

In the early eighteenth century the increasing dependence of society on financial credit provoked widespread anxiety. The texts of credit – stock certificates, 10us, bills of exchange – were denominated as potential "fictions," while the potential fictionality of other texts was measured in terms of the "credit" they deserved. Sandra Sherman argues that in this environment finance is *like* fiction, employing the same tropes. She goes on to show how the work of Daniel Defoe epitomized the market's capacity to unsettle discourse, demanding and evading "honesty" at the same time. Defoe's oeuvre, straddling both finance and literature, theorizes the disturbance of market discourse, elaborating strategies by which an author can remain in the market, perpetrating fiction while avoiding responsibility for doing so.



# FINANCE AND FICTIONALITY IN THE EARLY EIGHTEENTH CENTURY



# FINANCE AND FICTIONALITY IN THE EARLY EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Accounting for Defoe

SANDRA SHERMAN

Georgia State University





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521481540

© Cambridge University Press 1996

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1996
This digitally printed first paperback version 2005

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Sherman, Sandra.

Finance and fictionality in the early eighteenth century: accounting for Defoe/Sandra Sherman.

p. cm. Includes index.

ISBN 0 521 48154 6 (hardback)

Defoe, Daniel, 1661?-1731 - Knowledge - Economics.
 England - History - 18th century.
 Finance - England - History - 18th century.
 Economics in literature.
 Finance in literature.

6. Fiction – Technique. I. Title. PR3408.E25S54 1996 832'.5 – dc20 95–9217 CIP

ISBN-13 978-0-521-48154-0 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-48154-6 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-02142-5 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-02142-1 paperback



for Steven
You Never Know



[T]hese People can ruin Men silently, undermine and impoverish by a sort of impenetrable Artifice, like Poison that works at a distance... and the poor Passive Trades men, like the Peasant in Flanders, are Plundered by both sides, and hardly knows who hurts them.

Defoe, The Villainy of Stock-Jobbers Detected (1721)



### Contents

Acknowledgments  Introduction		page xi
2	Defoe and fictionality	55
3	Credit and honesty in The Compleat English Tradesman	91
4	Fictions of stability	129
5	Lady Credit's reprise: Roxana	156
Notes		179
Index		221



## Acknowledgments

Finance and Fictionality argues that during the early eighteenth century a credit-based market dissipated the author. "Nobody" could be identified with discursive production. It further suggests that authors were complicit in de-authorization, foregoing identity to stay in the market, producing fiction for which they could not be held to account. I therefore posit an irony: if the market made authorship possible by circulating texts, the texts produced could not lay claim to authorship.

This argument is speculative, and may be a fiction. I am tempted to retreat behind tropes that (so I claim) the market furnished to stockjobbers, MPs, and Robinson Crusoe. However, academic protocol requires an acknowledged provenance. Thus my first "acknowledgment" is that if *Finance and Fictionality* is fiction, I am the author and must be held to account.

But pace. Since I trained as a lawyer, and appreciate that Cambridge did not contract for fiction, I preemptively invoke Crusoe itself: is there such a thing as "fiction?" My text, like the market it describes, assumes epistemological uncertainty as its justification. It enacts the argument I describe below, that an infinite regress of fictionality is the sum total of the real. All fictions, including Finance and Fictionality (if it is fiction), are on a continuum towards truth.

I should like to deepen the potential irony of this text by suggesting that if the credit-based market dissolved authorship, then in my case only because people gave me credit did I become an author at all. I owe the most to David Norbrook, without whom I would not have fancied leaving the Law. David rescued me from positivism before I heard of New Historicism. He accommodated me to the uncertainties of imaginative projection. He is the subtext of this text. Finance and Fictionality reflects on the uncertainties we inhabited. If it is a novel, it is a roman à clef.

It seems gratuitous to say that my next debt is to John Richetti,



xii

#### Acknowledgments

since virtually every study of eighteenth-century literature acknowledges him in some fashion. But John helped me from the start. He directed my thesis and saw it through as this book. When I had no sense that credit was a credible pursuit, I called Santa Fe in panic from Oxford. John's encouragement was crucial: this might have been a book on Margaret Cavendish. Indeed, John's moral, intellectual, and strategic support has been over the top: this was almost no book at all.

I also want to thank Margreta DeGrazia, who knows the subtext of this text. When my ideas were implicit, she articulated them. Her readings were incarnating. Peter Stallybrass read my thesis with his wonted brilliance, and confronted me with a basic crux: is this homological or causal historiography? He provoked many sleepless nights, and made this a more complicated text.

My colleagues – Murray Brown, Peter Herman, Bart Palmer – were generous with encouragement and advice, and my friend Randa Graves assured me I was making sense. Her frankness, rigor and excitement inspired me.

Of course, since I argue that fiction in the market projects prior fiction, I should credit the source of that assertion – the site where I realized that fiction proliferates fiction to hide fictionality. I refer to the Washington bureaucracy. Before I ever knew I would write Finance and Fictionality, I engaged the phenomena it concerns. In the world's greatest fiction factory, nobody signs his name. It was thinking about that trope that finally led me here.

Since I always hoped to thank Daniel Defoe, I shall take this opportunity. When I started thinking about credit, I joked about going to bed each night with The Compleat English Tradesman. But Defoe turned out to be compelling, our engagement a love affair. I had never met an author whose audacity so amazed me. This book reflects that amazement. It is less about Defoe than the experience of reading him.

Portions of this text appeared in ELH, Criticism, Texas Studies in Language and Literature, Eighteenth-century Life, Mediations and Prose Studies, and I thank the editors for granting me permission to reprint. Material from Texas Studies appeared in "Lady Credit No Lady," 37:2 (1995), published by University of Texas Press.

Finally, if this text pursues the irony of the textual condition, the fiction that we ever escape fictionality, then as a text myself I am bound to its purport. For a while I seemed clear of *Finance and Fictionality*, but David Meyer proved it is the story of my life. Because I really have no choice, this book acknowledges him.