
Preface

The papers collected in this volume reproduce contributions by leading scholars to an international school and workshop which was organized and held with the goal of taking a snapshot of a discipline under tumultuous growth. Indeed, the area of protein folding, docking and alignment is developing in response to needs for a mix of heterogeneous expertise spanning biology, chemistry, mathematics, computer science, and statistics, among others.

Some of the problems encountered in this area are not only important for the scientific challenges they pose, but also for the opportunities they disclose in terms of medical and industrial exploitation. A typical example is offered by protein-drug interaction (docking), a problem posing daunting computational problems at the crossroads of geometry, physics and chemistry, and, at the same time, a problem with unimaginable implications for the pharmacopoeia of the future.

The school focused on problems posed by the study of the mechanisms behind protein folding, and explored different ways of attacking these problems under objective evaluations of the methods. Together with a relatively small core of consolidated knowledge and tools, important reflections were brought to this effort by studies in a multitude of directions and approaches. It is obviously impossible to predict which, if any, among these techniques will prove completely successful, but it is precisely the implicit dialectic among them that best conveys the current flavor of the field. Such unique diversity and richness inspired the format of the meeting, and also explains the slight departure of the present volume from the typical format in this series: the exposition of the current sediment is complemented here by a selection of qualified specialized contributions.

The topics covered in this volume pinpoint major issues arising in the development and analysis of models, algorithms and software tools centered on the structure of proteins, all of which play crucial roles in structural genomics and proteomics. The study of 3D conformations and relationships among proteins is motivated by the belief that the spatial structure, more than the primary sequence, dictates the function of a protein. The largest repository of

VI Preface

3D protein structures is the Protein Data Bank (PDB), currently containing about 17,000 proteins. The PDB has experienced a sustained growth and is expected to continue to grow at an increasing pace in the near future. The available structures are classified into a relatively small number of families and folds, according to their three-dimensional conformation. While the number of proteins will continue to grow, it is widely believed that the number of new folds will remain relatively stable. Structural comparisons involving these structures are at the core of docking and the classification of proteins and sub-aggregates, and motif searches in sequence and protein databases, and ultimately they contribute to understanding the mechanics of folding in living organisms.

The first three chapters of this volume contain material that was presented at the school. The chapter entitled “Protein Structure Comparison: Algorithms and Applications,” by G. Lancia and S. Istrail, focuses on the algorithmic aspects and applications of the problem of structure comparison. Structure similarity scoring schemes used in pairwise structure comparison are discussed with respect to the ability to capture the biological relevance of the chemical and physical constraints involved in molecular recognition. Particular attention is paid to the measures based on *contact map* similarity.

The chapter “Spatial Pattern Detection in Structural Bioinformatics,” by H.J. Wolfson, discusses the task of protein structural comparison as well as the prediction of protein-protein, protein-DNA or protein-drug interaction (docking). Different protein shape representations are used in biological pattern discovery. The paper discusses the shape representations best suited to each computational task, then outlines some rigid and flexible protein structural alignment algorithms, and discusses the issues of rigid bound versus unbound and flexible docking.

The chapter “Geometric Methods for Protein Structure Comparison,” by C. Ferrari and C. Guerra, discusses, from a theoretical point of view, geometric solutions to the problem of finding correspondences between sets of geometric features, such as points or segments. After reviewing existing methods for the estimation of rigid transformations under different metrics, the paper focuses on the use of the secondary structures of proteins for fast retrieval of similarity. It also deals with the integration of strategies using different levels of protein representations, from atomic to secondary structure level.

The chapter “Identifying Flat Regions and Slabs in Protein Structures,” by M.E. Bock and C. Guerra, presents geometric approaches to the extraction of planar surfaces, which is motivated by the problem of identifying packing regions in proteins.

The two contributions, “OPTIMA: a New Score Function for the Detection of Remote Homologs,” by M. Kann and R.A. Goldstein, and “A Comparison of Methods for Assessing the Structural Similarity of Proteins,” by D.C. Adams and G.J.P. Naylor, deal with the problem of protein comparison, focusing on different similarity functions for sequence and structure comparison.

The next three papers, “Prediction of Protein Secondary Structure at High Accuracy Using a Combination of Many Neural Networks,” by C. Lundegaard, T.N. Petersen, M. Nielsen, H. Bohr, J. Bohr, S. Brunak, G. Gippert and O. Lund, “Self-consistent Knowledge-Based Approach to Protein Design,” by A. Rossi, C. Micheletti, F. Seno, A. Maritan, and “Learning Effective Amino-Acid Interactions,” by F. Seno, C. Micheletti, A. Maritan and J.R. Banavar, discuss techniques and criteria for protein folding and design.

The paper “Protein structure from solid-state NMR,” by J.R. Quine and T.A. Cross, presents a mathematical analysis for solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). Finally, the contribution “Protein-like Properties of Simple Models,” by Y.-H. Sanejouand and G. Trinquier, focuses on properties relevant to the sequence-structure relationships.

The school was attended by 56 participants from 10 countries. Lectures were given by Prof. Ken Dill, University of California (USA), Prof. Arthur Lesk, University of Cambridge Clinical School (UK), Prof. Michael Levitt, Stanford University School of Medicine (USA), Prof. John Moult, University of Maryland (USA), and Prof. Haim Wolfson, Tel Aviv University (Israel). Invited talks at the workshop were given by Prof. Mary Ellen Bock, Purdue University (USA) and Dr. Andrea Califano, IBM Yorktown (USA).

Concettina Guerra
Sorin Istrail