Preface

Embedded systems now include a very large proportion of the advanced products designed in the world, spanning transport (avionics, space, automotive, trains), electrical and electronic appliances (cameras, toys, televisions, home appliances, audio systems, and cellular phones), process control (energy production and distribution, factory automation and optimization), telecommunications (satellites, mobile phones and telecom networks), and security (e-commerce, smart cards), etc. The extensive and increasing use of embedded systems and their integration in everyday products marks a significant evolution in information science and technology. We expect that within a short timeframe embedded systems will be a part of nearly all equipment designed or manufactured in Europe, the USA, and Asia.

There is now a strategic shift in emphasis for embedded systems designers: from simply achieving feasibility, to achieving optimality. Optimal design of embedded systems means targeting a given market segment at the lowest cost and delivery time possible. Optimality implies seamless integration with the physical and electronic environment while respecting real-world constraints such as hard deadlines, reliability, availability, robustness, power consumption, and cost. In our view, optimality can only be achieved through the emergence of embedded systems as a discipline in its own right.

Embedded systems are of strategic importance in modern economies. They are used in mass-market products and services, where value is created by supplying either functionality or quality. Europe currently has a strong position in sectors where embedded technologies play a central role. It has a lead in civil avionics where fly-bywire technology provides an overwhelming competitive advantage in the cost of operating aircraft. Europe is also well positioned in the space sector, specifically for launch vehicles and satellites. In the automotive industry, European manufacturers and their suppliers enjoy a leading technological advantage for engine control, and emerging technologies such as brake-by-wire and drive-by-wire. Railway signalling in Europe relies on embedded systems, and allows faster, safer, and heavier traffic. Embedded applications will be extensively used to make energy distribution more flexible, especially in view of the coming market liberalization. Embedded technologies are strategic for the European telecommunications sector. Finally, Europe is also well positioned for e-services (e-banking, e-health, e-training), based on the leading edge in smart-card related technologies.

Embedded systems design raises challenging problems for research, including:

• Security Economic, citizenship, and societal activities in Europe rely increasingly on embedded applications. Widespread acceptance and reliance on these will depend on the availability of seamless solutions for securing rights and privacy.

• Reliable, mobile, embedded services Electronic commerce and e-services in a wireless world will need provably correct foundations to ensure further growth.

- Large-scale heterogeneous distributed systems
- Applications such as automated highways, advanced air traffic control, or nextgeneration factory automation require full-scale, industry-ready paradigms, methodologies, and advanced prototypes. These need to integrate heterogeneous elements from different, perhaps competing providers, in evolving embedded environments.
- Adaptive embedded systems

Tomorrow's resource-constrained applications, such as image processing, telecommunications, and industrial automation, are expected to see drastic advances in performance and dependability, with the ability to adapt to dynamic changes in resource needs, including power/energy, bandwidth, memory, and computing power.

• Component-based design, validation, and tool-based certification Development costs and time-to-market could be vastly reduced, by enabling the incremental design and formal validation of arbitrarily complex systems.

This roadmap was written by the IST-2001-34820 ARTIST FP5 Accompanying Measure on Advanced Real-Time Systems, funded by the European Commission, and which started April 1st, 2002 and ended March 31st 2005.

The ARTIST FP5 workplan includes, in addition to providing this roadmap, advancing the state of the art and structuring research on embedded systems in Europe. It gathered together 28 leading European research institutions, as well as many top researchers in the area.

The aim of ARTIST FP5 was to coordinate the R&D effort in the area, to improve awareness of academics and industry, especially about existing innovative results and technologies, standards, and regulations, and to define innovative and relevant work directions, identify obstacles to scientific and technological progress, and propose adequate strategies for circumventing them.

ARTIST FP5 was implemented as a set of four coordinated actions, each centred on a high-priority thematic area of research on embedded systems. Correspondingly, the roadmap is organised into four parts.

Action 1: Hard Real Time. This action was led by Professor Albert Benveniste of INRIA (France), and focused on aspects of hard real-time applications, bringing together competencies from synchronous languages, time-triggered systems, and schedulers.

Action 2: Component-Based Design and Development. This action was led by Professor Bengt Jonsson of Uppsala University (Sweden), and focused on both theoretical and practical aspects of modelling complex systems with emphasis on methods (compositionality, composability) and standards (e.g. UML).

Action 3: Adaptive Real-Time Systems for QoS Management. This action was led by Professor Giorgio Buttazzo of the University of Pavia (Italy), and focused on soft real-time approaches and technology for telecommunications, large open systems, and networks. It gathered together teams with expertise in real-time operating systems and middleware.

Action 4: Execution Platforms. This action was led by Professor Lothar Thiele of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETHZ), and focused on issues at the frontier between hardware and software – and their implications for embedded systems design.

To enhance readability, each of the four parts of the roadmap follows a similar structure, although there are domain-related specificities. Also, inevitably, some topics may be treated in more than one part of the document, but the index should help the reader find the different relevant texts for a given topic.

Oversight for ARTIST FP5 was provided by the Artist Industrial Advisory Board (IAB), which reviewed the roadmap. The ARTIST IAB is chaired by Dr. Dominique Potier, Scientific Director for Software Technologies, Thalès.

We would like to thank all the contributors to the roadmap, including the engineers and researchers who participated in the various technical meetings and workshops, as well as the industrial leaders who granted interviews and/or provided information in the questionnaire. Special thanks also go to the Artist FP5 reviewers and the project officer, for constructive and stimulating comments.

The elaboration of this roadmap provided the opportunity for fertile interaction between key players in the area of embedded systems, and proved to be useful for structuring the area.

The work and the strategic orientations and conclusions of ARTIST FP5 led to the creation of the ARTIST2 FP6 Network of Excellence on Embedded Systems Design. Information about ARTIST2 is available on the web-site: http://www.artist-embedded.org/FP6.

This roadmap usefully complements other existing roadmapping work from ITEA and MEDEA+. We hope that it will be useful for both research and industry and that it will serve to advance awareness about the state of the art and provide insights on possible avenues for R&D.

Grenoble, January 2005

Bruno Bouyssounouse ARTIST Technical Coordinator Verimag Laboratory, France

Joseph Sifakis ARTIST Scientific Coordinator Verimag Laboratory, France

Editors

Verimag Laboratory, France

Bruno Bouyssounouse ARTIST Technical Coordinator Joseph Sifakis ARTIST Scientific Coordinator

Verimag Laboratory, France

Contributors

Part I: Hard Real-Time Development Environments

Coordinator: Albert Benveniste INRIA, France

Jos Baeten Philippe Baufreton Albert Benveniste Samuel Boutin Bruno Bouyssounouse Dominique Brière Paul Caspi Werner Damm **Emmerich Fuchs** Vered Gafni Thierry Gautier Drora Goshen Guenter Gruensteidl Nicolas Halbwachs Hermann Kopetz Kim Larsen Hervé Le Berre **Rainer Leupers** Brian Nielsen Ernst-Rüdiger Olderog **Yiannis Papadopoulos** Philipp Peti Manfred Pisecky Peter Puschner Jörn Rennhack Alberto Sangiovanni-Vincentelli Christian Scheidler Arne Skou Yves Sorel Ulrich Virnich Birgit Vogel-Heuser Reinhard Wilhelm Tim Willemse

Eindhoven Technical University, The Netherlands Hispano-Suiza, France INRIA, France Renault. France Verimag Laboratory, France Airbus, France Verimag Laboratory, France OFFIS, Germany Vienna Technical University, Austria Israel Aircraft Industries, Israel INRIA, France Israel Aircraft Industries, Israel Alcatel, Austria Verimag Laboratory, France Vienna Technical University, Austria Aalborg University, Denmark Airbus, France RWTH Aachen, Germany Aalborg University, Denmark OFFIS, Germany University of York, UK Vienna Technical University, Austria **TTTech**, France Vienna Technical University, Austria Airbus, Germany PARADES, Italy DaimlerChrysler, Germany Aalborg University, Denmark INRIA, France Siemens, Germany University of Wuppertal, Germany Saarland University, Germany Eindhoven Technical University, The Netherlands

Part II: Component-Based Design and Integration Platforms

Coordinator: Bengt Jonsson University of Uppsala, Sweden

Ed Brinksma Geoff Coulson Ivica Crnkovic Andy Evans Sébastien Gérard Susanne Graf Holger Hermanns Jean-Marc Jézéquel Bengt Jonsson Noël Plouzeau Anders Ravn Philippe Schnoebelen Francois Terrier Angelika Votintseva University of Twente, The Netherlands Lancaster University, UK Mälardalen University, Sweden University of York, UK CEA, France Verimag Laboratory, France Saarland University, Germany INRIA, France University of Uppsala, Sweden INRIA, France Aalborg University, Denmark LSV Laboratory, France CEA, France OFFIS, Germany

Part III: Adaptive Real-Time Systems for Quality of Service Management

Coordinator: Giorgio Buttazzo University of Pavia, Italy

Luis Almeida Alejandro Alonso Guillem Bernat Alan Burns Giorgio Buttazzo Antonio Casimiro Carlos Delgado Kloos Johan Eker Joaquim Ferreira Gerhard Fohler José Alberto Fonseca Josep Fuertes Marisol Garcia Valls Michael Gonzalez Harbour Giuseppe Lipari Lucia Lo Bello **Evangelos Markatos** Pau Marti Ernesto Martins Miguel de Miguel Laurent Pautet Paulo Pedreiras Julian Proenza Juan Antonio de la Puente

University of Aveiro, Portugal Technical University of Madrid, Spain University of York, UK University of York, UK University of Pavia, Italy University of Lisbon, Portugal University Carlos III de Madrid, Spain Ericsson. Sweden Polytechnic Institute of Castelo Branco, Portugal Mälardalen University, Sweden University of Aveiro, Portugal Technical University of Catalonia, Spain University Carlos III de Madrid, Spain University of Cantabria, Spain Scuola Superiore S. Anna of Pisa, Italy University of Catania, Italy ICS Forth. Greece Technical University of Catalonia, Spain University of Aveiro, Portugal Technical University of Madrid, Spain Telecom Paris, France University of Aveiro, Portugal University of Balearic Islands, Spain Technical University of Madrid, Spain

Daniel Simon Liesbeth Steffens Paulo Verissimo Andy Wellings Sergio Yovine INRIA, France Philips Research, The Netherlands University of Lisbon, Portugal University of York, UK Verimag Laboratory, France

Part IV: Execution Platforms

Coordinator: Lothar Thiele ETHZ, Switzerland

Luca Benini Geert Deconinck Petru Eles Rolf Ernst Murali Jayapala Jan Madsen Zebo Peng Marco Platzner Paul Pop Lothar Thiele Tom Vander Aa Kashif Virk Fabian Wolf University of Bologna, Italy K.U.Leuven, Belgium Linköping University, Sweden Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany K.U.Leuven, Belgium Technical University of Denmark, Denmark Linköping University, Sweden ETHZ, Switzerland Linköping University, Sweden ETHZ, Switzerland K.U.Leuven, Belgium Technical University of Denmark Volkswagen AG, Germany