane Hillston  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS  
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press  
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521571890](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521571890)

© Cambridge University Press 1996

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without  
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1996  
This digitally printed first paperback version 2005

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

ISBN-13 978-0-521-57189-0 hardback  
ISBN-10 0-521-57189-8 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-67353-2 paperback  
ISBN-10 0-521-67353-4 paperback

# Contents

Table of Notation	ix
Preface	xi
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Background</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	5
2.2 Performance Modelling . . . . .	5
2.2.1 Queueing Networks . . . . .	6
2.2.2 Stochastic Extensions of Petri Nets . . . . .	7
2.3 Process Algebras . . . . .	9
2.3.1 Timed Extensions of Process Algebras . . . . .	9
2.3.2 Probabilistic Process Algebras . . . . .	10
2.4 Process Algebra for Performance Modelling . . . . .	10
2.4.1 Process Algebras as a Design Methodology . . . . .	11
2.4.2 The “Cooperator” Paradigm and Hierarchical Models . . . . .	11
2.4.3 Structure within Models . . . . .	12
2.4.4 The Work Presented in This Thesis . . . . .	12
2.5 Related Work . . . . .	12
2.5.1 Early Work on Protocol Specification . . . . .	13
2.5.2 TIPP . . . . .	13
2.5.3 CCS+ . . . . .	14
2.5.4 Relating DEMOS to TCCS and WSCCS . . . . .	15
2.5.5 Performance Equivalence as a Bisimulation . . . . .	15
<b>3 Performance Evaluation Process Algebra</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
3.2 Design Objectives for PEPA . . . . .	17
3.3 The PEPA Language . . . . .	18
3.3.1 Informal Description . . . . .	18
3.3.2 Syntax . . . . .	20
3.3.3 Execution Strategies and the Exponential Distribution . . . . .	23
3.3.4 Examples . . . . .	24
3.3.5 Passive Activities . . . . .	26
3.3.6 Some Further Definitions . . . . .	26

3.3.7	Formal Definition: Operational Semantics . . . . .	28
3.3.8	Examples . . . . .	30
3.4	Basic Properties . . . . .	31
3.5	The Underlying Stochastic Model . . . . .	32
3.5.1	Generating the Markov Process . . . . .	32
3.5.2	Some Definitions . . . . .	33
3.5.3	Stochastic Processes with an Equilibrium Distribution . . . . .	35
3.5.4	PEPA Models with Equilibrium Behaviour . . . . .	36
3.5.5	Solving the Markov Process . . . . .	37
3.5.6	Derivation of Performance Measures: Reward Structures . . . . .	37
3.5.7	Example . . . . .	38
3.6	Comparison to other Modelling Paradigms . . . . .	40
3.6.1	Model Construction . . . . .	40
3.6.2	Model Manipulation . . . . .	41
3.6.3	Model Solution . . . . .	42
<b>4</b>	<b>Modelling Study: Multi-Server Multi-Queue Systems</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
4.2	Polling Systems . . . . .	46
4.2.1	Solution of Polling System Models . . . . .	48
4.2.2	Example: A PEPA Model of a Polling System . . . . .	49
4.3	Multi-server Multi-queue Systems . . . . .	50
4.3.1	Solutions of Multi-Server Multi-Queue Systems . . . . .	53
4.4	Examples: PEPA Models of MSMQ Systems . . . . .	54
4.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	55
4.4.2	MSMQ System with Cyclic Polling, Without Overtaking . . . . .	55
4.4.3	Asymmetric MSMQ System with Cyclic Polling . . . . .	56
4.4.4	Asymmetric MSMQ System with Random Polling . . . . .	59
4.4.5	MSMQ System with Detailed Nodes . . . . .	62
<b>5</b>	<b>Notions of Equivalence</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	65
5.2	Process Algebras and Bisimulation . . . . .	66
5.2.1	Bisimulation for Pure Process Algebras . . . . .	66
5.2.2	Bisimulation for Timed Process Algebras . . . . .	67
5.2.3	Bisimulation for Probabilistic Process Algebras . . . . .	67
5.2.4	Bisimulation and Entity-to-Entity Equivalence . . . . .	68
5.3	Performance Modelling and Equivalences . . . . .	69
5.3.1	Performance Model Verification . . . . .	69
5.3.2	Model-to-Model Equivalence . . . . .	70
5.4	State-to-State Equivalence . . . . .	71
5.4.1	Aggregation of Markov Processes . . . . .	71
5.4.2	Lumpability . . . . .	72
5.4.3	Folding in GSPNs . . . . .	73
5.5	Notions of Equivalence for PEPA . . . . .	73

<i>CONTENTS</i>	vii
<b>6 Isomorphism and Weak Isomorphism</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	75
6.2 Definition of Isomorphism . . . . .	75
6.3 Properties of Isomorphism . . . . .	76
6.3.1 Equational Laws for Isomorphic Components . . . . .	76
6.3.2 The Expansion Law . . . . .	77
6.3.3 Isomorphism as a Congruence . . . . .	78
6.4 Isomorphism between System Components . . . . .	80
6.5 Isomorphism and the Markov Process . . . . .	80
6.6 Definition of Weak Isomorphism . . . . .	81
6.7 Properties of Weak Isomorphism . . . . .	85
6.7.1 Preservation by Combinators . . . . .	86
6.7.2 Equational Laws for Weak Isomorphism . . . . .	87
6.8 Weak Isomorphism and System Components . . . . .	88
6.9 Weak Isomorphism and the Markov Process . . . . .	89
6.9.1 Insensitivity of Reducible Sequences . . . . .	91
6.10 Weak Isomorphism for Model Simplification . . . . .	93
6.10.1 An Approach to Model Simplification . . . . .	94
6.10.2 Simplifying an MSMQ Model using Weak Isomorphism . . . . .	94
<b>7 Strong Bisimilarity</b>	<b>97</b>
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	97
7.2 Definition of Strong Bisimilarity . . . . .	97
7.3 Properties of the Strong Bisimilarity Relation . . . . .	100
7.3.1 Strong Bisimilarity as a Congruence . . . . .	100
7.3.2 Isomorphism and Strong Bisimilarity . . . . .	104
7.4 Strong Bisimilarity and System Components . . . . .	106
7.5 Strong Bisimilarity and the Markov Process . . . . .	107
7.6 Strong Bisimilarity for Model Simplification . . . . .	110
7.6.1 An Approach to Model Simplification . . . . .	110
7.6.2 Simplifying an MSMQ Model using Strong Bisimilarity . . . . .	110
<b>8 Strong Equivalence</b>	<b>113</b>
8.1 Introduction . . . . .	113
8.2 Definition of Strong Equivalence . . . . .	113
8.3 Properties of the Strong Equivalence Relation . . . . .	116
8.3.1 Strong Equivalence as a Congruence . . . . .	116
8.3.2 Isomorphism and Strong Equivalence . . . . .	121
8.3.3 Strong Bisimilarity and Strong Equivalence . . . . .	123
8.4 Strong Equivalence and System Components . . . . .	124
8.5 Strong Equivalence and the Markov Process . . . . .	125
8.6 Strong Equivalence for Aggregation . . . . .	127
8.6.1 Basic Application of Strong Equivalence Aggregation . . . . .	127
8.6.2 Compositional Strong Equivalence Aggregation . . . . .	130
8.6.3 Aggregating an MSMQ Model using Strong Equivalence . . . . .	132

<b>9 Conclusions</b>	<b>137</b>
9.1 Introduction . . . . .	137
9.2 Summary . . . . .	137
9.3 Evaluation . . . . .	138
9.4 Further Work and Future Directions . . . . .	139
9.5 Developments Since the Completion of the Thesis . . . . .	140
9.5.1 Stochastic Process Algebras . . . . .	140
9.5.2 Integrating Performance Analysis into System Design . . . . .	141
9.5.3 Representing Systems as Models . . . . .	142
9.5.4 Model Tractability . . . . .	143
 Bibliography	 145
Index	156



## Table of Notation

$\mathcal{C}$	set of possible components
$\mathcal{A}$	set of possible action types
$\mathcal{Act}$	set of possible activities
$\mathcal{A}(C)$	set of current action types of component $C$
$\mathcal{Act}(C)$	multiset of current activities of $C$
$\mathcal{Act}(C_i   C_j)$	multiset of current activities of $C_i$ with derivative $C_j$
$\vec{\mathcal{A}}(C)$	complete action type set of $C$
$\tau$	unknown action type
$\top$	unspecified activity rate
$w_i$	weight of a passive activity
$r_\alpha(C)$	apparent rate of action type $\alpha$ in component $C$
$ds(C)$	derivative set
$\mathcal{D}(C)$	derivation graph
$Sys_P$	the system component represented by $P$
$\mathcal{C}/\cong$	set of equivalence classes induced by $\cong$ on $\mathcal{C}$
$\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{R}$	set of equivalence classes induced by $\mathcal{R}$ on $\mathcal{C}$
$(\alpha, r).P$	activity prefix
$P + Q$	component choice
$P \bowtie Q$	cooperation between $P$ and $Q$ on the set of action types $L$
$P \parallel^L Q$	parallel composition of $P$ and $Q$ , cooperation on $\emptyset$
$P \parallel L$	activities of $P$ with types in $L$ appear as unknown type
$E\{P/X\}$	every occurrence of $X$ in $E$ is replaced by $P$
$\vec{X}, \vec{P}$	indexed sets of variables and components respectively
$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$	defining equation for the constant $A$
$Id_{\mathcal{C}}$	identity function on components
$P \equiv Q$	syntactic equivalence
$P = Q$	$P$ is isomorphic to $Q$
$C \leq P$	$C$ is a compact form of $P$
$P \approx Q$	$P$ is weakly isomorphic to $Q$
$P \sim Q$	$P$ is strongly bisimilar to $Q$
$P \cong Q$	$P$ is strongly equivalent to $Q$
$\bar{P}$	the compact form of component $P$
$\hat{P}$	the lumped component of $P$
$V_{(\tau, r)}(C)$	visible $(\tau, r)$ -derivative of $C$
$\mathcal{Act}_{\cong}(T)$	lumped activity set
$ds(S)/\cong$	lumped derivative set
$\mathcal{D}_{\cong}(S)$	lumped derivation graph
$\vec{\mathcal{A}}_{\cong}(S)$	complete lumped activity set

$\mathbb{R}^+$	set of activity rates, $\{x \mid x > 0; x \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\top\}$
$\mathbb{N}$	natural numbers, $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
$F_a(t)$	probability distribution function associated with $a$
$f_a(t)$	probability density function associated with $a$
$X_i$	state in a Markov process
$\mathbf{Q}$	infinitesimal generator matrix
$q_{ij}$	transition rate between state $X_i$ and $X_j$
$\Pi(\cdot)$	steady state probability distribution
$\Pi_j(\cdot)$	conditional steady state probability distribution
$X_{[j]}$	aggregated state in a Markov process
$x_n$	state in a generalised semi-Markov process (GSMP)
$s$	active element in a GSMP
$p(x_i, s, x_j)$	transition probability in a GSMP
$q(C)$	exit rate from component $C$
$q(C_i, C_j)$	transition rate from $C_i$ to $C_j$
$q(C_i, C_j, \alpha)$	conditional transition rate via activities of type $\alpha$
$q(C, \alpha)$	conditional exit rate via activities of type $\alpha$
$q[C, S]$	total transition rate from $C$ to the set of derivatives $S$
$q[C, S, \alpha]$	total conditional transition rate via activities of type $\alpha$
$p(C, a), p(C, \alpha)$	conditional probabilities that $C$ completes $a$ , or an activity of type $\alpha$
$p(C_i, C_j)$	transition probability from $C_i$ to $C_j$
$p[C, S]$	total transition probability from $C$ to the set of derivatives $S$
$p[C, S, \alpha]$	total conditional transition probability via activities of type $\alpha$
$\rho_i$	reward associated with derivative $C_i$
$R$	total reward
$\uplus$	multiset union
$\{\{ \dots \}$	multiset delimiters

## Preface

This book is, in essence, the dissertation I submitted to the University of Edinburgh in early January 1994. My examiners, Peter Harrison of the Imperial College, and Stuart Anderson of the University of Edinburgh, suggested some corrections and revisions. Apart from those changes, most chapters remain unaltered except for minor corrections and reformatting. The exceptions are the first and final chapter.

Since the final chapter discusses several possible directions for future work, it is now supplemented with a section which reviews the progress which has been made in each of these directions since January 1994. There are now many more people interested in stochastic process algebras and their application to performance modelling. Moreover, since these researchers have backgrounds and motivations different from my own some of the most interesting new developments are outside the areas identified in the original conclusions of the thesis. Therefore the book concludes with a brief overview of the current status of the field which includes many recent references. This change to the structure of the book is reflected in the summary given in Chapter 1. No other chapters of the thesis have been updated to reflect more recent developments. A modified version of Chapter 8 appeared in the proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Numerical Solution of Markov Chains, January 1995.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Rob Pooley, for introducing me to performance modelling and giving me the job which brought me to Edinburgh initially. Many colleagues on the IMSE project provided stimulating discussions which influenced this work. My second supervisor, Julian Bradfield, provided support and advice in large quantities for which I am very grateful. Many other people also influenced this work through helpful comments, discussions and encouragement; they include Graham Birtwistle, Stephen Gilmore, Peter King, James McKinna, Faron Moller, Michael Rettelbach, Ben Strulo and Nico van Dijk. Stephen also provided the tools which made constructing and solving the large models in Chapter 4 possible.

I would never have finished this thesis without the support, encouragement and distractions provided in appropriate proportions by my parents and many friends, during the four and a half years it took to complete.

I am grateful to David Miles and Juliet Sheppard at Kingston Business School who arranged for my first year tuition fees to be paid. The final two years of my work were supported by a SERC studentship.

Jane Hillston  
December 1995