

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law

Third Edition

This is the third edition of William A. Schabas's highly praised study of the abolition of the death penalty in international law. Extensively revised to take account of developments in the field since publication of the second edition in 1997, the book details the progress of the international community away from the use of capital punishment, discussing in detail the abolition of the death penalty within the United Nations human rights system, international humanitarian law, European human rights law and Inter-American human rights law. New chapters in the third edition address capital punishment in African human rights law and in international criminal law. An extensive list of appendices contains many of the essential documents for the study of capital punishment in international law.

The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law is introduced with a foreword by Judge Gilbert Guillaume, President of the International Court of Justice.

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law

Third edition

William A. Schabas

Irish Centre for Human Rights

National University of Ireland, Galway



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain

Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

First edition 1993.

Second edition 1997.

Third edition 2002.

© Cambridge University Press 1993, 1997, 2002.

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Adobe Garamond 10.5/12.5pt System L^AT_EX 2_E [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Schabas, William, 1950-

The abolition of the death penalty in international law /

William A. Schabas. – 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 81491 X (hc.) ISBN 0 521 89344 5 (pb)

1. Capital punishment. 2. International law. I. Title.

K5104.S33 1997

341.4'81 – dc20 96–29108 CIP

ISBN 0 521 81491 X hardback

ISBN 0 521 89344 5 paperback

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Dedication

Socrates

Spartacus and Jesus Christ

Joan of Arc

Danton and Robespierre

John Brown

Louis Riel

Roger Casement

Sacco and Vanzetti

Julius and Ethel Rosenberg

Ken Saro-Wiwa

What is remarkable about such a list is how it permits history to be measured by executions: the apex of Greek philosophy, the decline of Rome and the birth of Christianity, the beginnings of the Renaissance, the French Revolution, the American Civil War, the cold war. It is a gruesome yardstick indeed of human ‘progress’ but, like every yardstick, it must have an end. The constant attention of international human rights law to the abolition of capital punishment has brought that end into sight.

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Ni dans le coeur des individus ni dans les moeurs des sociétés, il n'y aura de paix durable tant que la mort ne sera pas mise hors la loi.

Albert Camus, 'Réflexions sur la guillotine'

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Contents

| | <i>page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Foreword</i> | ix |
| <i>Preface to the third edition</i> | xiii |
| <i>Table of cases</i> | xiv |
| <i>Table of international instruments</i> | xxxi |
| <i>List of abbreviations</i> | xliii |
| Introduction | 1 |
| 1 The <i>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</i> and recognition of the right to life | 23 |
| 2 The <i>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</i> : drafting, ratification and reservation | 45 |
| 3 Interpretation of the <i>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights</i> | 93 |
| 4 Towards abolition: the <i>Second Optional Protocol</i> and other developments | 155 |
| 5 International humanitarian law | 211 |
| 6 International criminal law | 235 |
| 7 European human rights law | 259 |
| 8 Inter-American human rights law | 311 |
| 9 African human rights law | 355 |

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)viii *Contents*

| | |
|---|-----|
| Conclusion | 363 |
| Appendices | 379 |
| 1 Universal Declaration of Human Rights | 379 |
| 2 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 380 |
| 3 Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | 389 |
| 4 Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights Aiming at the Abolition of the Death Penalty | 397 |
| 5 General Comment 6(16) (excerpts) | 402 |
| 6 Convention Against Torture and Other Forms of Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment | 403 |
| 7 Convention on the Rights of the Child | 406 |
| 8 Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty | 413 |
| 9 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War | 414 |
| 10 Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilians | 416 |
| 11 Protocol Additional I to the 1949 Geneva Conventions and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts | 420 |
| 12 Protocol Additional II to the 1949 Geneva Conventions and Relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts | 421 |
| 13 Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court | 422 |
| 14 Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (European Convention on Human Rights) | 423 |
| 15 Protocol No. 6 to the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms Concerning the Abolition of the Death Penalty | 424 |
| 16 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union | 430 |
| 17 European Union Minimum Standards Paper | 431 |
| 18 Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe Commitments | 433 |
| 19 American Declaration on the Rights and Duties of Man | 435 |
| 20 American Convention on Human Rights | 436 |
| 21 Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights to Abolish the Death Penalty | 438 |
| 22 African Charter of Human and Peoples' Rights | 440 |
| 23 African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child | 441 |
| 24 Arab Charter on Human Rights | 442 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 443 |
| <i>Index</i> | 454 |

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Foreword

William Schabas's work on the abolition of the death penalty could not be more timely, offering as it does a broad overview of the legal progress in the field over the last fifty years. In 1948, the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* proclaimed the right to life. In 1966, the United Nations *Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* established that no one shall be arbitrarily deprived of life. It went on to add that in countries where the death penalty has not been abolished, a death sentence can only be pronounced for the most serious crimes in accordance with the law in force at the time of the commission of the crime. In 1989, an additional protocol to the *Covenant*, abolishing the death penalty in peacetime, was adopted. Thus we have moved from the proclamation of a principle to its regulation, and from regulation of that principle to abolition.

European law underwent an evolution parallel to that of the United Nations. The *European Convention on Human Rights* specified, in 1950, that

Everyone's right to life shall be protected by law. No one shall be deprived of his life intentionally save in the execution of a sentence of a court following his conviction of a crime for which this penalty is provided by law.

The *Sixth Protocol* to the *Convention* abolished, in 1982, the death penalty, except in time of war or imminent danger of war.

In the Western hemisphere, a comparable progress took place. In 1948, the *American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man* proclaimed the right to life in terms similar to those of the *Universal Declaration*. In 1969, the *American Convention on Human Rights* regulated the death penalty in terms which were inspired largely by the UN *Covenant*. Even though no abolitionist protocol is yet in force within this system,* the *Convention* nevertheless specifies that the death penalty cannot be reestablished in States where it has been suppressed.

* Since these words were written, the *Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights to Abolish the Death Penalty*, OASTS 73, 29 ILM 1447, has entered into force.

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)x *Foreword*

This final provision is particularly significant, illustrating as it does the close relationship between domestic and international law. The latter has developed because of the clear progress of the abolitionist movement, particularly in Europe. The parallel adoption and signature of international instruments on this subject has been inspired by the hope that they will lead to the abandonment of the death penalty by new States, and prevent retrogression in abolitionist States.

The debate is in fact far from resolved. Invoking Beccaria, Victor Hugo and Camus, partisans of abolition claim it to be cruel, no matter what the method of execution. They also doubt its utility, noting that criminality is no higher in abolitionist States than in retentionist States. They stress its irrevocable nature, especially in cases of judicial error, and add that the personality of the individual who is to be executed may be quite different from that of the offender at the time of the crime. Finally, they wonder how society can execute, in cold blood, a man whom it blames for having killed another.

But public opinion may remain somewhat unconvinced. Many people still accept the principle of 'an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth', particularly when atrocious crimes are involved. Some claim that the exemplary nature of the death penalty may play a preventive role, at least in certain cases. Others question the alternatives available in the case of dangerous repeat offenders. They fear that the victim's right to life may take second place to the right to life of the criminal.

This raises the question of whether, beyond the conventional instruments now in force, there also exist customary norms of international law concerning the death penalty.

William Schabas addresses all of these problems in this thought-provoking work. He successively analyses the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* and its *Second Protocol*, international humanitarian law, the *European Convention on Human Rights* and its *Sixth Protocol*, and the Inter-American instruments. In every case, he provides a detailed study of the texts and of their *travaux préparatoires*.

He then discusses the possible emergence of customary norms, noting that common article 3 to the four *Geneva Conventions of 1949* sets out rules that, in the view of the International Court of Justice, correspond to 'elementary considerations of humanity' (*Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States)*, [1986] ICJ Reports, paras. 218 and 255). He reasons that comparable rules must also apply in peacetime. From such a perspective, the death penalty cannot be pronounced in the absence of a judgment by an impartial tribunal after a trial which respects the rights of the accused. Such sentence can only be imposed for the most serious crimes, and cannot be carried out on pregnant women, on children under fifteen years of age, and on the insane.

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Foreword

xi

These are particularly constructive conclusions. For though the death penalty has been abolished in many countries, it remains in force in several parts of the world, notably the United States, Africa and countries which follow Islamic law. It follows that the identification of minimum customary guarantees is consequently an extremely fruitful line of research.

I have taken both great personal pleasure and benefit in reading Mr Schabas's work. I hope that other readers share this same pleasure and derive like benefit.

Gilbert Guillaume, Judge
International Court of Justice
The Hague, January 1993

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Preface to the third edition

Since the first edition appeared in 1993, the debate about capital punishment in international law has been utterly transformed. The astonishing speed of events has only confirmed the original thesis of the book, that there is an inexorable trend in international law towards the abolition of capital punishment. Indeed, in the first edition I noted that according to the lists prepared by Amnesty International, slightly less than half the countries in the world had abolished the death penalty, and that 'if the trend continues uninterrupted, sometime prior to the year 2000 a majority of the world's states will have abolished the death penalty'. That point was reached in the summer of 1995, shortly before I prepared the second edition. The trend has continued uninterrupted into the new millennium. Now a large majority of states have abolished capital punishment, and it is banned by the new international criminal courts. Those that still retain it now fight a rearguard action in the international arena, sensing that they are becoming the new pariahs of international human rights law.

My research assistants at the Université du Québec à Montréal (1991–2000) and, subsequently, at the National University of Ireland, Galway, have made important contributions to this study: Yanick Charbonneau, Dan Connolly, Julie Desrosiers, Geneviève Dufour, Laetitia Husson, David Koller, Carmel Morgan, Alexandre Morin, Audrey Murray, Angeline Northup and Nancie Prud'homme. My colleagues at the Irish Centre for Human Rights also gave me important encouragement, and I am delighted to have the opportunity to thank them publicly. The support of my wife, Penelope Soteriou, and my daughters, Marguerite and Louisa Schabas, is and always will be, as they well know, most dearly appreciated.

William A. Schabas
Oughterard, October 2001

Table of cases

International Court of Justice

- Anglo Norwegian Fisheries Case (United Kingdom v. Norway)*, [1951] ICJ Reports 116 – 278, 320, 375
- Anglo-Iranian Oil, Competence (United Kingdom v. Iran)*, [1952] ICJ Reports 89 – 99
- Asylum Case (Colombia v. Peru)*, [1950] ICJ Reports 266 – 278, 331
- Interhandel case (Switzerland v. United States)*, [1959] ICJ Reports 6 – 90
- LaGrand (Germany v. United States of America)*, 27 June 2001 – 18, 340
- LaGrand (Germany v. United States of America)*, Provisional Measures, Order of 3 March 1999, [1999] ICJ Reports 1 – 17
- Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons*, Advisory Opinion, [1996] ICJ Reports 226 – 23, 134, 228
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States)*, [1986] ICJ Reports 14 – 213, 370
- North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany v. Denmark and v. Netherlands)*, [1969] ICJ Reports 3 – 375
- Norwegian Loans Case (France v. Norway)*, [1957] ICJ Reports 9 – 90
- Nuclear Tests Case (Australia v. France)*, [1974] ICJ Reports 253 – 375
- Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention of Genocide* (Advisory Opinion), [1951] ICJ Reports 16 – 132
- Rights of U.S. Nationals in Morocco Case (United States v. France)*, [1952] ICJ Reports 176 – 278
- South West Africa Cases, Second Phase (Ethiopia v. South Africa, Liberia v. South Africa)*, [1966] ICJ Reports 6 – 40
- United States Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (United States of America v. Iran)*, [1980] ICJ Reports 3 – 40
- Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (Paraguay v. United States of America)*, Provisional Measures, Order of 9 April 1998, [1998] ICJ Reports 258 – 17

Table of cases

xv

Human Rights Committee

- A.A. v. Jamaica* (No. 251/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 141 – 130
- A.H. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 302/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 274 – 120
- A.R.J. v. Australia* (No. 692/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/692/1996 – 139
- A.S. v. Jamaica* (No. 231/1987), UN Doc. A/44/40, p. 274 – 130
- A.W. v. Jamaica* (No. 290/1988), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 172 – 120
- Adams v. Jamaica* (No. 607/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/58/D/607/1994 – 117, 124, 147
- Ajaz and Jamil v. Korea* (No. 644/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/644/1995 – 117
- Allen v. Jamaica* (No. 332/1988), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 31 – 116
- Alrick Thomas v. Jamaica* (No. 272/1988), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 261 – 114, 121, 122
- Amore v. Jamaica* (No. 634/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/634/1995 – 120, 147
- Ashby v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 580/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/54/D/580/1994 – 115
- Bailey v. Jamaica* (No. 334/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 72 – 118, 148
- Bailey v. Jamaica* (No. 709/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/709/1996 – 115, 149
- Barrett and Sutcliffe v. Jamaica* (Nos 270/1988 and 271/1988), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 254 – 130, 142, 146, 275
- Barrington Campbell v. Jamaica* (No. 618/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/618/1995 – 118, 124, 147, 148
- Barry v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 471/1991), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 283 – 117
- Bennett v. Jamaica* (No. 590/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/590/1994 – 120, 124, 128, 129, 147, 148
- Berry v. Jamaica* (No. 330/1988), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 20 – 124, 141, 148
- Bethel v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 830/1998), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/830/1998 – 88
- Bickaroo v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 555/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/555/1993 – 147
- Bradshaw v. Barbados*, (No. 489/1992), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 305 – 115, 127, 128
- Brown and Parish v. Jamaica* (No. 665/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/665/1995 – 120, 128
- Brown v. Jamaica* (No. 775/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/775/1997 – 101, 118, 120, 123, 124, 127, 128, 147, 149

xvi *Table of cases*

- Burrell v. Jamaica* (No. 546/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/546/1993 – 119, 124, 149
- C.B. v. Jamaica* (No. 260/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 153 – 130
- C.G. v. Jamaica* (No. 281/1988), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 169 – 130
- Campbell v. Jamaica* (No. 248/1987), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 240 – 117, 122, 148
- Campbell v. Jamaica* (No. 307/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 41 – 123, 124
- Chadée et al. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 813/1998), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/813/1998 – 112, 117, 149
- Champagnie, Palmer and Chisholm v. Jamaica* (No. 445/1991), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 136 – 130-131
- Chung v. Jamaica* (No. 591/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/591/1994 – 120, 147, 148
- Clive Johnson v. Jamaica* (No. 592/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/592/1994 – 119, 120, 123, 137
- Colin Johnson v. Jamaica* (No. 653/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/653/1995 – 118, 120, 147, 148, 150
- Collins v. Jamaica* (No. 240/1987), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 227 – 120-121, 148
- Collins v. Jamaica* (No. 356/1989), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 85 – 120, 124, 130
- Compass v. Jamaica* (No. 375/1989), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 68 – 126
- Cox v. Canada* (No. 539/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/52/D/539/1993, (1995) 15 *HRLJ* 410 – 19, 84, 103, 104, 110, 130, 139, 143, 153, 183, 279, 375
- Currie v. Jamaica* (No. 377/1989), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 73 – 123, 130
- D.B. v. Jamaica* (No. 259/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 149 – 130
- D.S. v. Jamaica* (No. 234/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 267 – 120
- D.S. v. Jamaica* (No. 304/1988), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 281 – 120
- Daley v. Jamaica* (No. 750/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/750/1997 – 118, 124, 127, 128, 147, 148, 149
- de Guerrero v. Columbia* (No. 45/1979), UN Doc. CCPR/C/OP/1, p. 112 – 8, 98
- Deidrick v. Jamaica* (No. 619/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/619/1995 – 147, 148
- Desmond Taylor v. Jamaica* (No. 705/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/705/1996 – 118, 123, 124, 127, 128, 147, 148, 149
- Domukovsky, Tsiklauri, Gelbakhiani and Dokvadze v. Georgia* (Nos. 623 – 624 and 626-627/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/623, 624, 626 and 627/1995 – 113, 117, 123, 129, 130
- E.B. v. Jamaica* (No. 303/1988), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 278 – 126, 128

Table of cases

xvii

- Edwards v. Jamaica* (No. 529/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/529/1993 – 124, 147, 148
- Errol Johnson v. Jamaica* (No. 588/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/56/D/588/1994 – 144–147, 275, 372
- Everton Morrison v. Jamaica* (No. 635/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/635/1995 – 117, 118, 124, 127, 128, 148
- Finn v. Jamaica* (No. 617/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/617/1995 – 120, 124, 127, 128, 147, 148
- Forbes v. Jamaica* (No. 649/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/649/1995 – 124, 147, 148
- Francis v. Jamaica* (No. 320/1988), UN Doc. CCPR/C/47/D/320/1988 – 130, 141, 143–144, 148
- Francis v. Jamaica* (No. 606/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/54/D/606/1994 – 151
- Fraser and Fisher v. Jamaica* (No. 722/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/722/1996 – 120, 124
- G.H. v. Jamaica* (No. 370/1989), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 181 – 116
- G.J. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 331/1988), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 345 – 120
- G.S. v. Jamaica* (No. 329/1988), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 189 – 120
- Gallimore v. Jamaica* (No. 680/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/680/1996 – 115, 118, 120, 124, 147, 149
- Gonzales v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 673/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/673/1995 – 117, 147
- Grant v. Jamaica* (No. 353/1988), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 50 – 123, 125
- Griffiths v. Jamaica* (No. 274/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 22 – 130
- Hamilton v. Jamaica* (No. 333/1988), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 37 – 130, 131
- Hamilton v. Jamaica* (No. 616/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/616/1995 – 128, 149
- Henry and Douglas v. Jamaica* (No. 571/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/571/1994 – 117, 119, 124, 128, 129, 147, 148
- Henry v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 752/1997), CCPR/C/64/D/752/1997 – 123, 149
- Hixford Morrisson v. Jamaica* (No. 611/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/611/1995 – 121, 124, 147
- Hylton v. Jamaica* (No. 407/1990), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 79 – 118, 148
- Hylton v. Jamaica* (No. 600/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/600/1994 – 146, 147
- Jones v. Jamaica* (No. 585/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/585/1994 – 117, 124, 147, 148
- Kandu-Bo et al. v. Sierra Leone* (Nos. 839, 840 & 841/1998), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/839, 840 and 841/1998 – 115

xviii *Table of cases*

- Kelly v. Jamaica* (No. 253/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 241 – 117, 120, 122, 123, 126, 128, 148
- Kelly v. Jamaica* (No. 537/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/537/1993 – 123, 124
- Kennedy v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 845/1999), UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/845/ 1999 – 88-90
- Kindler v. Canada* (No. 470/1991), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 138, UN Doc. CCPR/C/45/D/470/1991, 14 *HRLJ* 307, 6 *RUDH* 165 – 19, 84, 97, 98, 103, 115, 130, 139, 143, 153, 338
- L.R. and T.W. v. Jamaica* (No. 258/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 145 – 130
- Lambert v. Jamaica* (No. 517/1992), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 333 – 117
- LaVende v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 554/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/554/ 1993 – 118, 123, 147
- Leehong v. Jamaica* (No. 613/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/66/D/613/1995 – 127, 147, 149
- Leslie v. Jamaica* (No. 564/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/564/1993 – 120, 121, 124, 127, 148
- Levy v. Jamaica* (No. 719/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/719/1996 – 115, 118, 123, 147, 148
- Linton v. Jamaica* (No. 255/1987), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 12 – 151
- Little v. Jamaica* (No. 283/1988), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 276 – 117, 122, 123, 130, 371
- Lubuto v. Zambia* (No. 390/1990), UN Doc. CCPR/C/55/D/390/1990/ Rev.1 – 110, 111, 125, 126
- Lumley v. Jamaica* (No. 662/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/662/1995 – 123, 130
- M.F. v. Jamaica* (No. 233/1987), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 338 – 130, 131
- Mansaraj et al. v. Sierra Leone* (Nos. 839/1998, 840/1998 & 841/1998), UN Doc. CCPR/C/72/D/840/1998 – 116, 130
- Marshall v. Jamaica* (No. 730/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/730/1996 – 115, 118, 120, 122, 123, 124, 147, 148
- Martin v. Jamaica* (No. 317/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 57 – 130, 142, 151
- Mbenge v. Zaire* (No. 16/1977), UN Doc. CCPR/C/OP/2, p. 76 – 129, 340
- McCordie Morrison v. Jamaica* (No. 663/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/663/ 1995 – 117, 120, 122, 124, 129, 147, 148
- McIntosh v. Jamaica* (No. 640/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/640/ 1995 – 117, 147
- McLawrence v. Jamaica* (No. 702/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/702/ 1996 – 118, 126, 127, 128, 147

Table of cases

xix

- McLeod v. Jamaica* (No. 734/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/734/1997 – 118, 124, 148
- McTaggart v. Jamaica* (No. 749/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/749/1997 – 118, 120, 124, 127, 148
- Morgan and Williams v. Jamaica* (No. 720/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/720/1996 – 115, 147, 148
- Mukong v. Cameroon* (No. 458/1991), UN Doc. CCPR/C/51/D/458/1991 – 149, 349
- N.A.J. v. Jamaica* (No. 246/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 137 – 130
- N.C. v. Jamaica* (No. 278/1988), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 166 – 130
- N.P. v. Jamaica* (No. 404/1990), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 187 – 120
- Neptune v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 523/1992), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/523/1992 – 129, 149
- Neville Lewis v. Jamaica* (No. 708/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/708/1996 – 118, 120, 127, 128
- Ng v. Canada* (No. 469/1991), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 189, 15 *HRLJ* 149 – 19, 84, 116, 151–153, 279, 375
- Nicholas Henry v. Jamaica* (No. 610/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/610/1995 – 118, 120, 122, 124, 129, 130, 147, 148
- Patrick Taylor v. Jamaica* (No. 707/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/707/1996 – 118, 123, 124, 126, 127, 147, 148
- Pennant v. Jamaica* (No. 647/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/64/D/647/1995 – 117, 119, 120, 147, 148, 151
- Perkins v. Jamaica* (No. 733/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/733/1997 – 120, 121, 122, 127, 137, 148
- Piandong et al. v. Philippines* (No. 869/1999), UN Doc. CCPR/C/70/D/869/1999 – 102, 103, 110, 115, 116, 117, 123
- Pinto v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 232/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 69 – 101, 339, 372
- Pinto v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 512/1992), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/512/1992 – 117, 118, 120, 149
- Potter v. New Zealand* (No. 632/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/632/1995 – 149
- Pratt and Morgan v. Jamaica* (Nos. 210/1986, 225/1987), UN Doc. A/44/40, p. 222, 11 *HRLJ* 150 – 19, 117, 118, 123, 141–142, 151, 341, 342, 343
- Price v. Jamaica* (No. 572/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/58/D/572/1994 – 124
- R.M. v. Jamaica* (No. 315/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 290 – 116, 117, 126, 129
- R.M. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 384/1989), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 246 – 117

xx *Table of cases*

- R.M. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 476/1991), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 291 – 117
- Raphael Henry v. Jamaica* (No. 230/1987), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 218 – 117, 124, 130
- Raphael Thomas v. Jamaica* (No. 321/1988), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 1 – 148
- Reid v. Jamaica* (No. 250/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 85, 11 *HRLJ* 319 – 101, 113, 120, 122, 123, 125, 130, 142, 339, 340, 372
- Reid v. Jamaica* (No. 355/1989), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II – 123
- Reynolds v. Jamaica* (No. 229/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40, p. 235 – 120
- Reynolds v. Jamaica* (No. 587/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/587/1994 – 147, 148
- Richards v. Jamaica* (No. 535/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/535/1993/Rev.1 – 114, 118
- Roberts v. Barbados* (No. 504/1992), UN Doc. A/49/40, Vol. II, p. 322 – 115
- Robinson v. Jamaica* (No. 223/1987), UN Doc. A/44/40, p. 241 – 122
- Rogers v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 494/1992), UN Doc. CCPR/C/53/D/494/1992 – 115
- Samuel Thomas v. Jamaica* (No. 532/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/532/1993 – 119, 123, 127, 128, 129, 147
- Samuel Thomas v. Jamaica* (No. 614/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/614/1995 – 120, 147
- Sawyers and McLean v. Jamaica* (Nos 226/1987 and 256/1987), UN Doc. A/46/40 – 120, 122
- Shalto v. Trinidad* (No. 447/1991), UN Doc. CCPR/C/53/D/447/1991 – 143
- Shaw v. Jamaica* (No. 704/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/704/1996 – 118, 123, 127, 148
- Simmonds v. Jamaica* (No. 338/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 78 – 118, 124
- Simms v. Jamaica* (No. 540/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/53/D/541/1993 – 115, 143
- Smart v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 672/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/672/1995 – 117, 118, 124, 127, 147
- Smith and Stewart v. Jamaica* (No. 668/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/65/D/668/1995 – 124, 128
- Smith v. Jamaica* (No. 282/1988), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 28 – 116, 122, 130
- Spence v. Jamaica* (No. 559/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/599/1994 – 146, 147, 148
- Steadman v. Jamaica* (No. 528/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/59/D/528/1993 – 113, 117, 124, 126, 127
- Stephens v. Jamaica* (No. 373/1989), UN Doc. CCPR/C/55/D/373/1989 – 144

Table of cases

xxi

Sterling v. Jamaica (No. 598/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/598/1994 – 147, 148*T. v. Australia* (No. 706/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/706/1996 – 140, 187*T.K. v. France* (No. 220/1987), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 118 – 291*Thompson v. St. Vincent and the Grenadines* (No. 806/1998), UN Doc. CCPR/C/70/D/806/1998 – 100*Tomlin v. Jamaica* (No. 589/1994), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/589/1994 – 120*Uton Lewis v. Jamaica* (No. 527/1993), UN Doc. CCPR/C/57/D/527/1993 – 117, 124, 146, 147, 148*V.B. v. Trinidad and Tobago* (No. 485/1991), UN Doc. A/48/40, Vol. II, p. 222 – 116*Van Alphen v. the Netherlands* (No. 305/1988), UN Doc. A/45/40, Vol. II, p. 108 – 98*Walker and Richards v. Jamaica* (No. 639/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/60/D/639/1995 – 117, 127, 128, 129, 148*Whyte v. Jamaica* (No. 732/1997), UN Doc. CCPR/C/63/D/732/1997 – 117, 124, 127, 128, 147, 148, 149*Williams v. Jamaica* (No. 609/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/609/1995 – 133, 147*Wright and Harvey v. Jamaica* (No. 459/1991), UN Doc. CCPR/C/55/D/459/1991 – 123*Wright v. Jamaica* (No. 349/1989), UN Doc. A/47/40, p. 300, 13 *HRLJ* 348 – 116, 119, 340, 344*Yasseen and Thomas v. Guyana* (No. 676/1996), UN Doc. CCPR/C/62/D/676/1996 – 117, 123, 124, 126, 127, 148, 149*Young v. Jamaica* (No. 615/1995), UN Doc. CCPR/C/61/D/615/1995/Rev.1 – 131, 148

Committee Against Torture

I. A. O. v. Sweden (No. 65/1997), UN Doc. CAT/C/20/D/65/1997 – 192*M.R.P. v. Switzerland* (No. 122/1998), UN Doc. CAT/C/25/D/122/1998 – 192*P.Q.L. v. Canada* (No. 57/1996), UN Doc. CAT/C/19/D/57/1996 – 192

Committee on Freedom of Association of the International Labour Organization

Case Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, Official Bulletin, Vol. LXIV, Series B, No. 3 – 261

European Court of Human Rights

Belilos v. Switzerland, 29 April 1988, Series A, Vol. 132, 10 EHRR 466, 88 ILR 635 – 291

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)xxii *Table of cases**Cruz Varas et al. v. Sweden*, 20 March 1991, Series A, No. 201, 14 EHRR 1 – 115*De Wilde, Ooms and Versyp v. Belgium*, 18 June 1971, Series A, Vol. 12, 1 EHRR 373, 56 ILR 351, 11 ILM 690n – 267*Dehwari v. Netherlands* (App. No. 34014/97), Judgment, 27 April 2000 – 277*Lawless v. United Kingdom*, 1 July 1961, Series A, Vol. 2, 1 EHRR 15 – 290*Loizidou v. Turkey* (Preliminary Objections), 23 March 1995, Series A, Vol. 310, 16 HRLJ 15 – 85, 89*McCann et al. v. United Kingdom*, 27 September 1995, Series A, Vol. 324, 16 HRLJ 260 – 264*Öcalan v. Turkey* (No. 46221/99), Admissibility, 14 December 2000 – 279, 376*Öcalan v. Turkey* (No. 46221/99), Interim Measures, 30 November 1999 – 278*Ringiesen v. Austria*, 16 July 1971, Series A, Vol. 84, 1 EHRR 455, 56 ILR 442 – 267*Selmouni v. France* (App. No. 25803/94), Judgment, 28 July 1999 – 278*Soering v. United Kingdom and Germany*, 7 July 1989, Series A, Vol. 161, 11 EHRR 439 – 19, 38, 80, 140, 141, 142, 196, 260, 266, 271-278, 284, 293, 350, 372*Sunday Times v. United Kingdom*, 26 April 1979, Series A, Vol. 30, 2 EHRR 245, 58 ILR 492, 18 ILM 931 – 267*Tyler v. United Kingdom*, 25 April 1978, Series A, Vol. 26, 2 EHRR 1, 59 ILR 339 – 284*Wemhoff v. Federal Republic of Germany*, 27 June 1968, Series A, No. 7, 1 EHRR 55, 41 ILR 281 – 127*Winterwerp v. Netherlands*, 24 October 1979, Series A, Vol. 33, (1980) 2 EHRR 387, 59 ILR 653 – 99*X. v. United Kingdom*, 5 November 1981, Series A, Vol. 46, 4 EHRR 188, 67 ILR 466 – 99, 267*Yonghong v. Portugal* (No. 50887/99), Decision, 15 November 1999 – 292

European Commission of Human Rights

Altun v. Federal Republic of Germany (App. No. 10308/82), (1983) 36 DR 209, 5 EHRR 651 – 271*Amekrane v. United Kingdom* (App. No. 5961/72), 44 Coll. 101 – 269*Austria v. Italy* (App. No. 788/60), (1961) 4 YECH 116 – 93*Aylor-Davis v. France* (App. No. 22742/93), (1994) 76B DR 164 – 276*Byttebier v. Belgium* (App. No. 14505/89), (1991) 68 DR 200 – 268*Cheong Meng v. Portugal* (App. No. 25862/94), (1995) 83-A DR 88 – 277*Cinar v. Turkey* (App. No. 17864/91), (1994) 79A DR 5 – 276*Dehwari v. Netherlands* (App. No. 34014/97), 27 April 2000 – 277

Table of cases

xxiii

- H. v. Sweden* (App. No. 22408/93), (1994) 79A DR 85 – 277
Kerkoub v. Belgium (App. No. 5012/71), 40 *Coll.* 62 – 271
Kirkwood v. United Kingdom (App. No. 10308/83), (1985) 37 DR158 – 268, 269, 270
Lei Ch'an Wa v. Portugal (App. no. 25410/94), unreported decision of 27 November 1995 – 277
N.E. v. United Kingdom (App. No. 12553/86), 7 July 1987 – 269
Nivette v. France (App. No. 44190/98), Interim Measures, November 1999 – 276
Soering v. United Kingdom (App. No. 14038/88), Series A, No. 161 – 270-271, 273
Stewart v. United Kingdom (App. No. 10044/82), (1985) 7 EHRR 453 – 7, 266
X. v. Netherlands (App. No. 15216/89), Admissibility decision, 16 January 1991 – 293
Y. v. Netherlands (App. No. 16531/90), (1991) 68 DR 299 – 293
Yenng Yuk Leung v. Portugal (App. No. 24464/94), unreported decision of 27 November 1995 – 277
Z.Y. v. Germany (App. No. 16846/90), Admissibility decision, 13 July 1990 – 277

Inter-American Commission of Human Rights

- Andrews v. United States* (Case No. 11.139), Precautionary Measures Decision of 28 July 1992 – 315, 316, 318
Andrews v. United States (Case No. 11.139), Report No. 57/96, 6 December 1996 – 141, 315, 322, 323
Baptiste v. Grenada (Case No. 11.743), Report No. 38/00, 13 April 2000 – 315, 338, 339, 340, 343, 348, 349
Baptiste v. Trinidad and Tobago (Case No. 11.840), Report No. 91/98, 3 November 1998 – 335
Blaine v. Jamaica (Case No. 11.827), Report No. 96/98, Admissibility, 17 December 1998 – 342
Celestine v. United States (Case No. 10.031), Resolution No. 23/89, reported in OAS Doc. A/Ser.L./V/II.76, doc. 44, OAS Doc. A/Ser.L/V/II.77 rev. 1, doc. 7, p. 62 – 321, 323
Edwards et al. v. Bahamas (Cases nos. 12.067, 12.068, 12.086), Report No. 48/01, 4 April 2001 – 316, 318, 323-324, 349
Faulder v. United States, Precautionary Measures Decision of 9 June 1999 – 315
Garcia v. Trinidad and Tobago (Case No. 11.855), Report No. 45/98, Admissibility, 25 September 1998 – 335

xxiv *Table of cases*

- Garza v. United States* (Case No. 12.243), Report No. 52/01, 4 April 2001 – 316, 317, 318, 323, 325
- Graham (Shaka Sankofa) v. United States* (Case No. 11.193), Report No. 51/00, 15 June 2000 – 321
- Graham v. United States* (Case No. 11.193), Precautionary Measures Decisions of 27 October 1993 and 29 October 1993 – 315
- Hilaire v. Trinidad and Tobago* (Case No. 11.816), Report No. 43/98, Admissibility, 25 September 1998 – 335, 336, 337
- Knights v. Grenada* (Case No. 12.028), Report No. 47/01, 4 April 2001 – 140, 338, 339, 349
- Lamey et al. v. Jamaica* (Cases Nos. 11.826, 11.843, 11.846, 11.847), Report No. 49/01, 4 April 2001 – 140, 338, 339, 340, 349, 350
- Marcelino Marroquín et al. v. Guatemala* (Case No. 8094), *Walter Vinicio Marroquín González et al. v. Guatemala* (Case No. 9038), Resolution No. 15/84, reported in OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.66 doc. 10 rev. 1, at pp. 81-84 – 333, 346
- Martinez v. Guatemala* (Case No. 11.834), Precautionary Measures, 18 November 1997 – 346
- Martinez v. Guatemala* (Case No. 11.834), Precautionary Measures, 24 November 1997 – 346
- Martinez v. Guatemala* (Case No. 11.834), Precautionary Measures, 9 February 1998 – 346
- McKenzie et al. v. Jamaica* (Cases Nos. 12.023, 12.044, 12.107, 12.126, 12.146), Report No 41/00, 13 April 2000 – 324, 338, 339, 349
- Noel v. Trinidad and Tobago* (Case No. 11.854), Report No. 44/98, 25 September 1998, Admissibility – 335
- Pratt v. Jamaica* (Case No. 9054), Resolution No. 13/84, reported in OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.66 doc. 10 rev. 1, pp. 111-113 – 341, 342
- Roach and Pinkerton v. United States* (Case No. 9647), Resolution No. 3/87, reported in OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.71 doc. 9 rev. 1, p. 147, *Inter-American Yearbook on Human Rights*, 1987, Dordrecht/Boston/London: Martinus Nijhoff, 1990, p. 328, 8 *HRLJ* 345 – 40, 41, 84, 317, 319 – 321, 332, 374, 376
- White and Potter v. United States* (Case No. 2141), Resolution No. 23/81, OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.52 doc. 48, OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.54 doc. 9 rev. 1, pp. 25-54, Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, *Ten Years of Activities, 1971-1981*, Washington: Organization of American States, 1982, pp. 186 – 209, (1981) 1 *HRLJ* 110 – 41, 317, 319
- Wright v. Jamaica* (Case No. 9260), Resolution No. 29/88, reported in OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.74 doc. 10 rev 1, p. 154 – 343-344

Table of cases

xxv

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Constitutional Court v. Peru, Competence, 24 September 1999, Series C, No. 54 – 336*Habeas Corpus in Emergency Situations (Arts. 27(2), 25(1) and 7(6) American Convention on Human Rights)*, Advisory Opinion OC-8/87 of 30 January 1987, Series A, No. 8 – 372*International Responsibility for the Promulgation and Enforcement of Laws in Violation of the Convention (Arts. 1 and 2 of the American Convention on Human Rights)*, Advisory Opinion OC-14/94 of 9 December 1994 – 347*Interpretation of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man Within the Framework of Article 64 of the American Convention on Human Rights*, Advisory Opinion OC-10/89 of 14 July 1989, OAS Doc. OAS/Ser.L/V/ III.21, doc. 14 – 315*Ivcher Bronstein v. Peru*, Competence, 24 September 1999, Series C, No. 55 – 336*Judicial Guarantees in States of Emergency (Arts. 27(2), 25(1) and 8 American Convention on Human Rights)*, Advisory Opinion OC-9/87 of 6 October 1987, Series A, No. 9 – 372*Restrictions to the Death Penalty (Arts. 4§2 and 4§4 American Convention on Human Rights)*, Advisory Opinion OC-3/83 of 8 September 1983, Series B No. 3, 4 *HRLJ* 352, 70 *ILR* 49 – 332, 334, 335, 338, 344-348, 376*Right to Information on Consular Assistance in the Context of the Guarantees of Due Process of Law*, Advisory Opinion OC-16/99 of 1 October 1999 – 17, 95, 113, 121, 340

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights

Amnesty International, Comité Loosli Bachelard, Lawyers Committee for Human Rights and Association of Members of the Episcopal Conference of East Africa v. Sudan (Comm. Nos. 48/90, 50/91, 52/91, 89/93), Thirteenth Activity Report of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1999-2000, OAU Doc. AHG/222/36th, Annex V – 360*Constitutional Rights Project (in respect of Zamani Lekwot and six others) v. Nigeria* (Comm. No. 87/93), (1986-97) LRAC, Series A, Vol. 1, p. 82, 3 IHRR 137 – 360*Constitutional Rights Project v. Nigeria* (Comm. No. 60/91), (1986-97) LRAC, Series A, Vol. 1, p. 54, 3 IHRR 132 – 360*International Pen, Constitutional Rights Project, Interights on behalf of Ken Saro-Wiwa Jr. and Civil Liberties Organization v. Nigeria* (Comm. Nos. 137/94, 139/94, 154/96 and 161/97), Twelfth Activity Report of the African

xxvi *Table of cases*

Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1998-1999, OAU Doc. ACHPR/RPT/12th, Annex V, (2000) 7 IHRR 274 – 359

International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia
Prosecutor v. Delalic et al. (Case no. IT-96-21-A), Judgment, 20 February 2001 – 212

Prosecutor v. Kordic & Cerkez (Case no. IT-95-14/2-T), Judgment, 26 February 2001 – 112

Prosecutor v. Simic et al. (Case no. IT-95-9-AR65), Decisions on Simo Zaric's and Miroslav Tadic's Applications for Provisional Release, 4 April 2000 – 128

Prosecutor v. Tadic (Case no. IT-94-1-AR72), Decision on the Defence Motion for Interlocutory Appeal on Jurisdiction, 2 October 1995, (1997) 105 ILR 453, 35 ILM 32 – 184

Prosecutor v. Tadic (Case no. IT-94-1-S), Sentencing Judgment, July 14, 1997, (1999) 112 ILR 286 – 253

International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
Prosecutor v. Kambanda (Case No. ICTR 97-23-S), Judgment and Sentence, 4 September 1998 – 250

Prosecutor v. Kayishema and Ruzindana (Case no. ICTR-95-1-T), Sentence, 21 May 1999 – 112, 250

Prosecutor v. Musema (Case No. ICTR-96-13-T), Judgment and Sentence, 27 January 2000 – 250

Prosecutor v. Rutaganda (Case No. ICTR-96-3-T), Judgment and Sentence, 6 December 1999 – 250

Prosecutor v. Serushago (Case No. ICTR-98-39-A), Reasons for Judgment, 6 April 2000 – 250

Prosecutor v. Serushago (Case No. ICTR-98-39-S), Sentence, 5 February 1999 – 112, 250

International Military Tribunal
France et al. v. Goering et al., (1946) 22 IMT 203 – 6, 237

International Military Tribunal for the Far East
United States of America et al. v. Araki et al., Judgment, 12 November 1948, in B.V.A. Röling and C.F. Rüter, eds., *The Tokyo Judgment*, Vol. II, Amsterdam: APA-University Press Amsterdam, 1977 – 241

Australia
Re Tait, [1963] VR 532 – 170

Table of cases

xxvii

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Damjanovic v. Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina (Case no. CH/96/30),
5 September 1997, Decisions on Admissibility and Merits 1996-1997,
p. 147 – 3, 185, 267, 291, 294

Canada

Canada v. Meyer, (1946) 13 *Ann. Dig.* 332, 4 LRTWC 97 (Canadian Military Court) – 214, 241

Kindler v. Canada, [1991] 2 SCR 779, 67 CCC (3d) 1, 84 DLR (4th) 438 – 95, 275-276, 294

Reference Re Ng Extradition (Can.), [1991] 2 SCR 856, 67 CCC (3d) 61, 84 DLR (4th) 498

United States v. Burns, [2001] 1 SCR 283 – 141, 276, 294, 353

France

Dame Joy Davis-Aylor, C.E., req. No. 144590, 15/10/93, D. 1993, IR, 238; JCP 1993, Actualités No. 43, [1993] *Revue française de droit administratif* 1166, conclusions C. Vigoreux – 276

Fidan, (1987) II *Receuil Dalloz-Sirey* 305 (Conseil d'Etat) – 261, 293

Gacem, (1988) I *Semaine juridique* IV-86 (Conseil d'Etat) – 261, 293

Hungary

Ruling 23/1990 (X.31) AB, Constitutional Court of Hungary, Judgment of October 24, 1990, Magyar Közlöny (Official Gazette), 31 October 1991 – 3, 138

India

Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab, AIR 1980 SC 898 – 95, 108, 323

Israel

A.G. Israel v. Eichmann, (1968) 36 ILR 18 (DC) – 132

Italy

Re Cuillier, Ciamborrani and Vallon, (1988) 78 ILR 93 (Constitutional Court, Italy) – 270

Netherlands

Short v. Netherlands, (1990) 76 *Rechtspraak van de Week* 358, (1990) 29 ILM 1378 – 261, 294

Nigeria

Nemi v. The State, [1994] 1 LRC 376 (Supreme Court) – 359

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii *Table of cases*

Norway

In re Flesch, 6 LRTWC 111 (Norwegian Frostating Lagmannsrett (Court of Appeal)) – 214

Public Prosecutor v. Klinge, (1946) 13 ILR 262 (Supreme Court, Norway) – 235, 260

Philippines

Echegaray v. Secretary of Justice, (1998) 297 SCR 754 (Supreme Court, Philippines) – 377

St. Lucia

Hughes v. The Queen, Criminal Appeal No. 14 of 1997, Judgment of 2 April 2001 (Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeal) – 100, 324

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Spence v. The Queen, Criminal Appeal No. 20 of 1998, Judgment of 2 April 2001 (Eastern Caribbean Court of Appeal) – 100, 324

South Africa

S. v. Makwanyane, 1995 (3) SA 391, (1995) 16 *HRLJ* 154 – 3, 38, 95, 294, 323, 356

Tanzania

Republic v. Mbushuu et al., [1994] 2 LRC 335 (High Court of Tanzania) – 279

United Kingdom

Baker v. The Queen, [1975] 3 WLR 113; [1976] Crim. LR 49 (JCPC) – 137

Fisher v. Minister of Public Safety and Immigration, [1998] AC 673; [1998] 3 WLR 201 (JCPC) – 175

Guerra v. Baptiste and others, [1996] AC 1997; [1995] 3 WLR 891; [1995] 4 All ER 583 (JCPC) – 275

In re Heyer et al. (Essen Lynching Case), (1946) 13 *Ann. Dig.* 287, 1 LRTWC 88 (British Military Court) – 214

Pratt et al. v. Attorney General for Jamaica et al., [1993] 4 All ER 769, [1993] 2 LRC 349, [1994] 2 AC 1, 14 *HRLJ* 338, 33 ILM 364 (JCPC) 112 – 38, 80, 88, 95, 130, 131, 141, 143, 275, 337, 342, 343, 372

Pratt v. Attorney General for Jamaica, unreported decision of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, 17 July 1986 – 342

United States of America

Andrews v. Shulsen, 485 US 919 (1988) – 322

Table of cases

xxix

- Austin v. Hopper*, 15 F Supp 2d 1210 (1998) – 86
- Beazley v. Johnson*, 242 F 3d 248 (5th Circ. 2001) – 86
- Breard v. Greene, Paraguay v. Gilmore*, 66 US Law Week 3684 (US Supreme Court, 1998) – 17
- Campbell v. Wood*, 18 F 3d 662 (9th Cir. 1994), *cert. denied*, 114 S.Ct. 2125 (1994) – 279
- Domingues v. Nevada*, 114 Nev 783, 961 P 2d 1279 (1998), *cert. denied*, 526 US 1156, 120 S.Ct. 396, 145 Led 2d 309 (1999) – 86
- Ex parte Pressley*, 770 So 2d 143 (2000) – 80
- Faulder v. Johnson*, 99 F Supp 2d 774 (1999) – 86
- Ford v. Wainwright*, 477 US 399, 106 S.Ct. 2595, 91 L.Ed.2d 335 (1986) – 170
- Furman v. Georgia*, 408 US 238, 92 S.Ct. 2726, 33 L.Ed.2d 346 (1972) – 325
- Gregg v. Georgia*, 428 US 153, 96 S.Ct. 2909, 49 L.Ed.2d 859 (1976) – 197, 325
- In Re Dostler*, (1946) 13 Ann. Dig. 280, 2 LRTWC 18 (United States Military Commission) – 214
- Lackey v. Texas*, 115 S.Ct. 1421, 63 L.W. 3705, 131 L.Ed.2d 304 (1995) – 197
- McCleskey v. Kemp*, 481 US 279, 107 S.Ct. 1756, 95 L.Ed.2d 262 (1987) – 321-322
- Penry v. Lynaugh*, 109 S.Ct. 2934 (1989) – 173
- Pohl et al. v. Acheson et al., Schallmermair et al. v. Marshall et al.*, Memorandum of Court, D.C. District Court, 29 May 1951, 15 TWC 1192 – 240
- Pohl et al. v. Acheson et al., Schallmermair et al. v. Marshall et al.*, Order, Supreme Court of the Untied States, 6 June 1951, 15 TWC 1198 – 240
- Solesbee v. Balkcom*, 339 US 9, 70 S.Ct. 457, 94 L.Ed. 604 (1950) – 170
- Stanford v. Kentucky; Wilkins v. Missouri*, 492 US 361, 109 S.Ct. 2969, 106 L.Ed.2d 306 (1989) – 84, 95, 136
- Thompson v. Oklahoma*, 487 US 815, 108 S.Ct. 2687, 101 L.Ed.2d 702 (1988) – 95, 136, 319
- United States of America v. Alstötter et al.* ('Justice trial'), (1948) 3 TWC 1, 6 LRTWC 1, 14 Ann. Dig. 278 (United States Military Tribunal) – 6, 238-240
- United States of America v. Ohlendorf et al.* ('Einsatzgruppen trial'), (1948) 15 Ann. Dig. 656, 3 LRTWC 470 (United States Military Tribunal) – 132
- United States of America v. Von Leeb* ('High Command Case'), (1948) 15 Ann. Dig. 376, 11 LRTWC 462 (United States Military Tribunal) – 214
- United States of America v. Yamashita*, (1948) 4 LRTWC 1 – 241
- United States v. Rosenberg*, 195 F.2d 583 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 344 US 838 (1952) – 374
- Wilkerson v. Utah*, 99 US 130, 25 L.Ed. 345 (1878) – 236

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

XXX *Table of cases*

Witherspoon v. Illinois, 391 US 510, 88 S.Ct. 1770, 20 L.Ed.2d 776 (1968) – 321

Woodson v. North Carolina, 428 US 280 (1976) – 323

Zimbabwe

Catholic Commission for Justice and Peace in Zimbabwe v. Attorney-General et al. (1993) 1 ZLR 242 (S), 4 SA 239 (ZSC), 14 *HRLJ* 323 – 38, 80, 95, 141, 142, 275, 294

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

Table of international instruments

Additional Protocol to the Agreement among the States Parties to the North Atlantic Treaty and the Other States Participating in the Partnership for Peace Regarding the Status of Their Forces.

Art. 1 – 294

Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights to Abolish the Death Penalty, OASTS 73, 29 ILM 1447.

In general – 2, 245, 311, 350-353, 368

Preamble – 352

Art. 1 – 352

Art. 2 – 211

Art. 4 – 353

African Charter on Human and People's Rights, OAU Doc. CAB/LEG/67/3

rev. 5, 4 EHRR 417, 21 ILM 58.

In general – 15, 355

Art. 1 – 359

Art. 4 – 5, 355-360

Art. 7 – 359, 360, 370

Art. 7§1(a) – 360

Art. 7§1(b) – 370

Art. 7§1(c) – 370

Art. 7§1(d) – 370

Art. 7§2 – 372

Art. 9§2 – 359

Art. 10§1 – 359

Art. 11 – 359

Art. 30 – 357

Art. 55 – 358

Art. 60 – 356

Cambridge University Press

052181491X - The Abolition of the Death Penalty in International Law, Third Edition

William A. Schabas

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxii *Table of international instruments*

African Charter of Rights on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, OAU Doc.

CAB/LEG/24.9/49

In general – 360-361

Art. 2 – 361

Art. 5§3 – 361

Art. 17 – 361

Art. 32 – 361

Art. 46 – 361

Agreement among the States Parties to the North Atlantic Treaty and the Other States

Participating in the Partnership for Peace Regarding the Status of Their Forces.

In general – 294

Agreement between the Parties to the 1949 North Atlantic Treaty Regarding the Status of Their Forces, (1951) 199 UNTS 67.

In general – 294

Agreement for the Prosecution and Punishment of the Major War Criminals of the European Axis, (1951) 82 UNTS 280.

In general – 236-237

Art. 27 – 1, 37, 236

American Convention on Human Rights, (1979) 1144 UNTS 123, OASTS 36.

In general – 2, 7, 14, 24, 82, 260, 272, 308, 312, 367, 368, 377

Art. 1§1 – 348

Art. 4 – 18, 79, 283, 318, 321, 326-350

Art. 4§1 – 318, 319, 338-344

Art. 4§2 – 196, 265, 334, 339, 344-347, 370

Art. 4§3 – 102, 103, 182, 196, 229, 332-333, 345, 347, 350, 353

Art. 4§4 – 109, 333, 334, 345, 346, 347-348

Art. 4§5 – 133, 136, 169, 265, 305, 319-320, 332, 333, 335, 373, 374, 375

Art. 4§6 – 324, 348-349

Art. 5 – 19, 349-350

Art. 5§2 – 342

Art. 8 – 339, 340, 344, 370

Art. 8§1 – 370

Art. 8§2 – 370

Art. 8§2(c) – 370

Art. 8§2(d) – 370

Art. 9 – 344, 372

Art. 25 – 343-344