

The Semantics of English Prepositions

Spatial Scenes, Embodied Meaning and Cognition

Using a cognitive linguistics perspective, this book provides the most comprehensive theoretical analysis of the semantics of English prepositions available. All English prepositions originally coded spatial relations between two physical entities; while retaining their original meaning, prepositions have also developed a rich set of non-spatial meanings. In this innovative study, Tyler and Evans argue that all these meanings are systematically grounded in the nature of human spatio-physical experience. The original 'spatial scenes' provide the foundation for the extension of meaning from the spatial to the more abstract. This analysis introduces a new methodology that distinguishes between a conventional meaning and an interpretation produced for understanding the preposition in context, as well as establishing which of several competing senses should be taken as the primary sense. Together, the methodology and framework are sufficiently articulated to generate testable predictions and allow the analysis to be applied to additional prepositions.

ANDREA TYLER is Associate Professor of Linguistics at Georgetown University. She teaches a range of courses which largely focus on applications of linguistic theory to issues of second language learning and teaching. She has published in numerous journals.

VYVYAN EVANS is Lecturer in Linguistics at the School of Cognitive and Computing Sciences, University of Sussex. He teaches a range of courses in general linguistics at undergraduate and postgraduate level. His research focuses on conceptual structure and semantics.



The Semantics of English Prepositions

Spatial Scenes, Embodied Meaning and Cognition

Andrea Tyler

Linguistics Department Georgetown University

and

Vyvyan Evans

School of Cognitive and Computing Sciences University of Sussex





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Andrea Tyler and Vyvyan Evans 2003

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2003 Reprinted 2004 (twice)

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Times 10/12 pt System LATEX $2_{\mathcal{E}}$ [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 81430 8 hardback



This book is dedicated to Lou, Angela and Max



Contents

Preface Acknowledgements		page 1x
		xi
1	The nature of meaning	1
2	Embodied meaning and spatial experience	23
3	Towards a model of principled polysemy: spatial scenes and conceptualization	37
4	The semantic network for over	64
5	The vertical axis	107
6	Spatial particles of orientation	132
7	Bounded landmarks	178
8	Conclusion	229
References		238
Index		246

vii



Preface

Linguists, psychologists and philosophers have long observed the importance of space and spatial experience for both language and thought. In this book, we examine the nature of human spatio-physical experience and how human conceptualization of spatial relations is reflected in the English language. In particular, we are interested in how spatial concepts are systematically extended to provide a wide array of non-spatial meanings. We do so through a study of English spatial particles, an important subset of which are prepositions.

The central notion we explore is that of a spatial scene, a conceptualized relation grounded in spatial interaction and experience, involving entities that are related in a particular spatio-configurational way. For instance, in a spatial scene described by: The cup is on the table, the cup is in contact with the upper side of the table. A distinct spatio-configuration is described by the following: The coffee is in the cup. This scene involves the coffee being located inside (as opposed to outside) the cup. However, spatial scenes do not involve only spatio-physical relations or configurations. It turns out that particular spatial relations have non-trivial consequences that are meaningful to humans. The spatial scene involving on also involves a support function between the table and the cup: unless enough of the cup's base is situated on the table, the cup will fall and smash on the floor. Equally, the spatial scene relating to in involves a containment function, which encompasses several consequences such as locating and limiting the activities of the contained entity. Being contained in the cup prevents the coffee from spreading out over the table; if we move the cup, the coffee moves with it. These consequences, as well as the spatio-physical configuration between entities, give rise to a range of non-spatial meanings associated with the spatial particles on and in. For instance, sentences such as: You can count on my vote and She is in graduate school do not strictly involve spatial relations between physical entities, but rather non-physical concepts associated with the notions of support and containment respectively. Spatial particles offer rich and fascinating evidence of the complex interaction between spatio-physical experience, the human conceptual system and language use. Consequently, they represent an excellent 'laboratory' for investigating the way in which spatial experience grounds many other kinds of non-spatial, non-physical concepts.

ix



x Preface

Our approach is both cognitive and experientialist. It is cognitive in that we assume that meanings do not match up with a mind-independent objective reality. Rather, 'reality' is determined by the nature of our bodies and our neuro-anatomical architecture, as well as the physical world we inhabit. Hence, the meanings encoded in language relate to and reflect our conceptual system, which constitutes our 'representation' of reality. Our approach is experientialist as we acknowledge that our representation of reality is contingent upon a world out there, which in turn is meaningful, precisely because it, and our interactions with it, have non-trivial consequences for our survival.

Spatial experience provides a substantial portion of the conceptual bedrock for the human conceptual system, that is, for the nature of meaning. Hence, this book, through a detailed analysis of the range of meanings associated with English spatial particles, argues for the foundational role of experience in the development of meaning in general, and word meaning in particular.



Acknowledgements

The idea for this work has its origins in discussions and research undertaken by the Metaphor Research Group at Georgetown University whose members were united by a common interest in cognitive linguistics and conceptual metaphor. We are indebted to the members of that research group, and would particularly like to thank Elizabeth Lemmon, Suzanne Matula, Chikako Mori, Mari Takada and Viphavee Vongpumivitch. We would like to acknowledge the members of the Georgetown Cognitive Linguistics Research Group (Akiko Fujii, YiYoung Kim, Dainora Kupcinskas, Olga Liamkina, David MacGregor, Kristin Mulrooney, Mari Takada and Paula Winke) whose ongoing project is to conduct experiments aimed at testing the claims made in the present work. Vyvyan Evans has benefited from discussions with a number of people involved in the Sussex Meaning and Grammar Group (SMAGG). In particular he would like to thank the participants of the seminar series on Polysemy during Summer 2002. We are grateful to a number of scholars for their support and comments related to this research project, particularly Mark Aronoff, Steven Cushing, René Dirven, Bev Hartford and Mark Turner. We are particularly indebted to Joseph Grady who provided extensive comments on large portions of the manuscript and whose work has so influenced our analysis. His generous support and incisive comments have been invaluable. We owe a special thanks to Dominiek Sandra whose numerous comments and queries pushed us to think and rethink many points of our analysis. Pieces of this work have been presented at various conferences; the questions and comments of members of those audiences have contributed to our thinking in important ways. We want to acknowledge particularly Carol Moder, Sally Rice and Eve Sweetser. We thank Angela Evans for assistance with the diagrams in chapter 4. Finally, we wish to express our gratitude to a number of organizations and people for their support and assistance, without which we could not have completed this project. For financial support for indexing we thank the School of Cognitive and Computing Sciences (COGS) at the University of Sussex. We are particularly grateful to the Dean of the School, Professor Richard Coates. We also gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Georgetown University Department of Linguistics and the Georgetown University Graduate School. For first persuading us to approach



xii Acknowledgements

Cambridge University Press with our manuscript and for support early on in the project we owe an important debt to Christine Bartels. We would also like to thank our editor at Cambridge, Andrew Winnard. For compiling the index we are grateful to Sue Lightfoot. For gracious and astute copy-editing we owe an immense debt to Jacqueline French without whom this work would have been much poorer. We would like to thank the several anonymous reviewers whose questions and comments resulted in a much deeper, more complete analysis.