

# The Representative of the People? Voters and Voting in England under the Early Stuarts



# The Representative of the People?

Voters and Voting in England under the Early Stuarts

DEREK HIRST

Fellow and Director of Studies in History Trinity Hall, Cambridge

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE

LONDON · NEW YORK · MELBOURNE



#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521208109

© Cambridge University Press 1975

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1975
This digitally printed first paperback version 2005

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number: 75-9283

ISBN-13 978-0-521-20810-9 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-20810-6 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-01988-0 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-01988-5 paperback



For my parents



### Contents

Acknowledgements	page	ix
Abbreviations and notes		хi
I Introduction  The context  Electoral beginnings		1 1 12
PART I: THE ELECTORATE		
The county electorate  The forty-shilling freeholder  Other tenures and uncertainties		29 29 34
The borough franchise disputes  The pressures in the towns  Gentry intervention		44 44 61
The support of the House of Commons  The fear of influence  Parliament-men and the politics of the franchise		65 65 75
The urban voters  The corporation franchises  The freeman franchises  The burgage-tenure franchises  Scot and lot  Residence  Almsmen  Conclusion: totals	I	90 91 92 97 98 99 00
PART II: ELECTIONS		
6 Control and independence in voting Influence Voting behaviour	I	09 12 22
7 The electorate and politics  The political impact of the wider franchises  Politics in elections	I	32 32 37

vii



More information

Cambridge University Press 0521019885 - The Representative of the People?: Voters and Voting in England under the Early Stuarts Derek Hirst Frontmatter

CONTENTS	viii
PART III: AFTER THE ELECTION	
8 Local benefits, and taxes  The local role of the parliament-man  The question of taxes	157 158 166
9 Accountability and national politics Reports The quest for support, 1640-2	178 178 181
10 Conclusion	191
Appendices	
Constituencies experiencing franchise disputes 1604-41 Case studies of disputes Chester Chippenham Colchester Dover Exeter Newcastle-under-Lyme Oxford Salisbury Sandwich	195 197 197 198 199 201 203 204 205 206 207
10 Tewkesbury 11 Warwick	209 210
The borough franchises in 1641  IV Contested elections  V Numbers of voters  VI Voting and occupations in Hertford  VII The provisions of bills to regulate elections  VIII The arguments for a wider franchise	213 216 223 227 229 232
Notes	
	237
Bibliography	283
Index	299

viii



## Acknowledgements

The number of notes and appendices to this book will indicate that it began its life as a Ph.D. thesis, and while they had to be retained in order to support what could be a rather contentious case, it is hoped that the scale of the problem discussed will lessen their deterrent effect to the general reader. The research for that thesis was financed by a research studentship from the Department of Education and Science and the Master and Fellows of Gonville and Caius College, and latterly by a Research Fellowship from the Master and Fellows of Trinity Hall, Cambridge. To all of these bodies, and particularly the last, in whose pleasant company this book was written, I am indebted.

It is often suggested that any product of academic research is a cooperative enterprise: this can be nowhere more true than of work which attempts to study aspects both of parliamentary politics and local developments through the country, as this one does. Because the scope of the enquiry is so broad, a deep investigation is precluded, and the consequence is that authorities on particular fields are drawn by the kindness of their hearts to set right some of the more egregious errors. The debts incurred in the compilation of this work are too many to acknowledge in full here, and it is hoped that the notes provide some redress for this omission. I am happy, however, to be able to thank those to whom my obligations are greatest.

The Marquess of Bath, the Marquess of Salisbury, the Marquess Townshend of Raynham, the Earl Fitzwilliam and the Trustees of the Wentworth Woodhouse Estate, Sir Robert Throckmorton, Bt, and Capt. C. B. Fetherstone-Dilke, all kindly permitted the consultation of documents in their ownership. My thanks also extend to the staffs of libraries, record offices and town halls, whose help and kindness made my research possible. A glance at the bibliography will indicate just how many have been my benefactors, but I would like to single out for especial thanks the staffs of Cambridge University Library, and of the Bedfordshire, Essex, Kent, Norfolk, Northamptonshire and Somerset County Record Offices.

Contrary to some popular belief, academic historians are not normally hyper-competitive and suspicious individuals, and I have benefited from discussions with many, particularly Vivienne Millenson, whose forth-coming Columbia University Ph.D. thesis on patronage and elections



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

should fill the large gap left in this work, to John Morrill, Charles Phythian-Adams, Jack Pole, Roger Schofield, Hassell Smith, Joan Thirsk, Prof. David Underdown, John Walter and Keith Wrightson. My Ph.D. examiners, Profs G. E. Aylmer and J. R. Jones, also have my thanks for their valuable criticism and advice. But the sometimes frustrating process of research and writing is not sustained by detailed scholarly criticism alone, and debts for their encouragement are owed to many, to Rosemary Delbridge most of all, Prof. G. R. Elton, Clive Holmes, Neil McKendrick, Roy and Sue Porter, Quentin Skinner, Jonathan Steinberg, Richard Tuck and Prof. John Wallace.

My greatest debts are fewer, and I cannot thank them sufficiently: Valerie Pearl, who supervised part of my research, John Cooper and Conrad Russell who unstintingly read through drafts of the text, have over a long period never ceased to stimulate and challenge. They saved me from many, though I fear not all, of my errors. But still more do I owe to Jack Plumb. He it was who first appreciated the significance of the electorate in the early modern period, who unselfishly guided the early stages of my research, and criticised the draft of this text. He has never failed in his encouragement and his friendship.

D.H.



#### Abbreviations and notes

A.O. Archive Office.

A.P.C. Acts of the Privy Council.
B.M. British Museum classmark.

Bodl. Bodleian Library, Oxford, classmark.

C.D. 1621. Commons Debates in 1621, ed. W. Notestein, F. H. Relf and H.

Simpson (New Haven, 1935).

C.J. Journals of the House of Commons. C.S.P.D. Calendar of State Papers, Domestic.

C.U.L. Cambridge University Library classmark.

D'Ewes (C). The Journal of Sir Simonds D'Ewes from the first recess of the Long Parliament to the withdrawal of King Charles from London, ed.

W. H. Coates (New Haven, 1942).

D'Ewes (N). The Journal of Sir Simonds D'Ewes from the beginning of the Long

Parliament to the trial of the Earl of Strafford, ed. W. Notestein

(New Haven, 1923).

E.H.R. English Historical Review.

Harvard Houghton Library, Harvard, classmark.

H.M.C. Historical Manuscripts Commission, Report.

M.A. Unpublished M.A. thesis.

N.N.R.O. Norfolk and Norwich Record Office. N.R.O. Northamptonshire Record Office.

Ph.D. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis.
P.R.O. Public Record Office classmark.

R.O. Record Office.
U.L. University Library.
V.C.H. Victoria County History.

Contemporary abbreviations have been expanded throughout, although spelling and punctuation have been retained.

Dates are given in Old Style, although the year is taken as beginning on 1 January. The place of all 17th-century publications is London, unless otherwise stated.



- "... we are the Representative of the people of England."
  - Thomas Hobbes, describing Parliament's justification of its decision to fortify Hull against the King in 1642. Behemoth, ed. F. Tonnies (London, 1969), 120.
- "... in Parliament, ... the Lords and Commons represent the whole Kingdome."
- "The vertue of representation hath beene denyed to the Commons, ... and so that great Priviledges [sic] of all Priviledges, that unmoveable Basis of all honour and power, whereby the House of Commons claimes the entire rite of all the Gentry and Commonalty of England, has beene attempted to bee shaken and disturbed."
  - Henry Parker, Observations upon some of his Majesties late Answers and Expresses (1642), 9, 15.
- ".... both Houses of Parliament containing all the Peeres, and representing all the Commons, of England."
  - A Remonstrance or Declaration of Parliament (1642) B.M., E148/23, p.2.
- "... this House representing the whole Body Politicke of this Kingdome."
  - Mr St John his Speech in Parliament ... Concerning the Charge of Treason then exhibited to the Bishops (1641), 1-2.