

Cambridge University Press

0521525020 - Winchester Yields: A Study in Medieval Agricultural Productivity - J. Z. Titow

Frontmatter/Prelims

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ECONOMIC HISTORY

PUBLISHED *with the aid of the Ellen McArthur Fund*

MANAGERS OF THE FUND

PROFESSOR C. H. WILSON, *Jesus College, Cambridge and*

DR C. H. FEINSTEIN, *Clare College, Cambridge*

*Winchester Yields: a Study in
Medieval Agricultural Productivity*

Cambridge University Press

0521525020 - Winchester Yields: A Study in Medieval Agricultural Productivity - J. Z. Titow

Frontmatter/Prelims

[More information](#)

Winchester Yields

A Study in Medieval Agricultural Productivity

J. Z. TITOW

*Reader in Medieval Economic and Social History
University of Nottingham*

CAMBRIDGE

AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS, 1972

Cambridge University Press

0521525020 - Winchester Yields: A Study in Medieval Agricultural Productivity - J. Z. Titow

Frontmatter/Prelims

[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011-4211, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain

Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 1972

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1972

First paperback edition 2004

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress catalogue card number: 72-171685

ISBN 0 521 08349 4 hardback

ISBN 0 521 52502 0 paperback

Contents

List of tables	<i>page</i> vii
Acknowledgements	viii
TEXT	I
APPENDICES	35
Notes	
A Geographical distribution of the Winchester manors, with a map	39
B Rates of sowing	40
C Gross yields of wheat per seed, 1209–1349	43
D Gross yields of barley per seed, 1209–1349	53
E Gross yields of oats per seed, 1209–1349	63
F Gross yields of mancorn, rye and drage per seed, 1209–1349	73
G Gross yields of wheat per acre, 1209–1349	82
H Gross yields of barley per acre, 1209–1349	92
I Gross yields of oats per acre, 1209–1349	102
J Gross yields of mancorn, rye and drage per acre, 1209–1349	112
K Yields: period averages and acreages corresponding to specified changes in productivity	121
L Some data relevant to the assessment of changes in productivity	136
M Comparison of changes in productivity with changes in the area under seed and the ratio of animals to that area	140
N Graph of the average annual yields for the Winchester estates as a whole, 1209–1349	145

Cambridge University Press

0521525020 - Winchester Yields: A Study in Medieval Agricultural Productivity - J. Z. Titow

Frontmatter/Prelims

[More information](#)*Contents*

o	Transcript of the grange account for the Manor of Woodhay, 1255	<i>page</i> 146
P	Comparison of medieval yields with modern yields	148
Q	Chronology of changes with regard to acres	150

Tables

1	Yields per measure of seed	<i>page</i> 4
2a	Gross yields per seed, 1209–1349	13
2b	Gross yields per acre, 1209–1349	13
3	Number of manors with the period average 1209–1349 falling into each category	13
4	Highest and lowest yields in terms of manorial averages for the whole period 1209–1349	14
5	Number of instances in which specified yield patterns occur (yields per seed)	16
6	Yield averages per acre higher or lower than in the period 1209–70	18
7	Number of instances in which specified yield patterns occur (yields per acre)	20
8	Changes (as expected) as between periods	27
9	Changes (contrary to expectations) as between periods	28
10	Summary of changes in cereal productivity on the Winchester manors	29

Acknowledgements

I am most grateful to Professor M. M. Postan and the late Professor J. D. Chambers for having read the typescript of this study and offering their comments; especially to Professor Postan who was kind enough to read through, and comment upon, at least two successive versions of it. My thanks are due to anonymous members of the editorial board of the *Economic History Review* who commented on the original draft of this study and whose criticisms I tried to bear in mind when rewriting it. I wish also to record my gratitude to Professor C. W. J. Granger, of the University of Nottingham, for discussing the validity of my statistical approach with me. Lastly, I wish to express my deep appreciation of the ungrudging assistance that I have always received from the staff of the Hampshire Record Office during many months of my work there.

This essay was awarded the Ellen McArthur Prize, at the University of Cambridge, in 1970. The Managers of the Fund have made a grant towards the cost of publication, which I much appreciate.