

Preface

Computers and their interactions are becoming the characteristic features of our time: Many people believe that the industrial age is going over into the information age. In the same way as life of the beginning of this century was dominated by machines, factories, streets and railways, the starting century will be characterised by computers and their networks. This change naturally affects also the institutions and the installations our lives depend upon: power plants, including nuclear ones, chemical plants, mechanically working factories, cars, railways and medical equipment; they all depend on computers and their connections. In some cases it is not human life that may be endangered by computer failure, but large investments; e.g. if a whole plant interrupts its production for a long time. In addition to loss of life and property one must not neglect public opinion, which is very critical in many countries against major technical defects.

The related computer technology, its hardware, software and production process differ between standard applications and safety related ones: In the safety case it is normally not only the manufacturers and the customers that are involved, but a third party, usually an assessor, who is taking care of the public interest on behalf of a state authority. Usually safety engineers are in a better position than their colleagues from the conventional side, as they may spend more time and money on a particular task and use better equipment. On the other hand, in addition to the customer's wishes, they have to take into account the demands of assessors and regulators, who may reject their final product.

It has been the purpose of the SAFECOMP conference series to review the state of science and technology of safety related computing and provide a constructive exchange of ideas, opinions and visions among experts.

Since the SAFECOMP conferences have been running over nearly 20 years now, one may make some comparisons: This year's contributions are characterised by a large share of formal approaches and formal methods; it seems that deterministic views are coming to dominate over probabilistic ones. Another characteristic is that the hardware problems as such seem to be more or less solved; the contributions submitted in 1998 are dealing mainly with system aspects and with software aspects.

I hope that the reader of this book gets important information on how to make computer controlled systems safer at lower cost.

Fulda, Germany
August 1998

Wolfgang Ehrenberger

International Programme Committee

S. Anderson – UK	H. Bezecny - D	P. Bishop - UK
R. Bloomfield (EWICS Chair) - UK	S. Bologna - I	G. Cleland - UK
F. Dafelmair - D	G. Dahll - N	P. Daniel - UK
W. Ehrenberger (IPC Chair) - D	H. Frey - CH	R. Genser - A
J. Gorski - PL	G. Hockey - UK	D. Inverso - USA
P. Joannou - CDN	J. Järvi - FIN	K. Kanoun - F
F. Koornneef - NL	R. Lauber - D;	V. Maggioli - USA
Ch. Mazuet - F	M. van der Meulen - NL	A. Pasquini - I
G. Rabe - D	J. Rainer - A	F. Redmill - UK
B. Runge - DK	F. Saglietti - D	E. Schoitsch - A
I. Smith - UK	J. Zalewski - USA	

Local Organising Committee

C. Harms	R. Lauber	H. Rampacher	W. Ehrenberger
----------	-----------	--------------	----------------