

MODERATE PURITANS AND THE ELIZABETHAN CHURCH



Moderate puritans and the Elizabethan church

PETER LAKE

Lecturer in History, Bedford College, London

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE

LONDON NEW YORK NEW ROCHELLE
MELBOURNE SYDNEY



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1982

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1982 First paperback edition 2004

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress catalogue card number: 81-17052

ISBN 0 521 24010 7 hardback ISBN 0 521 61187 3 paperback



Contents

	Preface	vii
I	Introduction: Laurence Chaderton and the problem of puritanism	I
2	Moderate beginnings: the case of Edward Dering	16
3	Chaderton's puritanism	25
	His presbyterianism	25
	His role in the university	35
	The balance of the moderate position	46
4	The moderate puritan divine as anti-papal polemicist	55
5	Thomas Cartwright: the search for the centre and the threat of separation	77
6	William Whitaker's position as refracted through his anti-papal polemic	93
7	Theory into practice: puritan practical divinity in the 1580s and 1590s	116
8	William Whitaker at St John's: the puritan scholar	
	as administrator	169
9	The theological disputes of the 1590s The opening shots	201
	The Lambeth Articles: John Whitgift and Calvinism	218
	The case of Peter Baro	227
0	Conformity: Chaderton's response to the Hampton	
	Court Conference	243
I	William Bradshaw: moderation in extremity	262
12	Conclusion	279
	Notes	293
	Bibliography	343
	Index	349

v



FOR JOY AND ANN



Preface

During the protracted preparation of this book I have incurred many debts. Of these the greatest is to Professor Gordon Rupp who first suggested moderate puritanism as a subject and who supervised my Ph.D. thesis. Without his kindness and encouragement my research would never have even started. Professor Geoffrey Elton has also been an unfailing source of help and encouragement. Professor Patrick Collinson has always shown remarkable patience and kindness in the face of the persistent queries of a novice in a field of which he is the undoubted master. I owe a great deal to the members of the Tudor seminar at the Institute of Historical Research who first taught me what a friendly place the scholarly world can be. Professor Conrad Russell was a source of great encouragement when it was most needed, as were Ann Hughes, Richard Cust, Gerry Bowler, John Nichols, Ron Fritze, Patricia Croot, David Hebb, David Thomas and Alan Thomas. I am extremely grateful to all of them. I have also had the benefit of discussions with Dr H. C. Porter, who stood in as my supervisor when Professor Rupp was on sabbatical, and Dr N. R. N. Tyacke, Latterly, Andrew Foster, Simon Adams and Bill Sheils have all provided stimulation and advice. I owe a debt to two books which no amount of citation in notes can properly convey. Professor Collinson's The Elizabethan puritan movement and Dr Porter's Reformation and reaction in Tudor Cambridge provided the starting point and constant guidelines for my research. This study stands in the relation of an extended footnote to these two seminal works. I should also like to thank my parents for putting up with the oldest student in Ilford. My research was first financed by a three-year studentship from the D.E.S. and then by a research fellowship at Clare College, Cam-



viii Preface

bridge. I should like to thank the Master and Fellows of Clare for thus allowing me to finish my thesis and complete the reworked and rewritten version which has become this book. I have used material in Emmanuel College, Cambridge; Trinity College, Cambridge; and Pembroke College, Cambridge; Lambeth Palace Library; the Chetham Library; the North Yorkshire Record Office; the British Library; the Public Record Office, and Cambridge University Library. I should like to thank those concerned for permission to use the material discussed below and to acknowledge the unfailing courtesy and helpfulness of the staff in all those libraries as well as the Institute of Historical Research. My colleagues at Bedford College have been a source of great encouragement in providing so congenial an atmosphere in which to work and I should like to acknowledge a special debt to the patience and kindness of Miss Sheelagh Taylor who typed the final version of the manuscript with exemplary accuracy and care. I will conclude by thanking Kevin Watson, Richard Cust and Ann Hughes whose friendship and support were of crucial importance at a most difficult time.

In what follows the dates are in the old style, with the year taken to begin on I January. Extended passages of Latin have been paraphrased or translated. The translations are my own except where otherwise stated.