

Whether uncovering the social order of a specific time and place, or addressing the grand questions of social history, we often find ourselves doing archaeology. While other fields (ethnography, primatology and women's studies) have much to offer, especially in developing models of what life in the past might reasonably have entailed, archaeology's contribution is distinctive in addressing more directly what life was like. Such a claim does not just depend on lots of data, but on reliable means of discerning hierarchy from this data.

This book contends that despite traditional doubts, practical limitations, and contemporary critiques, a rigorous social archaeology is indeed possible. The early chapters outline what a productive social archaeology might look like, covering such issues as the possibility and prospect of cross-cultural social inference, the central importance of archaeological theory and of social models, the nature of inequality, and the extraordinary effects rules for arranging statuses have on the character of life. The following section of the book offers a systematic review and critique of cross-cultural correlates of inequality. For example, the ways in which residential buildings can vary are summarized and examined for how they might yield insight into a former status system. In the final chapter these correlates are used to help answer the question, "Was Çatal Hüyük a ranked Neolithic town in Anatolia?"



NEW STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGY

Series editors
Colin Renfrew, University of Cambridge
Jeremy Sabloff, University of Pittsburgh
Clive Gamble, University of Southampton

Archaeology has made enormous advances recently, both in the volume of discoveries and in its character as an intellectual discipline: new techniques have helped to further the range and rigour of inquiry, and encouraged inter-disciplinary communication.

The aim of this series is to make available to a wider audience the results of these developments. The coverage is worldwide and extends from the earliest hunting and gathering societies to historical archaeology.

For a list of titles in the series please see the end of the book.



NEW STUDIES IN ARCHAEOLOGY

The archaeology of rank







PAUL K. WASON
Bates College

The archaeology of rank





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York NY 10011–4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Cambridge University Press 1994

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1994 First paperback edition 2004

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress cataloguing in publication data

Wason, Paul K.

The archaeology of rank / Paul K. Wason.
p. cm. – (New studies in archaeology)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0 521 38072 3 hardback
1. Social archaeology. 2. Social classes – History. 3. Social structure – History. 1. Title. II. Series.
CC72.4.W37 1994
930.1–dc20 93-42094 CIP

ISBN 0 521 38072 3 hardback ISBN 0 521 61200 4 paperback



TO NINA



CONTENTS

| | List of figures and tables | page xi |
|---|--|---------|
| | Acknowledgments | xiii |
| I | The present study of past society | I |
| | The gap to be bridged | 3 |
| | The logical possibility of social inference | 3 |
| | The plan | 12 |
| 2 | Social theory and social life: models of society in the | |
| | archaeological study of status | 15 |
| | Social models in the archaeological study of inequality | 18 |
| | Social models and social life | 19 |
| | Material manifestations of socially significant actions | 23 |
| | Ethnographically-based models and data in archaeology | 26 |
| | Activities and the archaeological record | 30 |
| | Implications for the design of archaeological correlates of social | |
| | hierarchy | 33 |
| 3 | Inequality and social life: a working model | 36 |
| | Inequality as an instituted process | 36 |
| | Models and the significance of inequality for real life | 40 |
| | Models of unranked society | 41 |
| | Models of non-stratified ranking | 44 |
| | Models of social stratification | 57 |
| | Models and the archaeological recognition of inequality | 66 |
| 4 | Mortuary data as evidence of ranking, Part 1 | 67 |
| | Osteology, paleopathology, and demography | 72 |
| | Energy expenditure and rank | 76 |
| | Inferences based on general principles of the society | 80 |
| | Alternative factors affecting overall emphasis | 84 |
| 5 | Mortuary data as evidence of ranking, Part 2 | 87 |
| | Variation in tomb form | 87 |
| | The use of collective burial | 89 |
| | | i |

ix



| Contents | | x |
|----------|---|-----|
| | Variation in the quantity of grave associations | 93 |
| | Inferences based on the type of goods included | 93 |
| | Mortuary distinctions which cross-cut age or sex | 98 |
| | Spatial relationships among burials | 101 |
| 6 | The form and distribution of artifacts | 103 |
| | Status markers: elite goods and sumptuary items | 103 |
| | Hoards, residences, and regions | 108 |
| | Regional artifact distributions and status | 112 |
| | Implications of an uneven distribution within a region | 114 |
| | The use of iconography to infer status | 117 |
| | The archaeological recognition of social stratification | 119 |
| | The inference of wealth | 125 |
| 7 | Status, settlements, and structures | 127 |
| | The distribution of communities across the landscape | 127 |
| | The level of individual settlements | 134 |
| | The analysis of residential architecture | 136 |
| | Non-residential construction | 145 |
| 8 | Çatal Hüyük: a ranked Neolithic town in Anatolia? | 153 |
| | Çatal Hüyük in social perspective | 154 |
| | Mortuary practices | 156 |
| | Artifacts and their distributions | 163 |
| | Settlements and architecture | 171 |
| | Social inequality at Neolithic Çatal Hüyük? | 178 |
| | Notes | 180 |
| | References | 188 |
| | Index | 203 |

 $\hbox{@ Cambridge University Press}$



FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures

| Ι.Ι | A model of the archaeological inference of social organization | frontispiece page 4 |
|------|--|---------------------|
| | Tables | |
| 4. I | Recognizable dimensions of variability in mortuary practice | 71 |
| 5. I | A sampling of items restricted to those of high status | 105 |
| 5.2 | The symbolism of egalitarian and hierarchical art | 119 |



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Like you, I often read the front matter of books. Here I find authors saying they could never acknowledge everyone, so here are a few and let's hope the rest don't feel left out. Why not just list them all, I would ask. Can there be so many? When it came my turn, I decided to save the disclaimers for why I haven't used someone's favorite book and simply list everyone who made a difference. But I soon discovered how large the circle really is, and that just as in tracing genealogies, it is hard to know where to begin. Should I mention the college professors who had a special hand in teaching me how to think – Lou Pitelka, Joseph D'Alphonso, Robert Chute, Bruce Bourque – or go even further back to those evenings when my father read to us from his own favorite authors – Lewis, Tolkien, Conan Doyle – imaginative thinkers who, perhaps, have helped me become a little more flexible and a little less dull in thought and expression. Probably not. A better case can be made for starting much later with graduate school mentors like Bill Arens, Pedro Carrasco and Lou Faron, or with those who taught me archaeology – Bruce Bourque, Ed Lanning, Mike Gramley, Mike Moseley, Bob Feldman, Elizabeth Stone, Phil Weigand.

And then we come to those who helped directly with the book as it grew from digressions in term papers, through a dissertation, and on to more drafts than I or those I am about to acknowledge care to remember. The text in final form owes much to Jeremy Sabloff, Colin Renfrew, Bruce Bourque, and an anonymous Cambridge reviewer, while Phil Weigand, Elizabeth Stone, and Ed Lanning had an early influence. Also early on (and at a formative stage of her own writing career) Gina King offered enthusiastic editorial assistance. She has continued to encourage my interest in writing, which is much appreciated. I have not perhaps come as fully to my senses as these scholars would have liked, but if they care to read this book again, they will discover how much I have learned from them. I must also thank those I work with at Bates College (even Sheila) who have encouraged the efforts of that curious hybrid - a member of the College's administration who nevertheless is engaged in serious scholarship (well, pretty serious). I am pleased to say that even as many small liberal-arts colleges are just getting used to the teacher-scholar, Bates is already taking steps to support the administrator-scholar. Yet in the broader academic world, the historical suspicion that such a person is not strictly legitimate, a dabbling hobbyist with untoward pretensions, will for some time keep this a role that must be fashioned anew each time rather than an office to be filled. Which only reinforces how crucial is the support of real people, including the lineage chiefs and paramounts (if you will) of the academy. Gina Tangney and Martha Crunkleton in particular have

xiii



Acknowledgments xiv

appreciated and supported my efforts, and several Bates faculty members have also followed the progress of my work. This has been heartening, even fortifying. I wish to thank Jessica Kuper, Peter Richards, and those at Cambridge University Press who have helped me learn something about how to turn a great wad of scribblings into a book. Thanks also to Jennifer Wood for creating the artwork for the cover and frontispiece, block prints based on a figurine and mural from Çatal Hüyük.

Finally I offer thanks to my friends and family (even Don) for their support and encouragement. Thanks to Kate and Carolyn who have spent too much of their four and two years wondering, in Carolyn's words, "Where mine Daddy?", and to my wife Nina, who has seen this through with me. She has expressed admiration for my ability to go back to work each evening and put in a few hours' writing. (She also counsels holding out for the music video, when friends seem to think they might want to read it – but we needn't go into that just now.) Yet if the scheduling has not always been ideal, I have, of course, enjoyed the work itself, and should say rather that I admire her ability – and appreciate her willingness – to take up what I let slip while I had the pleasure of doing archaeology – er, I mean, while I undertook the arduous task of finishing this work.