

---

## Invariant measures for Markov semigroups

We are given a Hilbert space  $H$  (inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , norm  $|\cdot|$ ). We shall use the following notations.

- $B(x, r)$  is the open ball in  $H$  with centre  $x$  and radius  $r > 0$ .
- $C_b(H)$  (resp.  $B_b(H)$ ) is the Banach space of all uniformly continuous and bounded mappings (resp. Borel bounded mappings)  $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_0 = \sup_{x \in H} |\varphi(x)|.$$

- $L(C_b(H))$  (resp.  $L(B_b(H))$ ) is the space of all linear bounded operators from  $C_b(H)$  (resp.  $B_b(H)$ ) into itself.
- $C_b^+(H)$  (resp.  $B_b^+(H)$ ) represents the cone in  $C_b(H)$  (resp.  $B_b(H)$ ) consisting of all non-negative functions, and  $\mathbf{1}$  the function on  $H$  identically equal to 1.
- $C_b(H)^*$  is the topological dual of  $C_b(H)$ .
- $\mathcal{P}(H)$  is the space of all probability measures on  $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$  where  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel subsets of  $H$ .  
There is a natural embedding of  $\mathcal{P}(H)$  into  $C_b(H)^*$ . Namely, for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  we set

$$F_\mu(\varphi) = \int_H \varphi(x) \mu(dx), \quad \varphi \in C_b(H).$$

In the following we shall often identify  $\mu$  with  $F_\mu$ .

### 5.1 Markov semigroups

**Definition 5.1** A Markov semigroup  $P_t$  on  $B_b(H)$  is a mapping

$$[0, +\infty) \rightarrow L(B_b(H)), \quad t \mapsto P_t,$$

such that

- (i)  $P_0 = 1$ ,  $P_{t+s} = P_t P_s$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ .
- (ii) For any  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in H$  there exists a probability measure  $\pi_t(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi(y) \pi_t(x, dy) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in B_b(H). \quad (5.1)$$

- (iii) For any  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  (resp.  $B_b(H)$ ) and  $x \in H$ , the mapping  $t \mapsto P_t \varphi(x)$  is continuous (resp. Borel).

Obviously, by (5.1) it follows that for  $t = 0$ ,

$$\pi_0(x, \cdot) = \delta_x, \quad x \in H,$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac measure at  $x$ .

We notice that in the literature one requires usually only (i) and (ii) in the definition of Markov semigroup  $P_t$ . In this case condition (iii) means that  $P_t$  is *stochastically continuous*, see e.g. [10].

**Definition 5.2** Let  $P_t$  be a Markov semigroup.

- (i)  $P_t$  is Feller if  $P_t \varphi \in C_b(H)$  for any  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  and any  $t \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $P_t$  is strong Feller if  $P_t \varphi \in C_b(H)$  for any  $\varphi \in B_b(H)$  and any  $t > 0$ .
- (iii)  $P_t$  is irreducible if  $P_t \mathbf{1}_{B(x_0, r)}(x) > 0$  for all  $x, x_0 \in H$ ,  $r > 0$  and any  $t \geq 0$ .

Let us give some general properties of a Markov semigroup  $P_t$ . First, notice that by (5.1) we have  $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and that  $P_t$  preserves positivity, that is  $P_t \varphi \in B_b^+(H)$  for all  $\varphi \in B_b^+(H)$ .

Moreover, since, for any  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ ,

$$-\|\varphi\|_0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \|\varphi\|_0, \quad x \in H,$$

we have

$$|P_t \varphi(x)| \leq \|\varphi\|_0, \quad x \in H.$$

Consequently  $\|P_t\|_{L(B_b(H))} \leq 1$ , for any  $t \geq 0$ . That is  $P_t$  is a semigroup of contractions on  $B_b(H)$ .

Let us give now some properties of the family of measures  $\pi_t(x, \cdot)$  (called a *probability kernel*).

By (5.1) it follows that for any  $E \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  we have

$$\pi_t(x, E) = P_t \mathbf{1}_E(x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in H. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, the following useful result holds.

**Proposition 5.3** For any  $t, s \geq 0$ ,  $x \in H$  and any  $E \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  we have

$$\pi_{t+s}(x, E) = \int_H \pi_s(y, E) \pi_t(x, dy). \quad (5.3)$$

**Proof.** We have in fact, taking into account the semigroup property of  $P_t$ , (5.2) and (5.1),

$$\pi_{t+s}(x, E) = P_{t+s} \mathbf{1}_E(x) = P_t \pi_s(\cdot, E)(x) = \int_H \pi_s(y, E) \pi_t(x, dy).$$

□

**Example 5.4** Let us consider the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} X'(t) = b(X(t)), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

on  $H = \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $b: H \rightarrow H$  is Lipschitz continuous. As is well known, there exists a unique solution  $X(t, x)$  of problem (5.4). Set

$$\pi_t(x, \cdot) = \delta_{X(t, x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then it is easy to see that the transition semigroup

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \varphi(X(t, x)), \quad \varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.5)$$

is a Markov semigroup.

**Exercise 5.5** (i) Prove that semigroup  $P_t$ , defined by (5.5), is Feller. Is  $P_t$  strong Feller?

(ii) Prove that  $P_t$  is strongly continuous in  $C_b(H)$  if and only if  $b$  is bounded.

**Example 5.6** Let us consider the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dX = b(X)dt + \sqrt{C} dB(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

on  $H = \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with values in  $H$ ,  $b: H \rightarrow H$  is locally Lipschitz continuous,  $C \in L(H)$  and Hypothesis 4.23 is fulfilled.

Then by Proposition 4.3 there exists a unique continuous stochastic process  $X(\cdot, x)$ , the solution of problem (5.6). Set

$$\pi_t(x, E) = (X(t, x)_\# \mathbb{P})(E), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then the transition semigroup

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(y) \pi_t(x, dy), \quad \varphi \in B_b(H), \quad (5.7)$$

is a Markov semigroup as easily checked.

**Exercise 5.7** Prove that the semigroup  $P_t$ , defined by (5.7), is Feller.

## 5.2 Invariant measures

In this section  $P_t$  represents a Markov semigroup on  $H$ . A probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  is said to be *invariant* for  $P_t$  if

$$\int_H P_t \varphi d\mu = \int_H \varphi d\mu \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in B_b(H) \text{ and } t \geq 0. \quad (5.8)$$

If  $P_t$  is Feller this condition is clearly equivalent (identifying  $\mu$  with  $F_\mu$ ) to

$$P_t^* \mu = \mu \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (5.9)$$

where  $P_t^*$  is the transpose operator of  $P_t$ , defined as

$$\langle \varphi, P_t^* F \rangle = \langle P_t \varphi, F \rangle,$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ ,  $F \in C_b(H)^*$ .<sup>(1)</sup>

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$  is invariant for  $P_t$  we have

$$\mu(A) = P_t^* \mu(A) = \int_H P_t \mathbf{1}_A(x) \mu(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

from which, recalling (5.8),

$$\mu(A) = \int_H \pi_t(x, A) \mu(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H). \quad (5.10)$$

A first basic result is the following.

<sup>(1)</sup>  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  represent the duality between  $C_b(H)$  and  $C_b(H)^*$ .

**Theorem 5.8** Assume that  $\mu$  is an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . Then for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $P_t$  is uniquely extendible to a linear bounded operator on  $L^p(H, \mu)$  that we still denote by  $P_t$ . Moreover

$$\|P_t\|_{L(L^p(H, \mu))} \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Finally,  $P_t$  is a strongly continuous semigroup in  $L^p(H, \mu)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ . By the Hölder inequality we have

$$|P_t\varphi(x)|^p \leq \int_H |\varphi(y)|^p \pi_t(x, dy) = P_t(|\varphi|^p)(x).$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to  $\mu$  over  $H$  yields

$$\int_H |P_t\varphi(x)|^p \mu(dx) \leq \int_H P_t(|\varphi|^p)(x) \mu(dx) = \int_H |\varphi(x)|^p \mu(dx)$$

in view of the invariance of  $\mu$ . Since  $C_b(H)$  is dense in  $L^p(H, \mu)$ ,  $P_t$  is uniquely extendible to  $L^p(H, \mu)$  and (5.11) follows.

Let us show finally that  $P_t$  is strongly continuous in  $L^p(H, \mu)$ . First let  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ . Then, by property (iii) in Definition 5.1 of  $P_t$  we have that the function  $t \rightarrow P_t\varphi(x)$  is continuous for any  $x \in H$ . Consequently, by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t\varphi = \varphi \quad \text{in } L^p(H, \mu).$$

The same assertion follows easily when  $\varphi \in L^p(H, \mu)$  by the density of  $C_b(H)$  in  $L^p(H, \mu)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . We are going to study the asymptotic behaviour of  $P_t\varphi$ , for  $\varphi \in L^2(H, \mu)$ . This is obvious when  $P_t\varphi = \varphi$  for all  $t > 0$ . In this case we say that  $\varphi$  is *stationary*. In general, given  $\varphi \in L^2(H, \mu)$ , one can ask whether there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P_t\varphi(x), \quad (5.12)$$

or, if not, if there exists the limit of the means

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_s\varphi(x) ds. \quad (5.13)$$

We shall prove indeed that this limit always exists in  $L^2(H, \mu)$  (*Von Neumann theorem*).

If in addition it happens that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \varphi(x) dt = \int_H \varphi d\mu \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu), \quad (5.14)$$

for all  $\varphi \in L^2(H, \mu)$ ,  $P_t$  is said to be *ergodic*. In this case the identity (5.14) is interpreted in physics by saying that the “temporal” average of  $P_t \varphi$  coincides with the “spatial” average of  $\varphi$ .

It can also happen in particular that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} P_t \varphi(x) = \int_H \varphi d\mu \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu). \quad (5.15)$$

In this case  $P_t$  is said to be *strongly mixing*.

Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures will be proved in Chapter 7. We conclude this introduction by giving two examples of invariant measures.

**Exercise 5.9** Consider the ordinary differential equation,

$$Z'(t) = Z(t) - Z^3(t), \quad Z(0) = x,$$

and the corresponding transition semigroup

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \varphi(Z(t, x)), \quad \varphi \in C_b(H).$$

Prove that  $P_t$  is a Markov semigroup and that  $\pi_t(x, E) = \delta_{Z(t, x)}(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Show moreover that measures  $\delta_0, \delta_1$  and  $\delta_{-1}$  are invariant, ergodic and strongly mixing.

**Exercise 5.10** Consider the stochastic differential equation in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$dX(t) = -X(t)dt + dB(t), \quad X(0) = x,$$

whose solution  $X(t, x)$  is given by the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process (see Proposition 4.10),

$$X(t, x) = e^{-t}x + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} dB(s), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Prove that

$$\pi_t(x, \cdot) = N_{e^{-t}x, \frac{1}{2}(1-e^{-2t})}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

Show moreover that the measure  $\mu = N_{\frac{1}{2}}$  is invariant, ergodic and strongly mixing.

**Hint.** Check that (5.8) holds for  $\varphi(x) = e^{ihx}$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In order to study the behaviour of  $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \varphi dt$ , we need some general result about the averages of the powers of a linear operator, proved in the next section.

### 5.3 Ergodic averages

We are given a linear bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $E$  (norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ).<sup>(2)</sup> We set

$$M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Theorem 5.11** *Assume that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < +\infty$ . Then there exists the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n x := M_\infty x \quad \text{for all } x \in E. \quad (5.16)$$

Moreover  $M_\infty \in L(H)$ ,  $M_\infty^2 = M_\infty$  and  $M_\infty(E) = \text{Ker}(1 - T)$ .

**Proof.** First notice that the limit of  $(M_n x)$  certainly exists when either  $x \in \text{Ker}(1 - T)$ , or  $x \in (1 - T)(E)$ . In fact in the first case we have obviously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{Ker}(1 - T),$$

and in the latter we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (1 - T)(E),$$

because

$$(1 - T)M_n = M_n(1 - T) = \frac{1}{n} (1 - T^n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.17)$$

Consequently we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{(1 - T)(E)}, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $\overline{(1 - T)(E)}$  is the closure of  $(1 - T)(E)$ .

Now let  $x \in E$  be fixed. Since  $\|M_n x\|_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded by assumption, there exists a sub-sequence  $(n_k)$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and an element  $y \in H$  such that  $M_{n_k} x \rightarrow y$  weakly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By (5.17) it follows also that  $T M_{n_k} x \rightarrow T y = y$ , so that  $y \in \text{Ker}(1 - T)$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> Later we shall take  $E = L^2(H, \mu)$ .

Now we prove that  $M_n x \rightarrow y$ . First note that, since  $y \in \text{Ker}(1 - T)$ , we have  $M_n y = y$ , and so

$$M_n x = M_n y + M_n(x - y) = y + M_n(x - y). \quad (5.19)$$

We claim that  $x - y \in \overline{(1 - T)(E)}$ , which will prove (5.17) by (5.16). We have in fact

$$x - y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x - M_{n_k} x),$$

and  $x - M_{n_k} x \in (1 - T)(E)$  because

$$\begin{aligned} x - M_{n_k} x &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{h=0}^{n_k-1} (1 - T^h)x \\ &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{h=0}^{n_k-1} (1 + T + \dots + T^{h-1})(1 - T)x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (5.16) holds.

Finally, since  $(1 - T)M_n \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $M^\infty = TM^\infty$ , so that  $T^k M^\infty = M^\infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $M^\infty = M_n M^\infty$ , which yields as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M^\infty = (M^\infty)^2$ , as required.  $\square$

## 5.4 The Von Neumann theorem

In this section we assume that there is an invariant measure  $\mu$  for the Markov semigroup  $P_t$ . This will allow us to extend the semigroup  $P_t$  to  $L^2(H, \mu)$ , as proved in Theorem 5.8.

We denote by  $\Sigma$  the set

$$\Sigma = \{f \in L^2(H, \mu) : P_t f = f, \mu\text{-a.e. for all } t \geq 0\} \quad (5.20)$$

of all *stationary* points of  $P_t$ . Clearly  $\Sigma$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(H, \mu)$  and  $\mathbf{1} \in \Sigma$ .

Let us consider the average

$$M(T)\varphi = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \varphi dt, \quad \varphi \in L^2(H, \mu), \quad T > 0.$$

**Theorem 5.12** *There exists the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} M(T)\varphi =: M_\infty \varphi \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu). \quad (5.21)$$



Moreover  $M_\infty$  is a projection operator on  $\Sigma$ , and

$$\int_H M_\infty \varphi d\mu = \int_H \varphi d\mu. \quad (5.22)$$

**Proof.** For all  $T > 0$  write

$$T = n_T + r_T, \quad n_T \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad r_T \in [0, 1).$$

For  $\varphi \in L^2(H, \mu)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(T)\varphi &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{n_T-1} \int_k^{k+1} P_s \varphi ds + \frac{1}{T} \int_{n_T}^T P_s \varphi ds \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{n_T-1} \int_0^1 P_{s+k} \varphi ds + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{r_T} P_{s+n(T)} \varphi ds \\ &= \frac{n_T}{T} \frac{1}{n_T} \sum_{k=0}^{n_T-1} (P_1)^k M(1)\varphi + \frac{r_T}{T} (P_1)^{n_T} M(r_T)\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Since

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_T}{T} = 1, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_T}{T} = 0,$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.23) and invoking Theorem 5.11, we get (5.21).

We prove now that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$M_\infty P_t = P_t M_\infty = M_\infty. \quad (5.24)$$

In fact, given  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\infty P_t \varphi &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_{t+s} \varphi ds = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} P_s \varphi ds \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \int_0^T P_s \varphi ds - \int_0^t P_s \varphi ds + \int_T^{T+t} P_s \varphi ds \right\} \\ &= M_\infty \varphi \end{aligned}$$

and this yields (5.24).

By (5.24) it follows that  $M_\infty f \in \Sigma$  for all  $f \in L^2(H, \mu)$ , and moreover that

$$M_\infty M(T) = M(T) P_\infty = M_\infty,$$

which yields, letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M_\infty^2 = M_\infty$ . Finally, (5.22) follows, by integrating (5.21) with respect to  $\mu$ .  $\square$

## 5.5 Ergodicity

Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . We say that  $\mu$  is *ergodic* if

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \varphi dt = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in L^2(H, \mu), \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi} = \int_H \varphi(x) \mu(dx).$$

**Proposition 5.13** *Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . Then  $\mu$  is ergodic if and only if the dimension of the linear space  $\Sigma$  of all stationary elements of  $L^2(H, \mu)$  defined by (5.20) is 1.*

**Proof.** If  $\mu$  is ergodic it follows from (5.25) that any element in  $\Sigma$  is constant, so that dimension of  $\Sigma$  is 1. Conversely assume that dimension of  $\Sigma$  is 1. Then there is a linear bounded functional  $F$  on  $L^2(H, \mu)$  such that

$$M_\infty \varphi = F(\varphi) \mathbf{1}.$$

By the Riesz representation theorem there exists an element  $\varphi_0 \in L^2(H, \mu)$  such that  $F(\varphi) = \langle \varphi, \varphi_0 \rangle$ . Integrating this equality on  $H$  with respect to  $\mu$  and taking into account the invariance of  $M_\infty$  (see (5.22)), yields

$$\int_H M_\infty \varphi d\mu = \int_H \varphi d\mu = \langle \varphi, \mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle \varphi, \varphi_0 \rangle, \quad \varphi \in L^2(H, \mu).$$

Therefore  $\varphi_0 = \mathbf{1}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . A Borel set  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is said to be *invariant* for  $P_t$  if its characteristic function  $\mathbf{1}_\Gamma$  belongs to  $\Sigma$ . If  $\mu(\Gamma)$  is equal to either 0 or 1, we say that  $\Gamma$  is *trivial*, otherwise it is *nontrivial*.

We now want to show that  $\mu$  is ergodic if and only if all invariant sets are trivial. For this it is important to notice that  $\Sigma$  is a lattice, as proved in the next proposition.

**Proposition 5.14** *Assume that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $\Sigma$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $|\varphi| \in \Sigma$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in \Sigma$ .<sup>(3)</sup>

<sup>(3)</sup>  $\varphi^+ = \max\{\varphi, 0\}$ ,  $\varphi^- = \max\{-\varphi, 0\}$ .

(iii)  $\varphi \vee \psi, \varphi \wedge \psi \in \Sigma$ .<sup>(4)</sup>

(iv) For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\mathbf{1}_{\{x \in H: \varphi(x) > a\}} \in \Sigma$ .

**Proof.** Let us prove (i). Let  $t > 0$  and assume that  $\varphi \in \Sigma$ , so that  $\varphi(x) = P_t \varphi(x)$ . Then we have

$$|\varphi(x)| = |P_t \varphi(x)| \leq P_t(|\varphi|)(x), \quad x \in H. \quad (5.26)$$

We claim that

$$|\varphi(x)| = P_t(|\varphi|)(x), \quad \mu\text{-a.s.}$$

Assume by contradiction that there is a Borel subset  $I \subset H$  such that  $\mu(I) > 0$  and

$$|\varphi(x)| < P_t(|\varphi|)(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Then we have

$$\int_H |\varphi(x)| \mu(dx) < \int_H P_t(|\varphi|)(x) \mu(dx).$$

Since, by the invariance of  $\mu$ ,

$$\int_H P_t(|\varphi|)(x) \mu(dx) = \int_H |\varphi(x)| \mu(dx),$$

we find a contradiction.

Statements (ii) and (iii) follow from the obvious identities

$$\varphi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi + |\varphi|), \quad \varphi^- = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi - |\varphi|),$$

$$\varphi \vee \psi = (\varphi - \psi)^+ + \psi, \quad \varphi \wedge \psi = -(\varphi - \psi)^+ + \varphi.$$

Finally let us prove (iv). It is enough to show that the set  $\{\varphi > 0\}$  is invariant, or, equivalently, that  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi > 0\}}$  belongs to  $\Sigma$ . We have in fact, as it is easily checked,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\varphi > 0\}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x), \quad x \in H,$$

where  $\varphi_n = (n\varphi^+) \wedge \mathbf{1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , belongs to  $\Sigma$  by (ii) and (iii). Therefore  $\{\varphi > 0\}$  is invariant.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.15** *Let  $\mu$  be an invariant measure for  $P_t$ . Then  $\mu$  is ergodic if and only if any invariant set is trivial.*

<sup>(4)</sup>  $\varphi \vee \psi = \max\{\varphi, \psi\}$ ,  $\varphi \wedge \psi = \min\{\varphi, \psi\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Gamma$  be invariant for  $\mu$ . Then if  $\mu$  is ergodic  $\mathbf{1}_\Gamma$  must be constant (otherwise  $\dim \Sigma \geq 2$ ) and so  $\Gamma$  is trivial. Assume conversely that the only invariant sets for  $\mu$  are trivial and, by contradiction, that  $\mu$  is not ergodic. Then there exists a non-constant function  $\varphi_0 \in \Sigma$ . Therefore by Proposition 5.14 for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  the invariant set  $\{\varphi_0 > \lambda\}$  is not trivial.  $\square$

## 5.6 Structure of the set of all invariant measures

We still assume that  $P_t$  is a Markov semigroup on  $H$ . We denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of all its invariant measures and we assume that  $\Lambda$  is non-empty. Clearly  $\Lambda$  is a convex subset of  $C_b(H)^*$ .

**Theorem 5.16** *Assume that there is a unique invariant measure  $\mu$  for  $P_t$ . Then  $\mu$  is ergodic.*

**Proof.** Assume by contradiction that  $\mu$  is not ergodic. Then there is a nontrivial invariant set  $\Gamma$ . Let us prove that the measure  $\mu_\Gamma$  defined as

$$\mu_\Gamma(A) = \frac{1}{\mu(\Gamma)} \mu(A \cap \Gamma), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

belongs to  $\Lambda$ . This will give rise to a contradiction.

Recalling (5.10), we have to show that

$$\mu_\Gamma(A) = \int_H \pi_t(x, A) \mu_\Gamma(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H),$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\mu(A \cap \Gamma) = \int_\Gamma \pi_t(x, A) \mu(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H). \quad (5.27)$$

In fact, since  $\Gamma$  is invariant, we have

$$P_t \mathbf{1}_\Gamma = \mathbf{1}_\Gamma, \quad P_t \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^c} = \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^c}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\pi_t(x, \Gamma) = \mathbf{1}_\Gamma(x), \quad \pi_t(x, \Gamma^c) = \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^c}(x), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma^c) = 0, \quad \mu\text{-a.e. in } \Gamma \text{ and } \pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma) = 0, \quad \mu\text{-a.e. in } \Gamma^c,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \pi_t(x, A) \mu(dx) &= \int_{\Gamma} \pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma) \mu(dx) + \int_{\Gamma} \pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma^c) \mu(dx) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma) \mu(dx) = \int_H \pi_t(x, A \cap \Gamma) \mu(dx) = \mu(A \cap \Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

and (5.10) holds.  $\square$

We want now to show that the set of all extremal points of  $\Lambda$  is precisely the set of all ergodic measures of  $P_t$ . For this we need a lemma.

**Lemma 5.17** *Let  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  with  $\mu$  ergodic and  $\nu$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . By the Von Neumann theorem there exists  $T_n \uparrow \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} P_t \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} dt = \mu(\Gamma), \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \quad (5.28)$$

Since  $\nu \ll \mu$ , identity (5.28) holds also  $\nu$ -a.e. Now integrating (5.28) with respect to  $\nu$  yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} \left( \int_H P_t \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} d\nu \right) dt = \nu(\Gamma), \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Consequently  $\nu(\Gamma) = \mu(\Gamma)$  as required.  $\square$

We can now prove the announced property of  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 5.18** *The set of all invariant ergodic measures of  $P_t$  coincides with the set of all extremal points of  $\Lambda$ .*

**Proof.** We first prove that if  $\mu$  is ergodic then it is an extremal point of  $\Lambda$ . Assume by contradiction that  $\mu$  is ergodic and it is not an extremal point of  $\Lambda$ . Then there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mu = \alpha \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha) \mu_2.$$

Then clearly  $\mu_1 \ll \mu$  and  $\mu_2 \ll \mu$ . By Lemma 5.17 we get a contradiction.

We finally prove that if  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $\Lambda$ , then it is ergodic. Assume by contradiction that  $\mu$  is not ergodic. Then there exists a nontrivial invariant set  $\Gamma$ . Consequently, arguing as in the proof

of Theorem 5.16, we have  $\mu_\Gamma, \mu_{\Gamma^c} \in \Lambda$ . Since

$$\mu = \mu(\Gamma)\mu_\Gamma + (1 - \mu(\Gamma))\mu_{\Gamma^c},$$

we find that  $\mu$  is not extremal, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.19** *Assume that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are ergodic invariant measures with  $\mu \neq \nu$ . Then  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are singular.*

**Proof.** Let  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be such that  $\mu(\Gamma) \neq \nu(\Gamma)$ . From the Von Neumann theorem it follows that there exists  $T_n \uparrow +\infty$  and two Borel sets  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $\mu(M) = 1, \nu(N) = 1$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} (P_t \mathbf{1}_\Gamma)(x) dt = \mu(\Gamma), \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_n} \int_0^{T_n} (P_t \mathbf{1}_\Gamma)(x) dt = \nu(\Gamma), \quad \forall x \in N.$$

Since  $\mu(\Gamma) \neq \nu(\Gamma)$  this implies that  $M \cap N = \emptyset$ , and so  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are singular.  $\square$