

Cambridge University Press
0521675537 - Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory
Y. T. Chen and Alan Cook
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

Recent years have seen great interest in exploring universal gravitation both by astronomical and geophysical observations and by experiments in terrestrial laboratories. This book is about laboratory experiments, the reasons for doing them and the difficulties they present.

Gravitation is a very weak force and experiments will be useful only if they are at the limits of sensitivity and mechanical measurements. They must be imaginatively designed and carefully performed. They are especially afflicted by noise and other disturbances, the effects of which the authors analyse in detail, as they do issues in the design of experiments. Critical accounts are given of experiments for testing the inverse square law and the principle of equivalence and for measuring the constant of gravitation.

The book will be of value to graduate students, research workers and teachers engaged in any precise measurements or in theoretical or experimental studies of gravitation, who wish to understand the problems of laboratory experiments in this and similarly demanding fields.

Cambridge University Press
0521675537 - Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory
Y. T. Chen and Alan Cook
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

GRAVITATIONAL EXPERIMENTS IN THE
LABORATORY

Cambridge University Press
0521675537 - Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory
Y. T. Chen and Alan Cook
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

GRAVITATIONAL EXPERIMENTS IN THE LABORATORY

Y. T. CHEN

*Fellow of Corpus Christi College, University of Cambridge
Professor, Institute for Advanced Studies, University of Malaya*

ALAN COOK

Master, Selwyn College, University of Cambridge



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
 0521675537 - Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory
 Y. T. Chen and Alan Cook
 Frontmatter
[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
 Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
 The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
 Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521391719

© Cambridge University Press 1993

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
 and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
 no reproduction of any part may take place without
 the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1993
 This digitally printed first paperback version 2005

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Chen, Y. T.
 Gravitational experiments in the laboratory/Y. T. Chen, Alan Cook.
 p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 39171 7

1. Gravity—Measurement. 2. Gravity—Experiments. I. Cook, Alan H. II. Title.
 QC178.C645 1993
 531'.14'078—dc20 92-7538 CIP

ISBN-13 978-0-521-39171-9 hardback
 ISBN-10 0-521-39171-7 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-67553-6 paperback
 ISBN-10 0-521-67553-7 paperback

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page xi</i>
1 Introduction	1
2 The linear oscillator driven by thermal noise and with electrical damping	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Response of linear oscillator to thermal noise	10
2.3 Criteria for least detectable force in amplitude measurement	15
2.4 Least detectable signal – discrete measurements	18
2.5 Least detectable signal – continuous measurements	21
2.6 Least detectable force at equilibrium	25
2.7 Characteristic times in the expression for least detectable acceleration	26
2.8 Thermal limit on measurements of period	27
2.9 Electrical damping of a torsion pendulum	28
2.10 Partial cooling of a torsion pendulum	32
3 External sources of noise and design of experiments	34
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Ground disturbance	34
3.3 Magnetic effects	45
3.4 Electrostatic forces	48
3.5 Miscellaneous thermal effects	49
3.6 Air currents	53
3.7 Local extraneous gravitational attraction on a torsion balance	54

viii	<i>Contents</i>	
4	The weak principle of equivalence	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Einstein's principle of equivalence	58
4.3	Strong principle of equivalence	60
4.4	Weak principle of equivalence	62
4.5	The Eötvös coefficient	63
4.6	Direct observation of free fall	64
4.7	Experiments with the simple pendulum	66
4.8	Principle of torsion balance experiments	73
4.9	Measurement of torque on a torsion balance	84
4.10	Torsion balance experiments	90
4.11	Experiments with beam balance	94
5	Verification of the weak principle of equivalence for free particles	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Experiments on atoms	98
5.3	Experiments on neutrons	101
5.4	Experiments on photons	104
5.5	Experiments on free electrons	105
5.6	Conclusion	107
6	Newtonian attractions of extended bodies	109
6.1	Introduction	109
6.2	Attraction of a sphere	110
6.3	Attractions of cylinders	114
6.4	Attraction of a rectangular prism	130
7	Experimental tests of the inverse square law	134
7.1	Theoretical background	134
7.2	Interpretation of the experimental results	138
7.3	Review of experimental studies	141
7.4	Non-Newtonian fields of extended bodies	143
7.5	Test of the inverse square law at the Cavendish Laboratory	152
7.6	Long's experiment	173
7.7	First experiment of Newman and co-workers	176
7.8	Second experiment of Newman and co-workers	179
7.9	Experiment of Panov and Frontov	183
7.10	Direct measurement of the gradient of gravitation – Paik's method	184

Cambridge University Press
0521675537 - Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory
Y. T. Chen and Alan Cook
Frontmatter
[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>		ix
7.11	Inverse square law at high frequency – Weber, Sinsky and Hirakawa method	187
8	The constant of gravitation	196
8.1	Introduction	196
8.2	Direct deflection measurement with beam balance	200
8.3	Direct deflection measurement with torsion balance	209
8.4	Direct deflection measurement with mercury suspension	216
8.5	Torsion pendulum determinations – period method	217
8.6	Measurement of G by angular acceleration	232
8.7	Resonance method	238
8.8	Conclusion	250
9	Conclusion	252
	<i>References</i>	256
	<i>Index</i>	265

Preface

From the time that Newton first proposed that there was a universal force of gravity inversely proportional to the square of the distance between two point masses, there have been recurrent investigations of how far that rule was correct, and many different alternative forms have been suggested. The other assumption that Newton made, that the force of gravity did not depend on the chemical composition of bodies, has also been questioned from time to time; Newton himself carried out the first experimental test of what has become known as the weak principle of equivalence. It has often been suggested that some apparently anomalous behaviour in celestial mechanics should be ascribed to a failure of the inverse square law; indeed Clairaut developed the first analytical theory of the motion of the Moon because of discrepancies between Newton's theory and observation that might have been due to an inverse-cube component of the force. As with all subsequent studies before general relativity, careful analysis showed that the effects were consistent with the inverse square law. General relativity predicts a small deviation from the inverse square law close to very massive bodies, a deviation that has been confirmed by careful observation.

The motions of celestial bodies about each other are, with very minor exceptions, unable to reveal any departure from the weak principle of equivalence; if such departures are to be detected, they must be sought in laboratory experiments or geophysical observations. It has been argued that geophysical observations show failures of the simple Newtonian law of gravitation, but the most recent studies have not confirmed earlier results and are wholly consistent with the inverse square law and the weak principle of equivalence. Experiments in the laboratory, that is to say, at distances of no more than a few metres, have been performed to check the

inverse square law at short distances and to examine the weak principle of equivalence, and again the outcome is that no deviations have been found from either. We may say that, at the present time, no departure from the predictions of general relativity has been confirmed and that general relativity remains the best theory of gravitation that we have.

None the less the nature of gravitation remains an enigma. Is it simply a matter of the geometry of space-time, or is it related to deeper physical symmetries as are the other principal forces of nature? The force is extremely weak and seems independent of any other physical circumstance, so that experimental investigations are very difficult, and up to now they have, as has just been said, confirmed the inverse square law and weak equivalence. Experiments on gravitation in the laboratory are therefore a great challenge to technique and imagination in design and performance, and many able experimenters have accepted that challenge over the last four decades, partly to examine the predictions of theories other than general relativity, partly to follow up indications from geophysical studies that there might have been failures of general relativity. As in geophysical studies, general relativity holds the field.

The considerable effort that has been spent on laboratory investigations should not be thought nugatory. The effects looked for are very small and push experimental design, technique and analysis to the limits. Consequently the general art of precise measurement has been greatly advanced by the search for anomalous effects, as well as by attempts to improve the precision of the measurement of the constant of gravitation, a constant that is still only poorly known. We have therefore thought it useful to describe the principal experiments that have been done in recent years, placing them in the historical context of earlier work and indicating possible future developments. We draw particular attention to the limitations set by noise and extraneous disturbances, for those are common to all very precise measurements and not just to experiments on gravitation. Experimental physicists in general, and not only those interested in gravitation, will, we trust, find value in our accounts of the very precise experiments that have been done and in the emphasis that we place on the importance of imaginative design carefully thought through. Theorists also, we hope, may find it useful to have a general critical account of the experimental evidence relevant to their investigations.

It seems that many programmes of laboratory investigations of gravitation are drawing to a close and that new ideas for experiments are needed if there is to be any prospect of detecting deviations from general

relativity. The only realistic hope for radically different experiments may well lie in doing them in spacecraft, but while various experiments have been proposed, none has yet been accepted into a flight programme. Now therefore seems a suitable time to bring together the methods and results of recent work, giving, as they have, a generally negative answer to the question whether deviations from general relativity can be detected in laboratory experiments, but also having generated important advances in experimental technique.

There are many publications about the study of gravitation. We have been greatly helped by the publication of two bibliographies, one for the constant of gravitation (Gillies, 1987) and the other (Fischbach *et al.*, 1992) for work relevant to a possible 'fifth force'.

We have been much indebted in our studies of gravitation over the years to many colleagues in Cambridge and North America and mention, in particular, Dr P. L. Bender, Professor Sir Brian Pippard, Dr J. Faller, Dr G. Gillies, Dr A. J. F. Metherell, Dr Riley Newman, Dr C. Speake and Dr T. J. Quinn. One of us (A. H. C.) owes an especial debt to the late Professor Antonio Marussi, a friend and colleague over many years, whose untimely death was a great loss to geophysics and the study of gravitation. We take great pleasure in thanking those who have looked after us at Cambridge University Press, notably our editor, Dr S. Capelin, and our copy-editor, Sheila Champney.